Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN PUBLIC POLICY SURVEY (MPPS) LOCAL GOVERNMENT

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES
FALL 2013

For more information, please contact: closup-mpps@umich.edu / (734) 647-4091

	start, please confirm: What type of jurisdiction do you represent?	,										
٠	County		What is the jurisdiction's name?									
	Township City	(If no	(If not a county) In what county is it located?									
	Village	What	What position do you hold?									
envi with	nis survey, we're interested in your opinions ironmental policy in Michigan, as well as a form other state and international research teames region.	ew questions ab ns conducting si	out Detroit's ba multaneous pul	inkruptcy filing. Or blic opinion surve	n many of these is ys of citizens in M	ssues, we are also lichigan and acros	partnering s the Great					
	 You may feel that some of these topics are not a direct issue for <u>your</u> jurisdiction, however, we hope you will still respond so we can understand where issues are active and where they are not. We need the views of officials from across Michigan, not just from locations where issues are active today. 											
	 These questions will also allow us to begin long-term tracking, to better understand how issues change over time for different types of jurisdictions. 											
Q2.	First, thinking about the Great Lakes overa	ll, would you rate	e their current o	condition as excell	lent, good, fair, po	oor, or very poor?						
	Excellent Good	Fa	ir	Poor	Very Poor	Don't Know	,					
]									
Q3.	To what extent do you agree or disagree w	ith each of the fo	ollowing genera Strongly	I statements abou Somewhat	t the Great Lakes Somewhat	? Strongly	Don't					
			Agree	Agree	Disagree	Disagree	Know					
	The Great Lakes have a significant impac jurisdiction.											
	The Great Lakes are a valuable economic Michigan.											
	The Great Lakes are a valuable economic my jurisdiction.											
	My jurisdiction's policies and operations the health of the Great Lakes.	do <u>not</u> impact										
Q4.	For each of the following entities, please in	dicate whether v	ou think thev s	should have a grea	it deal of respons	ibilitv. some respo	nsibility, or no					
	responsibility for taking actions to protect			A Great Deal of	Some	No	Don't					
	Federal governments (The United States	and Canada)		Responsibility	Responsibility	Responsibility	Know					
	State governments (Michigan, other state	s, and Canadian	provinces)									
	Local governments											
	Your jurisdiction's government in particul	lar										
	Businesses and industries											
	Individual citizens											
Q5.	In general, to what extent do you believe th	e following type	s of regulatory	policies should be	e strengthened or	eased?						
		Significantly Strengthened	Somewhat Strengthened	Unchanged	Somewhat Eased	Significantly Eased	Don't Know					
	Regulations on runoff from stormwater sewers and streets											
	Regulations on runoff from farms and the agricultural sector											
	Regulations on waste water systems' overflow release											
	Regulations on septic system inspections and maintenance											
	Regulations to limit water diversion from the Great Lakes											
II VIII UII VII CUI LURGO												

Strongly Somewhat Somewhat Somewhat Somewhat Somewhat Strongly Dentification Compose C	Q6. In general, to	o what extent do you support or o	oppose the follo	owing possible p	oolicies or action	s related to the Gr	'eat Lakes?	
Phase out coal-fied power plants to reduce mercury enisations in the Great Lakes region, even if it he cost of				• •			• •	
emissions in the Great Lakes region, even if the cost of	even if it re	equires higher taxes.						
