

**User's Guide and Codebook
Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS)**

**Michigan Public Policy Survey Restricted Use Datasets:
Spring 2013 Data**

CENTER FOR LOCAL, STATE, AND URBAN POLICY (CLOSUP)
FORD SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY
THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ABOUT THE DATASET:

Title: Michigan Public Policy Survey Restricted Use Datasets: Spring 2013 Data

Responses: 1,511 responses from 1,350 distinct local jurisdictions

Sample: census survey of 3,526 top elected and top appointed officials from all 1,856 Michigan counties, cities, villages, and townships.

Field period: April 8 to June 9, 2013

Response rate: 73% by jurisdiction

Dataset Version: Version 1

Dataset Release Date: April 14, 2016

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS) is a program of state-wide surveys of local government leaders in Michigan. The MPPS is administered online and via hard copy questionnaire and takes place twice each year, in spring and fall. Each wave investigates local officials' opinions and perspectives on a variety of important public policy issues. Respondents for the MPPS include county administrators and board chairs, city mayors and managers, village presidents and managers, and township supervisors, clerks, and managers from every general-purpose unit of government across the state.

SURVEY WAVE CONTENT:

The Spring 2013 MPPS wave focused on fiscal, budgetary and operational policies. Additional questions focused on job approval assessments for the governor and state legislators, the state's new Right-to-Work laws, property tax exemptions, and place-based economic development.

MISSING DATA:

Missing data in the Spring 2013 dataset can reflect two distinct types of missing data: questions that a respondent chose to not answer (refused), and questions that were not asked due to skip patterns in the data (not applicable). Skip patterns are indicated where applicable in this codebook.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

I. About the dataset.....	3
II. Contact information.....	5
III. How to cite the dataset.....	6
IV. Data collection overview.....	7
V. Issues of respondent confidentiality.....	9
VI. List of variables.....	11
VII. Full variable text and frequencies.....	18
VIII. Appendices	
a. Hardcopy questionnaire.....	131

CONTACT INFORMATION:

The Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS) is administered by the Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy (CLOSUP) at the University of Michigan.

For the benefit of users of MPPS data, we have prepared this guide to the design, methods, and content of the Spring 2013 wave of the survey. Please address questions or comments to:

Sarah Mills, Project Manager

or

Tom Ivacko, Center Administrator

Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy (CLOSUP)

735 S. State Street

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Phone: (734) 647-4091

Fax: (734) 615-5389

Email: closup-mpps@umich.edu

HOW TO CITE MPPS DATA:

When using data from the Michigan Public Policy Survey, please use the folder citation provided by ICPSR, including DOI.

Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy. Michigan Public Policy Survey Restricted Use Datasets: Spring 2013 Data. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 2016-04-14. <http://doi.org/10.3886/E66861V1>

DATA COLLECTION OVERVIEW:

Sample:

The sample for the Spring 2013 MPPS included on average two officials from each of the local general purpose units of government (83 counties, 277 cities, 256 villages, and 1,240 townships) in the state of Michigan. The sample frame included, where the position existed and was not vacant, the top elected and top appointed official in each jurisdiction. For counties, this consisted of county administrators, executives, and board chairs; for cities, mayors and city managers; for villages, village presidents and managers. Townships are a special case, in that, typically, their governing officials are all elected. Therefore, in townships, both the elected supervisors and the elected clerks were administered surveys, as well as the few appointed township managers.

Sample frame:

	<i>Elected Officials</i>	<i>Appointed Officials</i>	<i>Total</i>
Counties (83)	87	58	145
Townships (1240)	2,480	42	2,522
Cities (277)	277	243	520
Villages (256)	256	83	339
<i>Total (1856)</i>	<i>3,100</i>	<i>426</i>	<i>3,526</i>

Method:

The Spring 2013 MPPS was administered via two modes. For those officials for whom an email address could be identified, an email invitation was sent containing a url link to the survey instrument online. For those officials for whom no email address was available, hard copy questionnaires were mailed out in the week prior to the launch of the survey via USPS, scheduled for delivery during the first week of field period.

Survey administration:

	<i>Electronically</i>	<i>Via hardcopy</i>	<i>Total</i>
Surveys sent	2,993	474	3,467
Responses in full database	1,421	90	1,511
Responses in public use dataset	1,268	71	1,350

Field dates, recontacts to non-respondents, and partial completes:

Respondents received initial email invitations and hard copy questionnaires during the week of April 8, 2013. Non-respondents with valid email addresses were recontacted each Monday of field period by email to urge them to participate. Respondents with invalid addresses were subsequently mailed hard copy questionnaires. Non-respondents who had been originally sent a hard copy questionnaire and for whom a fax number was available were sent another questionnaire by fax. Field period closed on June 9. Partially-completed ("partials") surveys captured by the online survey software are included in the dataset if respondents completed through q5.

Data anomalies:

Known data anomalies are noted at the appropriate variable in the codebook below. If you notice possible undocumented errors in the dataset, we would appreciate an email to "closup-mpps@umich.edu" describing the problem so that we can research and address it.

ISSUES OF RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY:

The MPPS program pledges to all of its respondents that all survey answers will remain confidential, meaning that researchers will not link survey responses to individual respondents or jurisdictions in any publications or public analysis of the data. Thus, users of the MPPS datasets should only publish statistical summary information that does not permit the identification, either directly or inferentially, of any individual person, official position, or local jurisdiction.

In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP made the following modifications to the dataset available for use by researchers:

- 1) Open-ended responses were extracted from the main datafile. Identifying information from open-ended responses was redacted (denoted in the data as [redacted]). These responses can be linked back to the main datafile using the variables: respondent_id, ID.date, ID.start, ID.endDate, ID.end, and ID.time.
- 2) Certain identifying variables were removed from the dataset
 - Direct identifiers for jurisdiction such as FIPS codes, county names, and jurisdiction names
 - Direct identifiers for respondents, such as name and contact information (corresponding to survey questions q60a, q60b, q60c)
 - Other information potentially identifying individual respondents, including year of birth (corresponding to survey question q62), years in office (corresponding to survey question q63). Recoded versions of these variables are available.
- 3) The original population variables taken from US census data were removed/masked from the dataset. New categorical population variables were created for the different jurisdiction types: pop_county, pop_township, pop_city, pop_village. Additionally, a population density variable was added to the dataset.

Any intentional identification of a research subject (whether an individual or a jurisdiction) or unauthorized disclosure of his or her confidential information violates the promise of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Therefore, users of data agree:

To use these datasets solely for research or statistical purposes and not for investigation of specific research subjects.

To make no use of the identity of any research subject discovered inadvertently, and to advise CLOSUP of any such discovery (closup-mpps@umich.edu).

Merging your data with MPPS Data

CLOSUP recognizes that there is significant research value in being able to link external datasets to the MPPS data. However, to protect respondent and jurisdiction confidentiality, direct geographic identifiers are not available in the MPPS datasets. Users who are interested in merging their own geographically-keyed datasets to MPPS datasets should contact CLOSUP (closup@umich.edu) to apply to have wave_id added to the researcher's dataset, in order to enable links between datasets. CLOSUP will review all applications and determine whether providing the key would pose an unacceptable risk to confidentiality. If your application is approved, you will send your dataset to CLOSUP, the wave key will be merged onto the dataset and replace any existing geographic ID variables, and you can upload this new dataset to the VDE following openICPSR's policies.

