Center for Local UNIVERSITY OF MICHIC	<mark>, State, and U</mark> GAN	rban Policy		S	UP	MICHIG		C POLICY AL GOVERI	MENT	Y (MPPS)
For more info	ormation, please	contact: closup-r	mpps@um	ich.edu / (73	34) 647-4091			FALL 20)14	
To start, please com Q1. What type of jun ☐ County ☐ Township		u represent?	Wh	at is the ju	risdiction's r	name?				
			(If r	not a county) In what cou	unty is it locate	d?			
Village			Wh	at position	do you hold	0				
			vvn	at position		?				
 We'd like to start off by asking you a range of questions about the roads and bridges in your jurisdiction's geographic boundaries. We understand some jurisdictions have direct control over road- and bridge-related decisions and/or funding within their boundaries; others—like many townships—are not the primary decision-makers. Throughout this questionnaire, we want you to answer questions to the best of your knowledge about road and road funding issues, whether or not your government has authority over road policy. Q2. We know there are regular assessments of many public roads using formal scoring methods, however we are interested in your personal evaluation of the overall condition of roads and bridges within your jurisdiction's geographic boundaries. In your opinion, how would you rate the overall current condition within your jurisdiction of 										
		,,.			. .		_	No		Don't
state trunk	lines and count	y primary roads?	•		Good	Fair	Poor	Applic		Know
local paved		, primary roads:			H					
local unpav									•	
		your geographic	boundarie	es?					-	
roads, in ge	eneral, within yo	our geographic be	oundaries	?					-	
Q3. Thinking about positive or neg		froads and bridg iny, on the follow		your comm Very Positive Impact		I, to what degro Mixed Positive and Negative Impact		say their co Very Negative Impact	urrent cond Not Applicable	Don't
Your iurisdict	ion's economic (development								
-	ion government	-								
Tourism in yo	ur jurisdiction									
The agricultur	ral sector in you	r jurisdiction								
	public safety pe emergency in y									
	action with your	jurisdiction's								
	,	er public service		(e.g., public Roads top pr				•	ealth, trash	
		on's Board/Coun	cil						-	
	of your jurisdicti									
	• •	on's business co	ommunity							
	ly in your role as		to and brid		ur aammunit		D at dogrees we			
	he past five yea									ition has
	inuaries, în gene	eral, has improve							orlages in y	

Q6. In some local jurisdictions, the focus of road and/or bridge maintenance and improvement is on long-term asset management. In other jurisdictions, the focus is necessarily on short-term repairs and "band-aid" fixes. Which of the following, in your opinion, best describes the current approach to road and/or bridge maintenance and improvement within your jurisdiction's geographic boundaries? (<i>Please respond to the best of your knowledge, even if your jurisdiction does not control local road policy.</i>)									
 Only short-term fixes Mostly short-term fixes, with some long-term asset management Mostly long-term asset management, with some short-term fixes Only long-term asset management 									
Don't know		Ale et the e	law way affaud b						
Q7. Some counties or localities have had to resort to grindi this been done to one or more paved roads within your					you know, has				
Yes	□ No			on't know					
This survey was written before the Michigan Legislature decided whether or not to significantly increase road funding levels in fall 2014, so we have questions about two possible scenarios: one in which there's no significant increase and one in which there's some level of increase.									
Assuming that federal funding remains consistent with rece roads and/or bridges in your jurisdiction (even if the funding					<u>unding</u> for				
Q8. If the state legislature does <u>not</u> significantly increase fu problem, if at all, would it be	Inding for roads ar	nd/or bridges in yo	our jurisdiction	, in your opinion, how	much of a				
	A Significant Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Not Much of Problem	f a Not a Problem At All	Don't Know				
to <u>maintain</u> the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries?									
to <u>improve</u> the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries?									