Prevent new construction on wetlands, even if it limits conomic development. Reduce the rate at which farmland or other natural areas are being paved over, even if it limits economic development. Reduce the rate at which farmland or other natural areas are being paved over, even if it limits economic development. Reduce the rate at which farmland or other natural areas are being paved over, even if it limits economic development. Reduce the rate at which farmland or other natural areas are being paved over, even if it integrites giving up some of Michigan's decision-making power. Increase coordination and role among local governments on Great Lakes management, even if it requires giving up some of overland to and role among local governments on Great Lakes management, even if it requires giving up some of your jurisdiction's decision-making power. Increase the cost of water for households and businesses to encourage consumers to use less water. O7. Now we're interested in your views on issues related to wind energy, Wind energy is becoming more common in Michigan, including the u of amail wind turbines for individual homes or businesses as well as the installation of larger, utility-scale turbines, and wind farms with numerous turbines. As far as you know, in your area, are there currently any of the following kinds of wind turbines and/or efforts to add or expand them? (check all that apply) Currently Efforts to have these kinds of heave kinds of heave kinds within your jurisdiction. Currently Efforts to add or have a kind within your jurisdiction that may have impacted your jurisdiction? Currently as a major issue, wind turbines have been discussed our not virus within my jurisdiction. The majorit story or a more issue, wind turbines have been discussed our juri	emissions	in the Great Lakes region, even i						
areas are being paved over, even if it limits economic	economic o	development.						
Increase coordination among regional states on Great Lakes management, even if it requires giving up some	areas are b	areas are being paved over, even if it limits economic						
governments on Great Lakes management, even if it requires giving up some of your jurisdiction's decision-making power.	Increase co Lakes man of Michigar	oordination among regional state agement, even if it requires givin n's decision-making power.	ig up some					
O7. Now we're interested in your views on issues related to wind energy. Wind energy is becoming more common in Michigan, including the ure of small wind turbines for individual homes or businesses as well as the installation of larger, utility-scale turbines, and wind farms with numerous turbines. As far as you know, in your area, are there currently any of the following kinds of wind turbines and/or efforts to add or expand them? (check all that apply) Currently Pare these Currently Pare these Currently Pare these Add/expand Pare these Pare to P	governmer it requires	nts on Great Lakes management, giving up some of your jurisdicti	even if					
of small wind turbines for individual homes or businesses as well as the installation of larger, tutility-scale turbines, and wind farms with numerous turbines. As far as you know, in your area, are there currently any of the following kinds of wind turbines and/or efforts to add or expand them? (check all that apply) Currently Efforts to have these add/expand kinds of turbines kinds of turbi	Increase th	ne cost of water for households a						
of small wind turbines for individual homes or businesses as well as the installation of larger, tutility-scale turbines, and wind farms with numerous turbines. As far as you know, in your area, are there currently any of the following kinds of wind turbines and/or efforts to add of expand them? (check all that apply) Currently								
Larger, utility-scale wind turbines in neighboring jurisdictions that			our jurisdictior	1	have these kinds of turbines	add/expand these kinds of turbines	Neither	Know
Cas. As far as you know, in the last few years have there been any proposals that did not move forward regarding the development of any kind turbines in your jurisdiction (or in neighboring jurisdictions that may have impacted your jurisdiction)? Yes			•					
Q8. As far as you know, in the last few years have there been any proposals that did not move forward regarding the development of any kind turbines in your jurisdiction (or in neighboring jurisdictions that may have impacted your jurisdiction)? Yes	Larger, util	ity-scale wind turbines within vo	Larger, utility-scale wind turbines in neighboring jurisdictions that					