LIST OF VARIABLES:

<u>Variable Name</u>	<u>Variable Label</u>
respondent_id	Unique ID for each case
master_id	Longitudinal Jurisdiction ID
wave_id	Single-wave Jurisdiction ID
idcompleted	Completion status
source	Hardcopies/online completes/partial
idenddate	ID.endDate
idend	ID.end
idstart	ID.start
iddate	ID.date
idtime	ID.time
jtype	Jurisdiction Type
samp_juris	Identifies unique survey responses for each jurisdiction
snap_elec_app	Elected or appointed to position
snap_pos	Position held--numeric
q2	During the next twelve months, business conditions will be...
q3a	Ability of govt to meet fin. needs this year
q3b	Ability of govt to meet fin. needs next year
q4a	Changes in revenue from property taxes...
q4b	Changes in revenue from fees, licenses, transfers...
q4c	Changes in amount of debt
q4d	Changes in ability of jurisdiction to repay debt
q4e	Changes in amount of federal aid...
q4f	Changes in amount of state aid...
q4g	Changes in number of tax delinquencies...
q4h	Changes in number of home foreclosures...
q4i	Changes in population of jurisdiction...
q4j	Changes in public safety needs...
q4k	Changes in infrastructure needs...
q4l	Changes in human service needs...
q4m	Changes in number of employees...
q4n	Changes in pay rates for Juris.'s employee wages and salaries...
q4o	Changes in cost of Juris.'s employee pensions...
q4p	Changes in cost of Juris.'s current employee health benefits...
q4q	Changes in cost of Juris.'s retired employee health benefits...
q5a	Jurisdiction's property tax rates...
q5b	Jurisdiction's charges for fees, licenses, etc...
q5c	Jurisdiction's reliance on general fund balance...
q5d	Jurisdiction's reliance on rainy day funds...
q5e	Jurisdiction's amount of services provided...
q5f	Jurisdiction's actual public safety spending...
q5g	Jurisdiction's actual infrastructure spending...
q5h	Jurisdiction's actual human services spending...
q5i	Jurisdiction's funding for economic development programs...
q5j	Jurisdiction's amount of debt...
q5k	Jurisdiction's sale of public assets...
q5l	Jurisdiction's privatizing or contracting out services...

q5m Jurisdiction's number/scope of interlocal agreements or cost sharing plans...

q5n Jurisdiction's workforce hiring...

q5o Jurisdiction's workforce layoffs...

q5p Jurisdiction not filling vacant positions...

q5q Jurisdiction's employee pay rates...

q5r Jurisdiction's employees' share of health benefits...

q5s Jurisdiction's employees' share of retirement contributions...

q5t Jurisdiction's retirees' share of health benefits...

q6a Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits to new hires?

q6b Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits to current employees?

q6c Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits to current elected officials?

q6any Fringe benefits offered to new hires, curr employees, or elec. officials

q7a Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to new hires?

q7b Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to current employees?

q7c Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to retired employees?

q7d Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to current elected officials?

q7e Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to former elected officials?

q7any Retirement income offered to new hires, curr employees, or elec. officials

q8a Juris. offers personnel/current retirees a defined benefit only

q8b Juris. offers personnel/current retirees a defined contribution only

q8c Juris. offers personnel/current retirees both plans

q8d Juris. offers personnel/current retirees a hybrid plan

q8e Juris. offers personnel/current retirees none of these plans

q8f Don't know if jurisdiction offers personnel/current retirees these plans

q9 Juris. will introduce either defined contribution or hybrid plan w/in 12 months?

q10 Does Juris. have unfunded obligations as result of providing retirement income?

q11 Juris.'s unfunded pension/hybrid obligations' impact on its fiscal health are...

q12a Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to new hires?

q12b Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to current employees?

q12c Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to retired employees?

q12d Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to current elected officials?

q12e Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to former elected officials?

q12any OPEB offered to new hires, curr employees, retired employees, or elec. officials

q13 Does Juris. have unfunded fiscal obligations as result of retirement HC or OPEB?

q14 Juris.'s unfunded HC/OPEB obligations' impact on its fiscal health are...

q15 Are any of Juris.'s employees members of a public sector labor union?

q16a Public sector union: Police

q16b Public sector union: Fire

q16c Public sector union: Department of Public Works (DPW)

q16d Public sector union: Other(s)

q16e Public sector union: Don't Know

q16count Number of types of public sector labor unions selected

q17 Has Juris negotiated with unions on pay/benefits/staffing/rules in last 12 mos.?

q18a1 Negotiations on union employee pay: Union(s) made concessions

q18a2 Negotiations on union employee pay: Jurisdiction made concessions

q18a3 Negotiations on union employee pay: Neither side made concessions

q18a4 Negotiations on union employee pay: Did not negotiate

q18a5 Negotiations on union employee pay: Don't Know

q18b1 Negotiations on union employee benefits: Union(s) made concessions

q18b2 Negotiations on union employee benefits: Jurisdiction made concessions

q18b3 Negotiations on union employee benefits: Neither side made concessions

q18b4 Negotiations on union employee benefits: Did not negotiate

q18b5 Negotiations on union employee benefits: Don't Know

q18c1 Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Union(s) made concessions

q18c2 Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Jurisdiction made concessions

q18c3 Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Neither side made concessions

q18c4 Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Did not negotiate

q18c5 Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Don't Know

q18d1 Negotiations on union employee work rules: Union(s) made concessions

q18d2 Negotiations on union employee work rules: Jurisdiction made concessions

q18d3 Negotiations on union employee work rules: Neither side made concessions

q18d4 Negotiations on union employee work rules: Did not negotiate

q18d5 Negotiations on union employee work rules: Don't Know

q18count Number of issues negotiated on

q19 Overall satisfaction with outcomes of union negotiations over last 12 months

q20 How likely Juris. will seek concessions from employee union(s) in next 12 mos.?

q21 How have the union(s) affected jurisdiction's fiscal health in last 12 mos.?

q22 How have the union(s) affected jurisdiction's overall performance in last 12 mos

q23 Relationship between jurisdiction's union(s) and administration in last 12 mos.

q24 How familiar are you with Michigan's Right-To-Work legislation?

q25a Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Council/Board

q25b Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Non-union employees

q25c Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Union employees

q25d Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Respondent

q26 Do you support/oppose public sector RTW exemption for police/fire?

q27a RTW Impact: Michigan's economy

q27b RTW Impact: Fiscal health of jurisdiction

q27c RTW Impact: Ability of community to attract/retain businesses

q27d RTW Impact: Ability of jurisdiction's govt. to attract/retain employees

q27e RTW Impact: Relationship between jurisdiction's govt. & its union(s)

q29a This FY, Juris. utilized employee furloughs

q29b This FY, Juris. utilized a four-day work week

q29c This FY, Juris. utilized early retirement incentives/buyouts

q29d This FY, Juris. reduced pay/benefits for new compared to current employees

q29count Number of employee policies used

q30a Next FY, will Juris. utilize employee furloughs

q30b Next FY, will Juris. utilize a four-day work week

q30c Next FY, will Juris. utilize early retirement incentives/buyouts

q30d Next FY, will Juris. reduce pay/benefits for new compared to current employees

q31a This FY, service(s) completely eliminated and no longer provided at all

q31b This FY, service(s) completely eliminated but now provided by another entity

q31c This FY, no services eliminated

q31d This FY, don't know if service(s) were eliminated

q31_new This FY, any service(s) completely eliminated by J

q32a Next FY, service(s) will be completely eliminated and no longer provided

q32b Next FY, service(s) will be completely eliminated but provided by another

q32c Next FY, no services will be eliminated

q32d Next FY, don't know if service(s) will be eliminated

q33 Juris.'s unreserved gen. fund balance as % gen. fund expenditures at end last FY

q34 You consider jurisdiction's unreserved gen. fund balance to be...

q35 Jurisdiction's cash flow and ability to pay bills in a timely manner is...