Q9. Now, if the state legislature increases funding for roads opinion, would be <u>closest</u> to meeting your jurisdiction's		your jurisdiction t	oy some amou	nt, which of the follow	ving, in your				
	No state funding	50% increase	100% increa (doubling)		•				
	increase needed	in state funding needed	in state fund needed	.,					
to <u>maintain</u> the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries?									
to <u>improve</u> the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries?									
Q10. There are many methods states could use to fund roa	ds and bridges, inc	luding some that	are not curren	tlv allowed in Michiga	n. Thinking				
about a broad range of policy options, and assuming whether you would support or oppose the following m				roads and bridges, p	lease identify				
			Neither						
	Strongly Support	Somewhat Su Support	••	omewhat Strongly Oppose Oppose					
Increasing the gas and/or diesel taxes									
Increasing vehicle registration fees									
Increasing fees for overweight trucks									
Increasing the sales tax									
Adding toll roads and/or lanes									
Introducing mileage fees (Vehicle Miles Traveled)									
Increasing drivers' license fees									
Increasing traffic violation fines, surcharges, and per fees									
Leasing road right-of-way and/or state property for c phone towers, advertisements, etc.	ell 🗌								
Other (please specify)									
Q11. Thinking beyond state funding, does your jurisdiction improvement, or construction—either directly or as m		any own-source lo	cal revenues c	on road and/or bridge	maintenance,				
☐ Yes	□ No			on't know					
Q12. (If you selected "yes" in Q11) Which of the followi road and/or bridge maintenance, improvement,	•	•	•	e to fund its contribu	tions to				
 Your jurisdiction's general Special assessment(s) Millage(s) levied by your j Other (please specify) 	urisdiction								
Don't know									

Q13.	In the last two years, has your jurisdiction trie or special assessment(s)? (check all that apply		al funding fo	r roads and/o	or bridges throu	gh either new o	or renewed roa	ad millage(s)		
	No, have pursue			oursued failed	Yes, pursued an succeeded	,	irrently uing	Don't Know		
	Jurisdiction-level millage(s)					•]			
	Special assessment(s)					Г	7			
						L	-			
Q14.	Looking ahead, if the state legislature <u>does no</u> would the following groups or individuals sup options such as millages or potential new opt	port or oppo	se the pursui	t of additiona	<u>al</u> local revenue	dedicated to re				
			Strongly	Somewh	nat Support no	r Somewhat	Strongly	Don't		
			Support	Suppor	rt Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Know		
	The majority of your jurisdiction's Board/Con	uncil								
	The majority of your jurisdiction's citizens									
	The majority of your jurisdiction's business	community								
	You personally in your role as a local official	-								
	Q15. (Please skip if you selected "majority increase funding for the maintena think <u>the majority of your citizens</u> they were allowed in Michigan)?	nce, improve would suppo	ment, and co ort or oppose	Instruction of	f roads and/or b	ridges in your	jurisdiction, d	o you		
			Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Know		
	Special assessment									
	Local/county millage									
	Local/regional vehicle registration fee									
	Local/regional fuel tax									
	Local/regional sales tax									
	Local/regional income tax									
Q16.	Finally, to conclude this section of questions of maintain roads within your jurisdiction's geog insufficient funding?									
Now	we have a series of questions about private roa	ads in Michig	an jurisdictio	ons.						
Q17.	Does your jurisdiction currently contain one of such as homeowners associations, or by the a				d or maintained	by developers,	by private en	tities		
	🗌 Yes	Γ	No		[Don't know				
Q18.	Whether or not your jurisdiction has any priva oppose having private roads in your jurisdicti	,	• •		f your jurisdicti	on's Board or C	Council suppo	rt or		
					Neither					
			Strongly	Somewhat		Somewhat	Strongly	Don't		
			Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Know		
	The majority of your jurisdiction's Board/Con	uncil								
	You personally in your role as a local official									
	Q19. (If you selected "yes" in Q17) Does your juisdiction? (check all that apply)			-	• •	• •	rivate roads w	vithin your		
	 Design (e.g., lane width, turn lanes, grading/drainage, surface-type, etc.) Surface maintenance (e.g., pothole filling, repaving/regraveling, etc.) Summer maintenance (e.g., mowing road right-of-way, street cleaning, dust containment, etc.) Winter maintenance (e.g., plowing, sanding/salting, etc.) Other (please specify) 									

	Q20. (If you selected "yes" in Q17) Have there been	any problems	or controvers	ies regarding	g private road	s in your co	mmunity?		