Community at large or within your jurisdiction's government? They are a major issue, wind turbines have been discussed extensively within my jurisdiction. They are a minor issue, wind turbines have been discussed, but not extensively. Not an issue at all, there has not been any discussion of wind turbines within my jurisdiction now or in the recent past. (if you select "not an issue at all," please skip to Q14) Don't Know Q10. (Please skip if you selected "not an issue at all" in Q9) We are interested in sources of support or opposition to the use of wind turbines your community. In your opinion, do the following people or groups either support or oppose the use of wind turbines in general with your jurisdiction? Strongly Somewhat Support Nor Somewhat Strongly Don't Support Oppose Oppose Oppose Know The majority of your jurisdiction's Council/Board The majority of your jurisdiction's	Larger, util	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb		ons that				
Q10. (Please skip if you selected "not an issue at all" in Q9) We are interested in sources of support or opposition to the use of wind turbines your community. In your opinion, do the following people or groups either support or oppose the use of wind turbines in general with your jurisdiction? Neither Strongly Somewhat Support Nor Somewhat Strongly Don't Support Support Oppose Oppose Know The majority of your jurisdiction's Council/Board The majority of your jurisdiction's	Larger, util may impac Q8. As far as you turbines in y	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb t your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have our jurisdiction (or in neighborin Yes	oring jurisdicti e there been ar g jurisdictions [ny proposals tha that may have ii ☑ No	t did <u>not</u> move fo npacted your jur	rward regarding the sdiction)?	□ ne development Know	of any kind of
your community. In your opinion, do the following people or groups either support or oppose the use of wind turbines in general with your jurisdiction? Neither Strongly Somewhat Support Nor Somewhat Strongly Don't Support Support Oppose Oppose Know The majority of your jurisdiction's Council/Board The majority of your jurisdiction's	Larger, util may impac Q8. As far as you turbines in y Q9. To what exte	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb t your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have your jurisdiction (or in neighborin Yes ent, if at all, would you say curren at large or within your jurisdiction They are a major iss They are a minor iss Not an issue at all, ti	e there been ar g jurisdictions t or potential w n's government ue, wind turbin ue, wind turbin here has not be	ny proposals that that may have in No wind turbines witt? nes have been dines have been dieen any discussi	t did <u>not</u> move fonpacted your juring the properties of the prope	rward regarding the isdiction)? Don't be ion are a topic of wely within my jurice extensively.	ne development Know discussion, eithersdiction.	of any kind of
Support Support Öppose Oppose Know The majority of your jurisdiction's Council/Board The majority of your jurisdiction's	Larger, util may impac Q8. As far as you turbines in y Q9. To what exte	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb it your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have your jurisdiction (or in neighboring Yes ent, if at all, would you say current at large or within your jurisdiction They are a major iss They are a minor iss Not an issue at all, the recent past. (if you see	e there been ar g jurisdictions t or potential w n's government ue, wind turbin ue, wind turbin here has not be	ny proposals that that may have in No wind turbines witt? nes have been dines have been dieen any discussi	t did <u>not</u> move fonpacted your juring the properties of the prope	rward regarding the isdiction)? Don't be ion are a topic of wely within my jurice extensively.	ne development Know discussion, eithersdiction.	of any kind of
Council/Board The majority of your jurisdiction's	Q8. As far as you turbines in y Q9. To what exter community a your c	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb it your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have your jurisdiction (or in neighborin Yes ent, if at all, would you say curren at large or within your jurisdiction They are a major iss They are a minor iss Not an issue at all, the recent past. (if you see the point of th	e there been arg jurisdictions at or potential was government use, wind turbing the has not be elect "not an issuat all" in Q9) Weat all was all	ny proposals that that may have in No Vind turbines witt? These have been dines have been dines have been dines have at all," please the ple or groups elements.	t did not move for mpacted your jurn hin your jurisdict scussed extensity scussed, but not on of wind turbin skip to Q14) n sources of supther support or converted to the support or converted to the support or converted the support or converted to the support or converted the support or convert	rward regarding the solution)? Don't be solved within my jurice extensively. The swithin my jurices within my jurices w	ne development Know discussion, either sdiction. diction now or in the to the use of we wind turbines in	of any kind of er in the n the