q36a Jurisdiction's overall fiscal health: Today

q36a_new Fiscal Stress Index Today, 3 cat

q36b Jurisdiction's overall fiscal health: Five years from now

q36b_new Fiscal Stress Index in 5 years, 3 cat

q37a Property-Tax Exempt: Federal forest land

q37b Property-Tax Exempt: State forest land

q37c Property-Tax Exempt: Nonprofit land holdings

q37d Property-Tax Exempt: Other governmental property

q37e Property-Tax Exempt: Local K-12 school system property

q37f Property-Tax Exempt: Universities or other higher education institutions

q37g Property-Tax Exempt: Nonprofit hospital and health-care facilities

q37h Property-Tax Exempt: Houses of worship, burial grounds, tombs, monuments

q37i Property-Tax Exempt: Housing for elderly or disabled persons

q37j Property-Tax Exempt: Other non-profit organizations

q37k Property-Tax Exempt: Principal residence exemptions for people in poverty

q37l Property-Tax Exempt: Don't Know

q37m Property-Tax Exempt: Other

q37n Property-Tax Exempt: Other-specify

q37count Number of Property-Tax Exemptions Selected

q38a Property-Tax Exempt Orgs/Parcels: Juris.'s total parcels

q38b Property-Tax Exempt Orgs/Parcels: Juris.'s total potential tax revenues

q38c Property-Tax Exempt Orgs/Parcels: Demands on Juris.'s service provision

q39a Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Current fiscal health

q39b Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Future fiscal health

q39c Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Current quality of life

q39d Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Future quality of life

q40 Property-Tax Exemptions impact on revenues discussed by leaders in last 12 mos.?

q42 Is Jurisdiction engaged in/planning placemaking for econ. development?

q43a Placemaking: Historic preservation and adaptive re-use

q43b Placemaking: Mixed-use developments

q43c Placemaking: Attractive city centers, storefronts, public gathering spaces

q43d Placemaking: Anchor businesses along corridors, nodes, center districts

q43e Placemaking: Public transit accessibility

q43f Placemaking: Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses

q43g Placemaking: Arts and cultural amenities or events

q43h Placemaking: Environmentally-friendly construction

q43i Placemaking: Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths

q43j Placemaking: Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)

q43k Placemaking: Local food opportunities

q43l Placemaking: Form-based codes

q43m	Placemaking: Don't Know
q43n	Placemaking: Other
q43count	Placemaking: number of efforts pursuing
q44a	Placemaking Effectiveness: Your jurisdiction
q44b	Placemaking Effectiveness: Other jurisdictions around the state
q45a	Placemaking Effectiveness: Historic preservation and adaptive re-use
q45b	Placemaking Effectiveness: Mixed-use developments
q45c	Placemaking Effectiveness: Attractive city centers, storefronts, public spaces
q45d	Placemaking Effectiveness: Anchor businesses along corridors, nodes, districts
q45e	Placemaking Effectiveness: Public transit accessibility
q45f	Placemaking Effectiveness: Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses
q45g	Placemaking Effectiveness: Arts and cultural amenities or events
q45h	Placemaking Effectiveness: Environmentally-friendly construction
q45i	Placemaking Effectiveness: Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths
q45j	Placemaking Effectiveness: Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)
q45k	Placemaking Effectiveness: Local food opportunities
q45l	Placemaking Effectiveness: Form-based codes
q46a	Placemaking Items: First Most Important
q46b	Placemaking Items: Second Most Important
q46c	Placemaking Items: Third Most Important
q47a	Entrepreneurship: Juris. has entrepreneurial activity
q47b	Entrepreneurship: PBED influences where entrepreneurs choose
q47c	Entrepreneurship: Increased entrepreneurial activity help Juris. make places
q47d	Entrepreneurship: Fostering entrepreneurship part of placemaking efforts
q48a	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Access to capital
q48b	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Regulations
q48c	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of reliable public transport
q48d	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of cultural amenities
q48e	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of late night entertainment
q48f	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of IT infrastructure
q48g	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of green construction
q48h	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Excessive local tax burden
q48i	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Excessive state tax burden
q48j	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Licensing costs and/or delays
q48k	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of talented workforce
q48l	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of safe access for pedestrians/bicyclists
q48m	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Deteriorating infrastructure
q48n	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of access to natural environments

q48o	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Unattractive building/landscape design
q48p	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Don't Know
q48q	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Other
q48r	Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Other-specify
q49	How familiar are you with MIplace Partnership Initiative?
q50	You feel things in the state of Michigan are generally going...
q51	You feel things in your jurisdiction are generally going...
q52	How would you rate the way Rick Snyder is performing his job as MI's governor?
q53	Why did you rate the Governor's performance as you did?
q54	How would you rate the way the MI Legislature is performing its job?
q55	Why did you rate the Michigan Legislature's performance as you did?
q56	How would you rate the way your Juris.'s governing board is performing its job?
q57	Trust in the federal government
q58	Trust in the state government
q59	Trust in other local governments
q61	Gender
age_category	Respondent Age
tenure	Respondent's time in office
q64	Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent
q65a	Race: White
q65b	Race: Black or African American
q65c	Race: American Indian or Alaskan Native
q65d	Race: Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
q65e	Race: Asian
q65f	Race: Multiracial
q65g	Race: Other
q65h	Race: Don't Know
q66	Highest level of education
q67	Union household
q68	Political affiliation
q69	Strength of political affiliation
q70	As an Independent, which party are you closer to?
q71	Date hardcopy received
partyid	7-point partisanship scale
threeparty	3-point partisanship scale
region	MI regions
juris_rr	Jurisdiction-level Response Rate
juris_wgt	Jurisdiction-level Weight
ind_rr	Individual-level Response Rate
ind_wgt	Individual-level Weight
pop_density	Population Density
pop_township	Population Category: Township
pop_city	Population Category: City
pop_village	Population Category: Village
pop_county	Population Category: County

FULL VARIABLE TEXT AND FREQUENCIES:

respondent_id
Unique ID for each case

type: numeric (int)

range: [1,1519] units: 1
unique values: 1,511 missing .: 0/1,511

mean: 760.811
std. dev: 438.713

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%
 152 382 761 1140 1368

CODEBOOK NOTE: respondent_id is a unique identifier for each MPPS respondent. It varies between datasets, and cannot be used to link datasets from multiple waves.

master_id
Longitudinal Jurisdiction ID

type: numeric (int)

range: [1,1999] units: 1
unique values: 1,350 missing .: 0/1,511

mean: 1012.37
std. dev: 579.312

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%
 192 510 1022 1520 1802

CODEBOOK NOTE: master_id is an anonymized jurisdiction-level identifier that allows datasets to be linked across multiple waves of the MPPS at the jurisdiction level. Datasets cannot be linked at the individual respondent level. Users should be aware that master_id is linked to a unique combination of fips code and jurisdiction type, so when a jurisdiction type changes (for example, when a village incorporates into a city), the master_id for that jurisdiction also changes.

wave_id
Single-wave Jurisdiction ID

type: numeric (int)

range: [1,1350] units: 1
unique values: 1,350 missing .: 0/1,511

mean: 676.382
std. dev: 389.547

```
percentiles:      10%      25%      50%      75%      90%
                  139      341      674      1018     1214
```

CODEBOOK NOTE: wave_id is an anonymized jurisdiction-level identifier which can be used to link external datafiles with geographic ID's to MPPS datasets. Unlike master_id, wave_id is randomized for every wave of the MPPS, so it does not allow datasets to be linked across years. CLOSUP recognizes that there is significant research value in being able to link external datasets to the MPPS data. However, to protect respondent and jurisdiction confidentiality, direct geographic identifiers are not available in the MPPS datasets. Users who are interested in merging their own geographically-keyed datasets to MPPS datasets should contact CLOSUP (closup@umich.edu) to apply to have wave_id added to the researcher's dataset, in order to enable links between datasets.