	☐ Yes	🗌 No				on't know			
	Q21. (If you selected "yes" in Q20) Please briefly describe the problems or controversies regarding private roads in your community.								
Q22.	Now thinking about ownership of roads for new dev					ır jurisdictio	n's policies?		
	 Our policies generally Our policies generally Our policies on roads f 	encourage pu	blic roads for	new develop	ment.	e basis.			
	Don't know								
Q23.	Recently, Michigan enacted laws that allow for a co Board. Regardless of whether or not your county's should be managed by an elected county road com	road commiss	sion is still in	place, would	you say that	road respon	sibilities in yo	ur county	
	An elected county road	oad commission	on						
	Don't know								
Q24.	We're interested in getting a sense of local officials commission duties have been transferred to the co your jurisdiction in particular, to what extent do you	unty). Thinkin	g about how t	he county ro	ad commissio	on or road de			
		Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable	Don't Know	
	Our county road commission/road department generally makes fair decisions. Road work done or managed by the county road								
	commission/road department is generally of high quality.								
	The decision-making process of our county road commission/road department is generally transparent.								
	Our county's matching requirements for our local road funding are generally fair.								
	My local government has a good relationship with our county road commission/road department. Our county road commission/road department								
	generally responds to my government's communications in a timely manner.								
	Overall, I'm satisfied with the performance of our county road commission/road department.								
Q25.	Please describe what, if anything, has worked parti road commission or road department.	cularly well or	not so well in	terms of you	ur governmen	t's interaction	ons with your	county's	
Q26.	Thinking beyond traditional road issues, which of the boundaries in the last five years? (check all that app		any, have be	en implemen	ited within yo	ur jurisdictic	on's geographi	ic	
	Added or expanded biking	or walking trai							
	Added or expanded dedicat Added or expanded ATV or Added or widened sidewalk Beduced the number of uch	snowmobile ti s	rails						
	 Reduced the number of veh Don't know 	nere iarres (a							

Q27.	27. In 2010, "Complete Streets" legislation gave project planning and coordination responsibilities to local governments and the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) to develop "roadways planned, designed, and constructed to provide appropriate access to all legal userswhether by car, truck, transit, assistive device, foot or bicycle." How familiar are you with the Complete Streets initiative?										
	 Very familiar — I know a great deal about it Somewhat familiar — I have heard of it, and understand it fairly well, but don't know many details Mostly unfamiliar — I have heard of it, but know very little about it Completely unfamiliar — I have never heard of it before (if you select "completely unfamiliar," please skip to Q31) 										
				Don't know							
	Q28.	(Please skip Complete St		selected "completely unfamilian policies?	" in Q27) W	hich of the	following best d	escribes your j	urisdiction's	approach to	,
		 It has enacted and is implementing a formal Complete Streets policy. It has enacted but has not implemented a formal Complete Streets policy. It takes into account Complete Streets goals in planning and design, but has no formal policy. It has taken no action on Complete Streets, but might consider it in the future. It has taken no action on Complete Streets, and is unlikely to consider it in the future. 									