	Q8. As far as you turbines in your community a	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb it your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have your jurisdiction (or in neighboring Yes ent, if at all, would you say current at large or within your jurisdiction They are a major iss They are a minor iss Not an issue at all, if recent past. (if you see the community.) Don't Know e skip if you selected "not an issue at ommunity. In your opinion, do the curisdiction?	e there been arg jurisdictions at or potential was government to the wind turbinate, wind turbinate, wind turbinate, wind turbinate, wind turbinate has not be elect "not an issued to the potential was at all" in Q9) We following people of the potential was at all with the potential was at all was at	ny proposals that that may have in No No vind turbines witt? nes have been dines have been dines have been dines have at all," please in the ple or groups elements.	t did not move for mpacted your jurisdict scussed extensions on of wind turbin skip to Q14) In sources of supther support or converted to the support of the support or converted to the support of the support o	rward regarding the solution)? Don't is solution are a topic of every within my juric extensively. The solution is within	ne development Know discussion, either sdiction. diction now or in to the use of we wind turbines in	of any kind of er in the ind turbines in general within
citizens — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Larger, util may impact Q8. As far as you turbines in y Q9. To what extered community a your community and the recommunity a	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb it your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have your jurisdiction (or in neighborin Yes ent, if at all, would you say curren at large or within your jurisdiction They are a major iss Not an issue at all, it recent past. (if you se Don't Know e skip if you selected "not an issue at community. In your opinion, do the urisdiction?	e there been arg jurisdictions It or potential was government use, wind turbing the has not be elect "not an issued at all" in Q9) We following people Strongly Support	ny proposals that that may have in later l	t did <u>not</u> move for a	rward regarding the isolation)? Don't isolate a topic of a vely within my jurist extensively. The isolate within my jurist extensively. The isolate isolate isolate a compose the use of somewhat Oppose	ne development Know discussion, eithers discussion and the use of warm wind turbines in Oppose	of any kind of er in the ind turbines in general within Don't Know
You as a jurisdiction official	Larger, util may impact Q8. As far as you turbines in y Q9. To what extered community a your community and the recommunity a	ity-scale wind turbines in neighb it your jurisdiction u know, in the last few years have rour jurisdiction (or in neighborin Yes ent, if at all, would you say curren at large or within your jurisdiction They are a major iss They are a minor iss Not an issue at all, the recent past. (if you see the point of your purisdiction? Don't Know e skip if you selected "not an issue at ommunity. In your opinion, do the price of your jurisdiction." majority of your jurisdiction's majority of your jurisdiction's majority of your jurisdiction's	e there been arg jurisdictions It or potential was government use, wind turbing the has not be elect "not an issued at all" in Q9) We following people Strongly Support	ny proposals that that may have in limited turbines with the limited have been dies have been dies have been dies have been dies any discussive at all," please the limited please of groups en limited by the limited have been somewhat support	t did <u>not</u> move for a	rward regarding the isolation)? Don't isolate a topic of a vely within my jurist extensively. The isolate within my jurist extensively. The isolate isolate isolate a compose the use of somewhat Oppose	ne development Know discussion, eithers discussion and the use of warm wind turbines in Oppose	of any kind of er in the ind turbines in general within Don't Know

						Neither		
				Have	Likely to	Adopted No Likely to		on't
				Adopted	Adopt	Adopt		now
	Offer tax or other incentives							
	A local moratorium and/or ban on w							
	Other local ordinances and/or zonin	_						
Intergovernmental agreements with neighboring jurisdictions								
	(Please skip if you selected "not an issue wind turbines in jurisdictions across turbines, to what degree would you s your jurisdiction?	the state now or	in the last few	years. Whether o n encouraging o Mixed	r not your comn	nunity currently I	has any w	/ind
		Significantly Encouraging	Somewhat Encouraging	Encouraging and Discouraging	Somewhat Discouraging	Significantly Discouraging	Not a Factor	Don Kno
	Potential visual or noise impacts							
	Road construction, use, damage, etc.							