idcompleted
Completion status

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: completepart

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                                units: 1
                                                missing .: 0/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   111       0  Partial
                   1,400     1  Complete
```

CODEBOOK NOTE: The Spring 2013 database includes partial surveys by respondents who answered at least through Q5 on the survey instrument.

source
Hardcopies/online completes/partials

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: source3

      range: [1,3]
unique values: 3                                units: 1
                                                missing .: 0/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   90       1  Hard Copy
                   1,310    2  Online Complete
                   111       3  Online Partial
```

idenddate
ID.endDate

```
      type: string (str9)

unique values: 60                                missing "": 0/1,511
```

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates date on which respondent submitted survey online or on which an unfinished "partial" response was closed.

idend
ID.end

type: string (str8)

unique values: 1,462

missing "": 0/1,511

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates time at which respondent submitted survey online or at which an unfinished "partial" response was closed.

idstart
ID.start

type: string (str8)

unique values: 1,490

missing "": 0/1,511

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates time at which respondent started survey online

iddate
ID.date

type: string (str9)

unique values: 61

missing "": 0/1,511

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates date on which respondent started survey online.

idtime
ID.time

type: numeric (float)

range: [6.52,24457.83]

units: .01

unique values: 1,261

missing .: 0/1,511

mean: 589.504

std. dev: 2624.93

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	16.5	22.3	31.25	49.38	118.57

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates length of time (in minutes) respondent took to complete survey online

jtype
Jurisdiction Type

type: numeric (byte)
label: jurisdiction

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 0/1,511

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
65 1 County
1,078 2 Township
231 3 City
137 4 Village

samp_juris
Identifies unique survey responses for each jurisdiction

type: numeric (byte)

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 0/1,511

tabulation: Freq. Value
161 0
1,350 1

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable designates one survey response as representative for each individual jurisdiction:

- If there is a single response from the jurisdiction, samp_juris = 1
- If there are two (or more) responses from the jurisdiction where only one is complete (either hardcopy or online), the complete response is coded as samp_juris = 1, the other(s) coded as samp_juris = 0
- If two (or more) complete responses from jurisdiction, the one from the appointed official (or clerk, if it's a township) is coded as samp_juris = 1, the other(s) coded as samp_juris = 0
- If two (or more) complete responses from jurisdiction but none are appointed (or clerk), then the top elected (or supervisor, if it's a township) is coded as samp_juris = 1, the other(s) coded as samp_juris = 0

snap_elec_app
Elected or appointed to position

type: numeric (byte)
label: elec_app

range: [1,2] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 0/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,270	1	Elected
	241	2	Appointed

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable designates whether respondent is an elected or appointed official. Elected officials include Chairs of County Commission, County Executives, Mayors, Village Presidents, Village Clerks, Township Supervisors, Township Clerks, self-identified "Other" elected; Appointed officials include County Administrators/Controllers, City Administrators/Managers/Superintendents, Village Managers/Superintendents, Township Managers/Superintendents, self-identified "Other" appointed.

snap_pos
Position held--numeric

type:	numeric (byte)		
range:	[1,7]	units:	1
unique values:	7	missing .:	1/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	62	1
	135	2
	134	3
	106	4
	470	5
	589	6
	14	7
	1	.

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable designates what position respondent holds.

Elected officials:

- 1 - Chair of County Commission
- 2 - County Executive
- 3 - Mayor
- 4 - Village President
- 5 - Township Supervisor
- 6 - Township Clerk
- 7 - Other

Appointed officials:

- 1 - County Administrator/Controller
- 2 - City Administrator/Manager/Superintendent
- 3 - Village Manager/Superintendent
- 4 - Township Administrator/Manager
- 5 - Other

CODEBOOK NOTE: Users interested in performing longitudinal analysis using MPPS data should be aware that the positions included in the MPPS sample frame have changed over time, and as a result the codes in snap_pos have changed over time. Users should consult the codebook for an individual wave to determine what position a combination of snap_elec_app and snap_pos indicates in a given wave.

q2

During the next twelve months, business conditions will be...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about business conditions in your community, do you think that during the next twelve months your community will have good times financially, or bad times financially?

type: numeric (byte)
label: business

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 6/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	551	1	Good Times
	256	2	Bad Times
	502	3	Neither
	196	4	Don't Know
	6	.	

q3a

Ability of govt to meet fin. needs this year

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the financial needs of your jurisdiction, would you say that your unit of government is less able or better able to meet its financial needs in... this fiscal year compared to the last fiscal year?

type: numeric (byte)
label: ability

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 10/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	60	1	Significantly Less
	341	2	Somewhat Less
	624	3	Neither
	395	4	Somewhat Better
	67	5	Significantly Better
	14	6	Don't Know
	10	.	

q3b

Ability of govt to meet fin. needs next year

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the financial needs of your jurisdiction, would you say that your unit of government is less able or better able to meet its financial needs in... the next fiscal year compared to this fiscal year?

type: numeric (byte)
label: ability

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 137/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	74	1	Significantly Less
	307	2	Somewhat Less
	510	3	Neither
	344	4	Somewhat Better
	60	5	Significantly Better
	79	6	Don't Know
	137	.	

q4a
Changes in revenue from property taxes...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Revenue from property taxes

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 14/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	72	1	Greatly Decreased
	633	2	Somewhat Decreased
	342	3	No Change
	411	4	Somewhat Increased
	9	5	Greatly Increased
	2	6	Not Applicable
	28	7	Don't Know
	14	.	

q4b
Changes in revenue from fees, licenses, transfers...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Revenue from fees for services, licenses, transfers, etc.

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 17/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	32	1	Greatly Decreased

343	2	Somewhat Decreased
799	3	No Change
205	4	Somewhat Increased
7	5	Greatly Increased
74	6	Not Applicable
34	7	Don't Know
17	.	

q4c
Changes in amount of debt

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Amount of debt

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 19/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	36	1	Greatly Decreased
	293	2	Somewhat Decreased
	720	3	No Change
	154	4	Somewhat Increased
	38	5	Greatly Increased
	236	6	Not Applicable
	15	7	Don't Know
	19	.	

q4d
Changes in ability of jurisdiction to repay debt

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Ability of your jurisdiction to repay its debt

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 20/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	9	1	Greatly Decreased
	68	2	Somewhat Decreased
	924	3	No Change
	170	4	Somewhat Increased
	28	5	Greatly Increased
	284	6	Not Applicable

8 7 Don't Know
20 .

q4e
Changes in amount of federal aid...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Amount of federal aid to your jurisdiction

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 32/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	48	1	Greatly Decreased
	251	2	Somewhat Decreased
	653	3	No Change
	52	4	Somewhat Increased
	5	5	Greatly Increased
	363	6	Not Applicable
	107	7	Don't Know
	32	.	

q4f
Changes in amount of state aid...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Amount of state aid to your jurisdiction

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 16/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	82	1	Greatly Decreased
	398	2	Somewhat Decreased
	547	3	No Change
	270	4	Somewhat Increased
	4	5	Greatly Increased
	119	6	Not Applicable
	75	7	Don't Know
	16	.	

q4g
 Changes in number of tax delinquencies...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Tax delinquencies

```

    type: numeric (byte)
    label: comparison

    range: [1,7]                      units: 1
  unique values: 7                    missing .: 18/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	11	1	Greatly Decreased
	184	2	Somewhat Decreased
	723	3	No Change
	419	4	Somewhat Increased
	28	5	Greatly Increased
	9	6	Not Applicable
	119	7	Don't Know
	18	.	

q4h
 Changes in number of home foreclosures...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Home foreclosures in your jurisdiction

```

    type: numeric (byte)
    label: comparison

    range: [1,7]                      units: 1
  unique values: 7                    missing .: 25/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	34	1	Greatly Decreased
	357	2	Somewhat Decreased
	492	3	No Change
	355	4	Somewhat Increased
	54	5	Greatly Increased
	9	6	Not Applicable
	185	7	Don't Know
	25	.	

q4i
 Changes in population of jurisdiction...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Population of your jurisdiction