				Don't know							
	Q29.			selected "completely unfamilian the following in your ju		hat overall	impact, if any, d	o you believe C	complete Stre	ets policies	have or
		would have		ion of the following in your ju				Mixed			
					No	Ver Positi	ve Positive	t Positive and Negative	Somewhat Negative	Very Negative	Don't
		Quality of life	fe			ct Impa □	ct Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact	Know
		•		ss of road spending							
		Economic d		, ,							
		Traffic cong	estio	n							
		Pedestrian a	and/o	r cyclist safety							
				's relationship with the nent of Transportation (MDO	т) 🗆						
	Q30.			selected "completely unfamilial Complete Streets policies in			d you say that, i	n your role as a	local official	, you suppo	rt or
		Strong			Neither Su		Somewhat	Stron		Don't	
		Suppo	ort	Support	nor Oppo	se	Oppose	Орро	se	Know	
Q31.				about transit options in your	jurisdiction	, which of t	he following, if a	ny, are current	ly available to		of your
	comr	munity? (chec	ck all t								
				Amtrak Municipal, county-wide, or re Greyhound, Indian Trails, or Dial-a-ride/on-demand para-1 Taxi service	other priva	te bus servi					
				Van pool service Other (please specify)							
				None Don't know							
Q32.		ur opinion, he	ow sa	tisfied or dissatisfied would	you say the	following g	roups are regard	ding the transit	options curre	ently availab	ole in your
	Junio				Very	Somewhat			Very	Not	Don't
	The	elderly or di	eablo	d	Satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied		Know
		-		rs and younger)							
		••••	•	s, and job seekers							
		itors and tour	-	-,							
	The	e majority of y	our ju	urisdiction's Board/Council							
	Υοι	ı personally i	n you	r role as a local official							
	Q33.			ssatisfied" for any group in Q32 ptions currently available in y	,		• •	, do you believe	e contribute t	o local dissa	atisfaction
				Routes, frequency, coverage Cost Reliability	e, etc.						
				Connectivity to other commo Other (please specify)							
				Don't know							

Q34.	334. We're interested in factors that may be encouraging or discouraging expansion of transit in jurisdictions across the state. To what degree would you say the following have been encouraging or discouraging the development of transit within your jurisdiction?									
		Significantly	Somewhat	Neither Encouraging Nor	Somewhat	Significantl	Net	Don't		
	Public demand	Encouraging	Encouraging	Discouraging	Discouraging	g Discouragin	g applicable			
	Public demand Operation and maintenance costs									
	Availability of state or federal funding									
	Availability of local funding									
	The influence of persistent community									
	leaders/organizers Concern over traffic congestion									
	Your jurisdiction's relationship with neighboring jurisdictions (for inter- jurisdictional service)									
Q35.	Some people think a well-functioning trans environmental sustainability, residents' m to the overall needs of your jurisdiction?	•	•			•		• •		
	Very important	Somewhat impo	ortant 🔲	Not very impor	tant 🗌 Not	important at al	I 🗌 Don't I	know		
Finall	y, we have a few questions on political eth	ics in Michigan	state and loca	al governance.						
Q36.	First of all, we are interested in your gener you rate the following groups of people ov	•			• • •		nt. In general, h	ow would		
			Very Ethical	Mostly Ethical	Ethical and Unethical	Mostly Unethical	Very Unethical	Don't Know		
	Michigan's state legislators									
	Michigan's state executive branch leader									
	(Governor, Lt. Gov., department leaders, Elected and appointed officials in your ju									
	Local government elected and appointed									
	general across the state									
037	To what extent do you agree or disagree th	nat the followin	a types of Mic	higan governm	ent officials sh	ould be requir	ed to disclose t	heir		
	financial interests (occupations, sources of									
			0	0	Neither	0	Ohman ala	Devil		
			Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know		
	Michigan's state legislators									
	Michigan's state executive branch leader									
	(Governor, Lt. Gov., department leaders,	etc.)								
	Local government elected officials									
	Local government department and agend	cy leaders								
Q38.	To what extent do you agree or disagree the year or two) after leaving office before become b									
	"revolving door" restriction?	Johning a 1055				government,				
			Strongly	Somewhat	Neither Agree nor S	omewhat S	Strongly	Don't		
			Agree		•		•••	Know		
	Michigan's state legislators									
	Michigan's state government executive b department and agency leaders	branch								
Q39.	Michigan currently prohibits state legislate levels of gifts, food, and travel or lodging following statements about gifts and hono	expenses to be	paid by outsid	de interests. To	what extent de ent officials, or	o you agree or	disagree with e			
			Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know		
	Like state legislators, appointed state go officials should also be prohibited from r honoraria while in office.									