	Impact on property values							
	Community organizations active							
	on wind energy issues Business proposals to establish wind farms or utility-scale turbines							
	Connecting turbines to the electrical grid							
	Local job creation and/or economic development							
	Revenue for land-owners							
	Local property tax revenue							
	Depreciation tables for wind turbines set by the State Tax Commission							
	Preservation of farmland							
	Wind speed and reliability							
	Willia Speed and remability							
	Other (please specify)							
λ 13.		e at all" in Q9) Ar	re there any add	itional issues re	garding wind en		_	
213.	Other (please specify) (Please skip if you selected "not an issue	e at all" in Q9) Ar	re there any add	itional issues re	garding wind en		_	
	Other (please specify) (Please skip if you selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under the second secon	e at all" in Q9) Arrstanding? If so,	re there any add , please describ	itional issues reg e the issue(s) be	garding wind en	ergy in your juris	sdiction th	nat yo
Wind	Other (please specify) (Please skip if you selected "not an issue	e at all" in Q9) Arrstanding? If so,	re there any add , please describ	itional issues reg e the issue(s) be setbacks, and so ne authority, or n	garding wind enclow. on. For each of o authority for s	ergy in your juris	sdiction th	nat yo
Wind	Other (please specify) (Please skip if you selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would be a selected "n	e at all" in Q9) Arrstanding? If so,	re there any add , please describ	itional issues rege the issue(s) be	garding wind enclow.	ergy in your juris	ediction the	nat yo
Wind indic turb	Other (please specify) (Please skip if you selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would be a selected "not an issue think others would be a selected "n	e at all" in Q9) Arrstanding? If so,	re there any add , please describ	setbacks, and sone authority, or n	on. For each of o authority	the following enuch decisions re	tities, ple egarding v	ase wind on't now
Wind indic turb	Other (please specify) (Please skip if you selected "not an issue think others would benefit from under think others would benefit from under the details of the selected whether you think they should have the should have	e at all" in Q9) Arrstanding? If so,	re there any add , please describ	setbacks, and so ne authority, or n A Great Deal of Authority	on. For each of authority for s	the following enuch decisions re	ediction the stitles, ple egarding v	ase wind on't

Q15.	by t	raditional meth	n to issues of oil and nati ods of drilling wells vertion or jurisdiction? <i>(check all</i> i	cally. As far as you					
		 	Yes, currently active Yes, but now inactive No, no history of trace	e traditional wells	s extraction at a	all			
		1	☐ Don't Know						
Q16.	dep	osits, using hig	as focused on the extracti h volumes of fluid to brea ction to refer to high-volu	ak the rock. This is	s sometimes ca				
	Hov	v familiar would	you say you are with hyo	draulic fracturing?	•				
			Uery familiar — I kno Somewhat familiar → Mostly unfamiliar → Completely unfamilia	little about it		•	to <u>Q25</u>)		
		ĺ	☐ Don't Know						
(Q17.		vou selected "completely un ed for extracting natural g						
						have	add/expand	Neither	Know
		Within your j	urisdiction ng jurisdictions that may i	mpact vour juried	liction				
		in neighborn	ig jurisaictions that may i	ilipact your jurisu	liction	Ц	Ш	Ш	Ш
·	Grio.	not move forw jurisdiction)?	vou selected "completely un vard regarding fracking op	perations within yo			g jurisdictions t		
(Q19.		rou selected "completely un a topic of discussion, eith ☐ It's a major issue, fra	ner in the commur	nity at large or v	vithin your jurisd	iction's governr		ng within your
			It's a minor issue, fra Not an issue at all, the (if you select "not an is	icking has been d nere has not been	iscussed, but n any discussion	ot extensively.		n now or in the r	ecent past.