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 13/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	20	1	Greatly Decreased
	345	2	Somewhat Decreased
	738	3	No Change
	340	4	Somewhat Increased
	6	5	Greatly Increased
	1	6	Not Applicable
	48	7	Don't Know
	13	.	

q4j
Changes in public safety needs...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Public safety needs

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 20/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	6	1	Greatly Decreased
	36	2	Somewhat Decreased
	947	3	No Change
	385	4	Somewhat Increased
	38	5	Greatly Increased
	60	6	Not Applicable
	19	7	Don't Know
	20	.	

q4k
Changes in infrastructure needs...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate

whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Infrastructure needs

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 26/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	12	1	Greatly Decreased
	25	2	Somewhat Decreased
	612	3	No Change
	567	4	Somewhat Increased
	169	5	Greatly Increased
	65	6	Not Applicable
	35	7	Don't Know
	26	.	

q4l
Changes in human service needs...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Human service needs

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 32/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	2	1	Greatly Decreased
	15	2	Somewhat Decreased
	848	3	No Change
	385	4	Somewhat Increased
	49	5	Greatly Increased
	115	6	Not Applicable
	65	7	Don't Know
	32	.	

q4m
Changes in number of employees...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Number of employees that work for your jurisdiction

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

range: [1,7]
unique values: 6
units: 1
missing .: 8/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	27	1	Greatly Decreased
	209	2	Somewhat Decreased
	1,129	3	No Change
	72	4	Somewhat Increased
	65	6	Not Applicable
	1	7	Don't Know
	8	.	

q4n
Changes in pay rates for Juris.'s employee wages and salaries...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Pay rates for your jurisdiction's employee wages and salaries.

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 9/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	10	1	Greatly Decreased
	63	2	Somewhat Decreased
	767	3	No Change
	603	4	Somewhat Increased
	3	5	Greatly Increased
	53	6	Not Applicable
	3	7	Don't Know
	9	.	

q4o
Changes in cost of Juris.'s employee pensions...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether – in your opinion – there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Cost of your jurisdiction's employee pensions

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

```

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 15/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	5	1	Greatly Decreased
	47	2	Somewhat Decreased
	633	3	No Change
	332	4	Somewhat Increased
	42	5	Greatly Increased
	426	6	Not Applicable
	11	7	Don't Know
	15	.	

q4p

Changes in cost of Juris.'s current employee health benefits...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Cost of your jurisdiction's current employee health benefits

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 20/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	11	1	Greatly Decreased
	104	2	Somewhat Decreased
	227	3	No Change
	326	4	Somewhat Increased
	155	5	Greatly Increased
	651	6	Not Applicable
	17	7	Don't Know
	20	.	

q4q

Changes in cost of Juris.'s retired employee health benefits...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to its previous fiscal year, please consider the ways the following items have changed. Indicate whether - in your opinion - there has been a decrease, an increase, or no change from the previous fiscal year. Cost of your jurisdiction's retired employee health benefits

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 14/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
-------------	-------	---------	-------

12	1	Greatly Decreased
46	2	Somewhat Decreased
331	3	No Change
186	4	Somewhat Increased
61	5	Greatly Increased
841	6	Not Applicable
20	7	Don't Know
14	.	

q5a
Jurisdiction's property tax rates...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Property tax rates

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing : 22/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	14	1	Greatly Decrease
	163	2	Somewhat Decrease
	925	3	No Change
	327	4	Somewhat Increase
	5	5	Greatly Increase
	6	6	Not Applicable
	49	7	Don't Know
	22	.	

q5b
Jurisdiction's charges for fees, licenses, etc...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Charges for fees, licenses, etc.

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing : 14/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	4	1	Greatly Decrease
	29	2	Somewhat Decrease
	1,037	3	No Change
	320	4	Somewhat Increase
	6	5	Greatly Increase


```
78      6  Not Applicable
23      7  Don't Know
14      .
```

q5c

Jurisdiction's reliance on general fund balance...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Reliance on general fund balance

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: comparison2

      range: [1,7]                units: 1
unique values: 7                  missing  .: 11/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              6      1      Greatly Decrease
              91     2      Somewhat Decrease
             943     3      No Change
             382     4      Somewhat Increase
              43     5      Greatly Increase
              16     6      Not Applicable
              19     7      Don't Know
              11     .
```

q5d

Jurisdiction's reliance on rainy day funds...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Reliance on "rainy day" funds

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: comparison2

      range: [1,7]                units: 1
unique values: 7                  missing  .: 20/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              14     1      Greatly Decrease
              61     2      Somewhat Decrease
             931     3      No Change
             249     4      Somewhat Increase
              25     5      Greatly Increase
             171     6      Not Applicable
              40     7      Don't Know
              20     .
```

q5e
Jurisdiction's amount of services provided...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Amount of services provided

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 14/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	10	1	Greatly Decrease
	152	2	Somewhat Decrease
	1,106	3	No Change
	185	4	Somewhat Increase
	5	5	Greatly Increase
	19	6	Not Applicable
	20	7	Don't Know
	14	.	

q5f
Jurisdiction's actual public safety spending...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Actual public safety spending

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 18/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	18	1	Greatly Decrease
	89	2	Somewhat Decrease
	787	3	No Change
	406	4	Somewhat Increase
	19	5	Greatly Increase
	141	6	Not Applicable
	33	7	Don't Know
	18	.	

q5g
Jurisdiction's actual infrastructure spending...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Actual infrastructure spending

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 26/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	31	1	Greatly Decrease
	113	2	Somewhat Decrease
	698	3	No Change
	438	4	Somewhat Increase
	69	5	Greatly Increase
	96	6	Not Applicable
	40	7	Don't Know
	26	.	

q5h
Jurisdiction's actual human services spending...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Actual human services spending

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 38/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	12	1	Greatly Decrease
	48	2	Somewhat Decrease
	937	3	No Change
	116	4	Somewhat Increase
	3	5	Greatly Increase
	296	6	Not Applicable
	61	7	Don't Know
	38	.	

q5i
Jurisdiction's funding for economic development programs...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Funding for economic development programs

type: numeric (byte)

```

label: comparison2
range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 28/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	23	1	Greatly Decrease
	84	2	Somewhat Decrease
	793	3	No Change
	179	4	Somewhat Increase
	10	5	Greatly Increase
	337	6	Not Applicable
	57	7	Don't Know
	28	.	

q5j
Jurisdiction's amount of debt...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Amount of debt

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 26/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	12	1	Greatly Decrease
	237	2	Somewhat Decrease
	709	3	No Change
	180	4	Somewhat Increase
	39	5	Greatly Increase
	276	6	Not Applicable
	32	7	Don't Know
	26	.	

q5k
Jurisdiction's sale of public assets...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Sale of public assets (i.e., parks, buildings, etc.)