	An overall yearly cap should be enforced amount of gifts, food, and travel/lodging any state government official may receiv	reimbursemen								
	Expenditures above a certain threshold r a state government official should be rep	made on behalf								
	donor and the public official. Policies prohibiting honoraria, limiting gi gift disclosure should apply to <u>local</u> gove across the state.									
	מטוטשש וווב שומול.									

0.40										
Q40.	Does your jurisdiction cu	Irrently have any policies	s that prohibit, iim		•		•	rollowing?		
	Honoraria for local elec	ted and/or appointed off	icials	Yes			Don't Know			
		lodging paid for by outsi								
				_		_	_			
reme	following questions addre ember we do not release a	ny MPPS data that identi	ify respondents or					sitive questio	ns, howev	ver, please
Q41.	Does your jurisdiction's		code of ethics?				on't know			
0.00				6 - 1 - 1 -						
Q42.	How often, if at all, would potential conflicts of inte because of actions take	erest (that is, where the c	official or his/her fa	amily m	• •		-		•	
	Frequently		ally	🗌 Ra	rely		Never	[Don't k	now
Q43.	Concerns about governm community. Please ident actions ultimately result	tify whether your jurisdic	tion has experien	ced any	y of the f					
	·		,	No, ha	ave not ienced	of leg	o findings itimate oncerns	Yes, with find legitimate e concern	thical	Don't Know
		n Act (FOIA) requests rel	ated to			C]			
	potential ethical conce Accusations of Open N			Г]	Г]			
	Accusations of improp	riety against one or more	e of your		_	-	_			
	jurisdiction's governme	ent officials		•		-	-			
Q44.	Thinking back over the p might be unethical?	ast five years, have you	in your role as a l	ocal off	icial eve	er come und	ler pressure	to do someth	ing that <u>ye</u>	<u>ou</u> felt
	□ Ye	es	🗌 No				🗌 Do	on't know		
	Q45. (If you selected "yes	" <i>in Q44)</i> Please identify that, in your role as a lo		-			•	•	lo somethi	ing during
		Other officials from your Officials from other jurisc Members of the business Members of the public Family and friends Other (please specify)	lictions or other le community				_			
Q46.	Overall, how satisfied are		•	•	•	•			_	
	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfie nor Dissatisfie		Diss	newhat atisfied □	Ve Dissat	isfied	Don't Know	
								-		
Q47.	CLOSUP will protect you name, email address, an <u>any outside sources</u> . As	d phone number for trac	king and administ	rative p	ourposes	s only. <u>You</u>				
	Your name		_		Your phe	one number				
	Your email address									
	vould like to ask some de ain confidential.	mographic questions. Re	sponses will be re	eported	l in aggre	egate form	only so that	your individu	al respons	ses will
Q48.	What is your gender?	Male Female		Q53.				evel_of schoo		
Q49.	In what year were you bo	orn? 19			High		s/no diploma duate or GED	Master		e torate degree
Q50.	How many years have yo	ou served in your current	position?	_		ociate's deg			Sional/Doci	lorale degree
Q51.	Are you of Spanish, Hisp	anic, or Latino descent?	Yes 🗌 No	Q54.				nk of yourself		
Q52.	Please check one or mor what race(s) you conside		all that apply)		Rep	Someth	•	nse specify)		
	 White Black or African Ameri American Indian or Ala 	askan Native	Asian Multiracial Other	Q55.		or not very		Ild you consid Iblican/Demoo y strong		
	Native Hawaiian or oth	er Pacific Islander	Don't know	Q56.	closer t	o?	0	e) Would you d		_
1					∐ the [Democratic	Party 🗌	the Republicar	n Party	Neither