		I	☐ Don't Know						
(Q20.		vou selected "not an issue a our community. In your o						
				Strongly	Somewhat	Support Nor	Somewhat	Strongly	Don't
			of your jurisdiction's	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Know
			of your jurisdiction's						
		citizens You as a juris	sdiction official						
(Q21.		ou selected "not an issue a inances or zoning codes,						
						Have Adopted	Likely to Adopt	Likely to Adopt	Don't Know
		Offer tax or o	ther incentives						
			torium and/or ban on hyd						
			rdinances and/or zoning		-41				
		intergovernm	nental agreements with no	eignboring jurisdi					

Q22.	2. (Please skip if you selected "not an issue at all" in Q19) We're interested in factors that may be encouraging or discouraging the use of hydraulic fracturing in jurisdictions across the state now or in the last few years. Whether or not your community currently has any fracking operations, to what degree would you say the following items have been encouraging or discouraging the use of fracking within your jurisdiction?											
		Significantly Encouraging	Somewhat Encouraging	Mixed Encouraging and Discouraging	Somewhat Discouraging	Significantly Discouraging	Not a Factor	Don't Know				
	Local job creation and/or economic development											
	Lower energy prices											
	Potential environmental damage linked to fracking operations, such as spills, leaks, etc.											
	Potential environmental benefits from cleaner-burning natural gas instead of coal											
	Potential risks to citizens' health											
	Potential risks to water resources											
	Impact on property values											
	Community organizations active on fracking issues											
	Business proposals to establish											
	hydraulic fracturing operations											
	Federal or state regulations Revenue for land-owners											
	Local property tax revenue											
	Preservation of farmland											
	Availability of underground shale											
	gas deposits Other (please specify)											
Q23.	(Please skip if you selected "not an issue at all" in Q19) Are there any additional issues regarding hydraulic fracturing in your jurisdiction that you think others would benefit from understanding? If so, please describe the issue(s) below.											
Q24.	(Please skip if you selected "completely u location, setbacks, waste disposal, ch they should have a great deal of author	emical disclosu	re, and so on. F	For each of the for nority for such de A Great De	ollowing entities, ecisions regardi al Some	please indicate ng fracking. No	whether D	you thin on't				
	The federal government			of Authorit	y Authority	Authority		now				
	State governments											
	Local governments											
	Land-owners											
	t, we want to ask about a range of pote efits, in general, to what extent do you		•••	g possible action	ns to address en	ergy supply issu	ues in Mic					
lua d	proces the use of puellog power		Supp			Oppose	_	ow				
	Increase the use of nuclear power Increase the use of hydroelectric power Increase the use of solar power											
							_					
	crease production and use of biomass	and/or biofuels										
	Increase regulation of oil and gas pipelines statewide											
Inc	crease drilling for natural gas and oil th											
_	oraunc tracturing ow offshore oil and gas drilling in the 0	Great Lakes					Г					
	crease the use of wind power on land							5				
	crease the use of offshore wind power	in the Great Lak					_					
	indate expanded use of renewable ene						_					
	ındate energy efficiency/conservation । ate law	measures throu	gh 🗆				Г]				

Q26.	26. Some local governments engage in sustainability planning while others do not. Sustainability is often defined as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. It often tries to balance environmental, economic, and social goals or issues. Sustainability planning can encompass a wide range of things, from stand-alone plans to sustainability goals integrated into master plans or zoning plans, efforts to incorporate such planning across multiple departments or activities, and so on.										
	As far as you know, does your jurisdiction engage in sustainability planning, and if so, how extensively?										
	 Yes, a great deal Yes, a moderate amount Yes, some but very little No, not at all 										
	☐ Don't know										
	Q27. (Please skip if you selected "no" or "don't know" in Q26) Please briefly describe your jurisdiction's approach to sustainability planning.										
Q28.	Do you agree or disagree that promoting er government leadership?	vironmental su	stainability	and the c	oncept of "l	oeing green" are i	mportant aspe	cts of local			
	Strongly Somewhat Agree Agree	Neither Ag Disag		Some Disaç	ree	Strongly Disagree	Don'i Knov				
Q29.	Finally, we would like your opinions on som Chapter 9 bankruptcy. How closely are you						e City of Detro	it filed for			
	☐ Very closely ☐ Some	vhat closely	□ No	t very clo	sely	☐ Not at all	□ D	on't know			
Q30.	We're interested in local officials' views on			•	y filing. Bas	sed on what you k	now now, in ge	eneral, to what			
	extent do you agree or disagree with each of	_			Neither						
		Strongly Agree		ewhat gree	Agree Nor Disagree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know			
	Overall, Detroit's bankruptcy filing was the right thing to do.										