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 22/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	6	1	Greatly Decrease

```
      8          2  Somewhat Decrease
    850          3   No Change
      85          4  Somewhat Increase
       6          5  Greatly Increase
    502          6  Not Applicable
      32          7  Don't Know
      22          .
```

q5l
Jurisdiction's privatizing or contracting out services...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Privatizing or contracting out of services

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: comparison2

      range: [1,7]          units: 1
unique values: 7           missing : 20/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              4          1  Greatly Decrease
              10         2  Somewhat Decrease
             987         3   No Change
             176         4  Somewhat Increase
               3          5  Greatly Increase
             272         6  Not Applicable
               39         7  Don't Know
               20          .
```

q5m
Jurisdiction's number/scope of interlocal agreements or cost sharing plans...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Number and/or scope of interlocal agreements or other cost-sharing plans with other governments

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: comparison2

      range: [1,7]          units: 1
unique values: 7           missing : 17/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              1          1  Greatly Decrease
               9          2  Somewhat Decrease
             770         3   No Change
             484         4  Somewhat Increase
               29         5  Greatly Increase
             138         6  Not Applicable
```

63 7 Don't Know
17 .

q5n
Jurisdiction's workforce hiring...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Your jurisdiction's workforce hiring

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 11/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	15	1	Greatly Decrease
	102	2	Somewhat Decrease
	1,138	3	No Change
	76	4	Somewhat Increase
	2	5	Greatly Increase
	151	6	Not Applicable
	16	7	Don't Know
	11	.	

q5o
Jurisdiction's workforce layoffs...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Your jurisdiction's workforce layoffs

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 12/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	6	1	Greatly Decrease
	15	2	Somewhat Decrease
	1,104	3	No Change
	42	4	Somewhat Increase
	4	5	Greatly Increase
	314	6	Not Applicable
	14	7	Don't Know
	12	.	

q5p
Jurisdiction not filling vacant positions...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Your jurisdiction not filling vacant positions

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 30/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	2	1	Greatly Decrease
	25	2	Somewhat Decrease
	923	3	No Change
	118	4	Somewhat Increase
	11	5	Greatly Increase
	382	6	Not Applicable
	20	7	Don't Know
	30	.	

q5q
Jurisdiction's employee pay rates...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Employee pay rates

type: numeric (byte)
label: comparison2
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 36/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1	1	Greatly Decrease
	44	2	Somewhat Decrease
	745	3	No Change
	601	4	Somewhat Increase
	2	5	Greatly Increase
	64	6	Not Applicable
	18	7	Don't Know
	36	.	

q5r
Jurisdiction's employees' share of health benefits...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Employee's share of premiums, deductibles, and/or co-pays on health insurance

type: numeric (byte)

label: comparison2
 range: [1,7] units: 1
 unique values: 7 missing : 14/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1	1	Greatly Decrease
	13	2	Somewhat Decrease
	428	3	No Change
	349	4	Somewhat Increase
	54	5	Greatly Increase
	631	6	Not Applicable
	21	7	Don't Know
	14	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: Users should be aware that, based on CLOSUP's analysis of data from past waves, some jurisdictions that do not offer employee health benefits may have selected "No Change" rather than "Not Applicable". Therefore, this variable cannot be used to measure the number of jurisdictions offering employee health benefits.

 q5s
 Jurisdiction's employees' share of retirement contributions...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Employees' share of contributions to retirement funds

type: numeric (byte)
 label: comparison2

range: [2,7] units: 1
 unique values: 6 missing : 18/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	5	2	Somewhat Decrease
	754	3	No Change
	183	4	Somewhat Increase
	16	5	Greatly Increase
	515	6	Not Applicable
	20	7	Don't Know
	18	.	

 q5t
 Jurisdiction's retirees' share of health benefits...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, comparing your jurisdiction's current fiscal year to the next fiscal year, please indicate which actions your jurisdiction has taken or is likely to take. Retiree's share of premiums, deductibles, and/or co-pays on health insurance

type: numeric (byte)
 label: comparison2

range: [1,7] units: 1

unique values: 7 missing .: 14/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	3	1	Greatly Decrease
	2	2	Somewhat Decrease
	443	3	No Change
	201	4	Somewhat Increase
	28	5	Greatly Increase
	791	6	Not Applicable
	29	7	Don't Know
	14	.	

q6a

Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits to new hires?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits (i.e., health care, dental, vision, life insurance, etc.) to new hires, current employees, or current elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) New hires

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 17/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	596	1	Yes
	664	2	No
	224	3	Not Applicable
	10	4	Don't Know
	17	.	

q6b Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits to current employees?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits (i.e., health care, dental, vision, life insurance, etc.) to new hires, current employees, or current elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) Current employees

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 16/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	691	1	Yes
	610	2	No
	190	3	Not Applicable
	4	4	Don't Know
	16	.	

q6c Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits to current elected officials?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits (i.e., health care, dental, vision, life insurance, etc.) to new hires, current employees, or current elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) Current elected officials

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 24/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	328	1	Yes
	997	2	No
	158	3	Not Applicable
	4	4	Don't Know
	24	.	

q6any Fringe benefits offered to new hires, curr employees, or elec. officials

type: numeric (float)
label: any

range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing : 0/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	736	1	Yes - any
	562	2	No - none
	213	3	Don't know/Not Applicable/Missing

CODEBOOK NOTE: q6any summarizes responses to q6a-q6c. q6any=1 "Yes - any" if q6a=1, q6b=1, or q6c=1. q6any=2 "No - none" if all of q6a, q6b, and q6c=2. q6any=3 "Don't know/ Not Applicable/Missing" if responses to q6a-q6c are a combination of 2 "No", 3 "Don't Know", 4 "Not Applicable", and missing.

q7a Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to new hires?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement income benefits (defined benefit pensions, defined contribution plans, etc.) to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)
New hires

type: numeric (byte)

label: y_n_na_dk
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 18/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	638	1	Yes
	626	2	No
	210	3	Not Applicable
	19	4	Don't Know
	18	.	

q7b

Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to current employees?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement income benefits (defined benefit pensions, defined contribution plans, etc.) to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)
Current employees

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 13/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	767	1	Yes
	547	2	No
	173	3	Not Applicable
	11	4	Don't Know
	13	.	

q7c

Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to retired employees?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement income benefits (defined benefit pensions, defined contribution plans, etc.) to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)
Retired employees

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 24/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	405	1	Yes
	830	2	No
	228	3	Not Applicable

24 4 Don't Know
24 .

q7d

Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to current elected officials?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement income benefits (defined benefit pensions, defined contribution plans, etc.) to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)
Current elected officials

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 14/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	616	1	Yes
	762	2	No
	112	3	Not Applicable
	7	4	Don't Know
	14	.	

q7e

Does Juris. offer any retirement income benefits to former elected officials?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement income benefits (defined benefit pensions, defined contribution plans, etc.) to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)
Former elected officials

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 25/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	184	1	Yes
	1,125	2	No
	149	3	Not Applicable
	28	4	Don't Know
	25	.	

q7any

Retirement income offered to new hires, curr employees, or elec. officials

type: numeric (float)

label: any
 range: [1,3] units: 1
 unique values: 3 missing : 0/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	988	1	Yes - any
	373	2	No - none
	150	3	Don't know/Not Applicable/Missing

CODEBOOK NOTE: q7any summarizes responses to q7a-q7e. q7any=1 "Yes - any" if q7a=1, q7b=1, q7c=1, q7d=1, or q7e=1. q7any=2 "No - none" if all of q7a, q7b, q7c, q7d, and q7e=2. q7any=3 "Don't know/ Not Applicable/Missing" if responses to q7a-q7e are a combination of 2 "No", 3 "Don't Know", 4 "Not Applicable", and missing.

 q8a
 Juris. offers personnel/current retirees a defined benefit only

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (*check all that apply*) Defined benefit only

type: numeric (byte)
 label: selected
 range: [0,1] units: 1
 unique values: 2 missing : 538/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	746	0	Not Selected
	227	1	Selected
	538	.	

 q8b
 Juris. offers personnel/current retirees a defined contribution only

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (*check all that apply*) Defined contribution only

type: numeric (byte)
 label: selected
 range: [0,1] units: 1
 unique values: 2 missing : 538/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	698	0	Not Selected
	275	1	Selected
	538	.	

q8c

Juris. offers personnel/current retirees both plans

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (*check all that apply*) Both (i.e., defined benefit plans for some employees, defined contribution for others)

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected

range: [0,1]

units: 1

unique values: 2

missing .: 538/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	742	0	Not Selected
	231	1	Selected
	538	.	

q8d

Juris. offers personnel/current retirees a hybrid plan

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (*check all that apply*) Hybrid plan (i.e., plans that combine features of both defined benefit and defined contribution plans for an individual employee)

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected

range: [0,1]

units: 1

unique values: 2

missing .: 538/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	905	0	Not Selected
	68	1	Selected
	538	.	

q8e

Juris. offers personnel/current retirees none of these plans

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (*check all that apply*) None of these

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 538/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	839	0	Not Selected
	134	1	Selected
	538	.	

q8f

Don't know if jurisdiction offers personnel/current retirees these plans

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (*check all that apply*) Don't know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 538/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	910	0	Not Selected
	63	1	Selected
	538	.	

q9

Juris. will introduce either defined contribution or hybrid plan w/in 12 months?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How likely is it that your jurisdiction will introduce either a defined contribution plan or a hybrid plan (plans that combine features of both defined benefit and defined contribution plans for an individual employee) for its current personnel and/or new hires within the next 12 months?

type: numeric (byte)
label: likelihood2

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 1,287/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	40	1	Very Likely
	37	2	Somewhat Likely
	23	3	Somewhat Unlikely
	91	4	Very Unlikely
	33	5	Don't Know
	1,287	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q8a=1.

q10

Does Juris. have unfunded obligations as result of providing retirement income?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking specifically about defined benefit (i.e., traditional pensions) and/or hybrid plans, does your jurisdiction have unfunded fiscal obligations as a result of providing retirement income?

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 1,016/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	273	1	Yes
	193	2	No
	7	3	Not Applicable
	22	4	Don't Know
	1,016	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q8a=1, q8c=1, or q8d=1.

q11

Juris.'s unfunded pension/hybrid obligations' impact on its fiscal health are...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your opinion, are your jurisdiction's unfunded pension and/or hybrid plan obligations a significant fiscal problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of a problem, or not a problem at all for your jurisdiction's fiscal health?

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 1,239/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	66	1	A Significant Problem
	123	2	Somewhat of a Problem
	61	3	Not Much of a Problem
	22	4	Not a Problem at All
	1,239	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q10=1.

q12a

Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to new hires?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement health care or other post-employment benefit plans (sometimes referred to as "OPEB") to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) New hires

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 24/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	182	1	Yes
	1,084	2	No
	196	3	Not Applicable
	25	4	Don't Know
	24	.	

q12b

Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to current employees?

QUESITONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement health care or other post-employment benefit plans (sometimes referred to as "OPEB") to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) Current employees

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 25/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	329	1	Yes
	959	2	No
	180	3	Not Applicable
	18	4	Don't Know
	25	.	

q12c

Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to retired employees?

QUESITONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement health care or other post-employment benefit plans (sometimes referred to as "OPEB") to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) Retired employees

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing : 34/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	318	1	Yes

956	2	No
184	3	Not Applicable
19	4	Don't Know
34	.	

q12d

Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to current elected officials?

QUESITONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement health care or other post-employment benefit plans (sometimes referred to as "OPEB") to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? *(If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)* Current elected officials

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 26/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	111	1	Yes
	1,226	2	No
	136	3	Not Applicable
	12	4	Don't Know
	26	.	

q12e

Does Juris. offer health care/retirement benefits to former elected officials?

QUESITONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement health care or other post-employment benefit plans (sometimes referred to as "OPEB") to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? *(If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)* Former elected officials

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 32/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	97	1	Yes
	1,224	2	No
	141	3	Not Applicable
	17	4	Don't Know
	32	.	

q12any

OPEB offered to new hires, curr employees, retired employees, or elec. officials

```

type: numeric (float)
label: any

range: [1,3]
unique values: 3

units: 1
missing : 0/1,511

```

```

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
              369         1  Yes - any
              893         2  No - none
              249         3  Don't know/Not
                          Applicable/Missing

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: q12any summarizes responses to q12a-q12e. q12any=1 "Yes - any" if q12a=1, q12b=1, q12c=1, q12d=1, or q12e=1. q12any=2 "No - none" if all of q12a, q12b, q12c, q12d, and q12e=2. q12any=3 "Don't know/ Not Applicable/Missing" if responses to q12a-q12e are a combination of 2 "No", 3 "Don't Know", 4 "Not Applicable", and missing.

q13

Does Juris. have unfunded fiscal obligations as result of retirement HC or OPEB?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Does your jurisdiction have unfunded fiscal obligations as a result of providing retirement health care or other post-employment benefits?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_dk

range: [1,3]
unique values: 3

units: 1
missing : 1,145/1,511

```

```

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
              219         1  Yes
              126         2  No
               21         3  Don't Know
            1,145         .

```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q12a=1 or q12b=1 or q12c=1 or q12d=1 or q12e=1

q14

Juris.'s unfunded HC/OPEB obligations' impact on its fiscal health are...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your opinion, are your jurisdiction's unfunded retiree health care or other post-employment benefit obligations a significant fiscal problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of a problem, or not a problem at all for your jurisdiction's fiscal health?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4

units: 1
missing : 1,296/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	87	1	A Significant Problem
	77	2	Somewhat of a Problem
	37	3	Not Much of a Problem
	14	4	Not a Problem at All
	1,296	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q13=1

q15

Are any of Juris.'s employees members of a public sector labor union?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Are any of your jurisdiction's employees members of a public sector labor union?

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	y_n_na_dk		
range:	[1,4]	units:	1
unique values:	4	missing .:	23/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	419	1	Yes
	896	2	No
	115	3	Not Applicable
	58	4	Don't Know
	23	.	

q16a

Public sector union: Police

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What type(s) of public sector labor unions does your jurisdiction currently have? (*check all that apply*) Police

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	selected		
range:	[0,1]	units:	1
unique values:	2	missing .:	1,096/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	88	0	Not Selected
	327	1	Selected
	1,096	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1

q16b

Public sector union: Fire

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What type(s) of public sector labor unions does your jurisdiction currently have? (check all that apply) Fire

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,096/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	250	0	Not Selected
	165	1	Selected
	1,096	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1

q16c

Public sector union: Department of Public Works (DPW)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What type(s) of public sector labor unions does your jurisdiction currently have? (check all that apply) Department of Public Works (DPW)

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,096/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	150	0	Not Selected
	265	1	Selected
	1,096	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1

q16d

Public sector union: Other(s)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What type(s) of public sector labor unions does your jurisdiction currently have? (check all that apply) Other(s)

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,096/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	218	0	Not Selected
	197	1	Selected
	1,096	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In the last 12 months, has your jurisdiction negotiated with any of its labor unions on issues such as pay, benefits, staffing levels, or changes in work rules?

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_dk
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 1,096/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	301	1	Yes
	112	2	No
	2	3	Don't Know
	1,096	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1

q18a1
Negotiations on union employee pay: Union(s) made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee pay: Union(s) made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,216/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	160	0	Not Selected
	135	1	Selected
	1,216	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18a2
Negotiations on union employee pay: Jurisdiction made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee pay: Jurisdiction made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 1,216/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	181	0	Not Selected
	114	1	Selected
	1,216	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18a3

Negotiations on union employee pay: Neither side made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee pay: Neither side made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,216/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	232	0	Not Selected
	63	1	Selected
	1,216	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18a4

Negotiations on union employee pay: Did not negotiate

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee pay: Did not negotiate on this issue

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,216/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	279	0	Not Selected
	16	1	Selected
	1,216	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18a5
Negotiations on union employee pay: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee pay: Don't Know

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 1,216/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   284      0    Not Selected
                   11      1    Selected
                   1,216    .
```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18b1
Negotiations on union employee benefits: Union(s) made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee benefits: Union(s) made concessions

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 1,216/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   79      0    Not Selected
                   216    1    Selected
                   1,216    .
```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18b2
Negotiations on union employee benefits: Jurisdiction made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the

outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee benefits: Jurisdiction made concessions