	Bankruptcy will help Detroit restructure and cut costs, and therefore be in a better long term financial position.										
	The bankruptcy process <u>won't</u> result in be policy making or management for the City Detroit in the long run.	of 🗆									
	Detroit's filing will make bankruptcies molikely among other struggling Michigan logovernments.	cal 🗌									
	The State of Michigan should provide new financial assistance to help Detroit.	'									
	The federal government should provide no financial assistance to help Detroit.	ew \square									
	Detroit's fiscal health is important to Michigan's overall fiscal health.										
Q31.	What overall impact, if any, do you think De	troit's bankrupt	cy filing ha			each of the follow	ing?				
		A Very Positive Impact	A Somew Positive Impact	hat Pos N	Mixed sitive and egative mpact	A Somewhat Negative Impact	A Very Negative Impact	No Don't Impact Know			
	Michigan's reputation The confidence of Michigan citizens in										
	the state's future										
	Michigan's ability to attract tourism and conventions										
	The ability of Michigan's local governments to attract and retain talented public employees										
	Local government home rule and discretion under Michigan state law										
	Michigan local governments' cost of borrowing through issuing debt in the near term										
	Your jurisdiction's overall fiscal health										

Q32. Now thinking in general, not specifically about Detroit, when a jurisdiction goes through bankruptcy, difficult decisions and trade-offs have to be made about ways of addressing the jurisdiction's debt. Although conditions in individual jurisdictions will vary, in your opinion, what priority should ultimately be given to the following possible actions in the course of bankruptcy?									
	A High Priority to Pursue	Somewhat of a Priority to Pursue	Neither	Somewhat of a Priority to Prevent	A High Priority to Prevent	Action Should Never Be Taken	Don't Know		
Cuts in repayments to the jurisdiction's bondholders									
Cuts to pensions of the jurisdiction's current retirees									
Cuts to fringe benefits (health care, etc.) of the jurisdiction's current retirees									
Cuts to compensation (pay rates and/or fringe benefits) for the jurisdiction's current employees									
Cuts to, or privatization of, some public services to reduce costs									
Increase service sharing with other governments to reduce costs									
Sell some jurisdiction assets (e.g., parkland, buildings, infrastructure, art holdings, etc.)									
Raise local revenue through increased taxes or fees									
Financial assistance from the state government									
Financial assistance from the federal government									
Q33. CLOSUP will protect your privacy and an as name, email address, and phone num with any outside sources. As with all of t	ber for tracki he questions	ng and administı	rative purpos nese are opti	ses only. <u>Your p</u> ional.	ersonal inforn	nation will not b	<u>e shared</u>		
Your name Your email address				one number		-			
Finally, we would like to ask you some demograte form only so that your in Q34. What is your gender?					ey, these are o	ptional. Respoi	nses will be		
Q35. In what year were you born? 1 9									
Q36. How many years have you served in your	current posit	tion?							
Q37. Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino d	escent?	Yes 🔲	No						
Q38. Please check one or more categories below White Black or African American American Indian or Alaska Native Hawaiian or other P	n Native]]]	u consider y Asian Mulitracia Other Don't Kno	al	heck all that ap	ply)			
Q39. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed? 12th grade or less/no diploma									
Q40. Generally speaking, do you think of yours		☐ Democrat	☐ Some	thing Else (pleas	se specify)				
Q41. (If Republican or Democrat) Would you con		If a strong or no ot Very Strong	t very strong	Republican/Der					
Q42. (If Independent or something else) Would you consider yourself closer to? The Democratic Party									