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2

units: 1
missing .: 1,216/1,511

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
             234      0   Not Selected
             61      1   Selected
            1,216      .
```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18b3

Negotiations on union employee benefits: Neither side made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee benefits: Neither side made concessions

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2

units: 1
missing .: 1,216/1,511

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
             255      0   Not Selected
             40      1   Selected
            1,216      .
```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18b4

Negotiations on union employee benefits: Did not negotiate

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee benefits: Did not negotiate on this issue

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2

units: 1
missing .: 1,216/1,511
```

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee staffing levels: Jurisdiction made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	279	0	Not Selected
	15	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18c3

Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Neither side made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee staffing levels: Neither side made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	178	0	Not Selected
	116	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18c4

Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Did not negotiate

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee staffing levels: Did not negotiate on this issue

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing : 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	206	0	Not Selected
	88	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18c5
Negotiations on union employee staffing levels: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee staffing levels: Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing : 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	280	0	Not Selected
	14	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18d1
Negotiations on union employee work rules: Union(s) made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee work rules: Union(s) made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing : 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	186	0	Not Selected
	108	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18d2

Negotiations on union employee work rules: Jurisdiction made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee work rules: Jurisdiction made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing : 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	263	0	Not Selected
	31	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18d3

Negotiations on union employee work rules: Neither side made concessions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee work rules: Neither side made concessions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing : 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	197	0	Not Selected
	97	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18d4

Negotiations on union employee work rules: Did not negotiate

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee work rules: Did not negotiate on this issue

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	232	0	Not Selected
	62	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18d5

Negotiations on union employee work rules: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We understand labor negotiations can be complex, can include more than one union, and can include a lot of "give and take" where "concessions" may benefit both sides. From your jurisdiction's perspective, overall, what were the outcomes from negotiations on the following issues? (*check all that apply*) Changes in union employee work rules: Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,217/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	274	0	Not Selected
	20	1	Selected
	1,217	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1

q18count

Number of issues negotiated on

type: numeric (float)
range: [0,4] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 1,210/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	19	0
	9	1
	55	2

46 3
172 4
1,210 .

SKIP PATTERN: q18a1-q18d5 not asked unless q17=1

CODEBOOK NOTE: q18count is a count of the number of issues that the respondent indicated the jurisdiction had negotiated on with public sector labor unions. The listed issues were "Changes in employee pay", "Changes in union employee benefits", "Changes in union employee staffing levels", and "Changes in union employee work rules". An issue was considered to have been negotiated on if the respondent selected 1 "Union(s) made concessions", 2 "Jurisdiction made concessions", or 3 "Neither side made concessions". "Don't Know" and . "Missing" answers were excluded from the count. The maximum possible value of q18count is 4. q18count=. if q18a-q18d were not asked because of skip patterns (not asked unless q17=1).

q19

Overall satisfaction with outcomes of union negotiations over last 12 months

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Overall, how satisfied are you with the outcomes of negotiations with your jurisdiction's employee union(s) over the last 12 months?

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing : 1,214/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	123	1	Very Satisfied
	114	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	29	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	17	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	6	5	Very Dissatisfied
	8	6	Don't Know
	1,214	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17=1.

q20

How likely Juris. will seek concessions from employee union(s) in next 12 mos.?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking ahead to the next 12 months, how likely is it that your jurisdiction will seek concessions —such as reduced pay or benefits, reduced staffing levels, or changes in work rules — from one or more of its employee unions?

type: numeric (byte)
label: likelihood

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing : 1,100/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	116	1	Very Likely
	87	2	Somewhat Likely
	84	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	35	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	75	5	Very Unlikely
	14	6	Don't Know
	1,100	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1.

q21

How have the union(s) affected jurisdiction's fiscal health in last 12 mos.?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your opinion, overall, have the union(s) that represent your employees been an asset, a liability, or neither, to your jurisdiction's fiscal health in the last 12 months?

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	asset_liability		
range:	[1,6]	units:	1
unique values:	6	missing .:	1,105/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	34	1	A Significant Asset
	74	2	Somewhat of an Asset
	178	3	Neither an Asset nor a Liability
	81	4	Somewhat of a Liability
	28	5	A Significant Liability
	11	6	Don't Know
	1,105	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1.

q22 How have the union(s) affected jurisdiction's overall performance in last 12 mos

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your opinion, overall, have the union(s) that represent your employees been an asset, a liability, or neither, to your jurisdiction's overall performance in the last 12 months?

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	asset_liability		
range:	[1,6]	units:	1
unique values:	6	missing .:	1,106/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	40	1	A Significant Asset
	81	2	Somewhat of an Asset
	198	3	Neither an Asset nor a Liability
	59	4	Somewhat of a Liability

```

          14          5  A Significant Liability
          13          6  Don't Know
    1,106          .

```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1.

q23

Relationship between jurisdiction's union(s) and administration in last 12 mos.

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the last 12 months, how would you describe, overall, the relationship between your jurisdiction's employee union(s) and your jurisdiction's administration?

```

          type: numeric (byte)
          label: rating

          range: [1,5]          units: 1
    unique values: 5          missing .: 1,103/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              92      1  Excellent
              214     2   Good
              82      3   Fair
              17      4   Poor
               3      5  Don't Know
    1,103      .

```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q15=1.

q24

How familiar are you with Michigan's Right-To-Work legislation?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any public sector employee unions, we're interested in your views on Michigan's Right-To-Work laws (P.A. 348 and 349 of 2012) that took effect on March 28, 2013. How familiar would you say you are with Michigan's Right-To-Work legislation?

```

          type: numeric (byte)
          label: familiar

          range: [1,5]          units: 1
    unique values: 5          missing .: 45/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              294     1  Very Familiar
              870     2  Somewhat Familiar
              240     3  Mostly Unfamiliar
               22     4  Completely Unfamiliar
               40     5  Don't Know
               45     .

```

q25a

Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Council/Board

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work legislation makes it illegal to require employees' financial support of a union – such as union dues or fees – as a condition of employment. Regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any public sector employee unions, to what extent would you say the following people or groups within your jurisdiction either support or oppose the Right-To-Work law for public sector unions? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) The majority of your jurisdiction's Council/Board...

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose_na
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 107/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	210	1	Strongly Support
	218	2	Somewhat Support
	224	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
	83	4	Somewhat Oppose
	72	5	Strongly Oppose
	122	6	Not Applicable
	475	7	Don't Know
	107	.	.

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

q25b

Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Non-union employees

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work legislation makes it illegal to require employees' financial support of a union – such as union dues or fees – as a condition of employment. Regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any public sector employee unions, to what extent would you say the following people or groups within your jurisdiction either support or oppose the Right-To-Work law for public sector unions? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) The majority of your local government's non-union employees...

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose_na
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 111/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	112	1	Strongly Support
	175	2	Somewhat Support
	256	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
	60	4	Somewhat Oppose
	43	5	Strongly Oppose
	277	6	Not Applicable

477 7 Don't Know
111 .

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

q25c

Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Union employees

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work legislation makes it illegal to require employees' financial support of a union – such as union dues or fees – as a condition of employment. Regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any public sector employee unions, to what extent would you say the following people or groups within your jurisdiction either support or oppose the Right-To-Work law for public sector unions? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) The majority of your local government's union employees...

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 119/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	15	1	Strongly Support
	35	2	Somewhat Support
	139	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
	102	4	Somewhat Oppose
	132	5	Strongly Oppose
	618	6	Not Applicable
	351	7	Don't Know
	119	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

q25d

Public Sector RTW Support/Opposition: Respondent

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work legislation makes it illegal to require employees' financial support of a union – such as union dues or fees – as a condition of employment. Regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any public sector employee unions, to what extent would you say the following people or groups within your jurisdiction either support or oppose the Right-To-Work law for public sector unions? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions) You personally...

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 118/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
-------------	-------	---------	-------

390	1	Strongly Support
254	2	Somewhat Support
250	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
115	4	Somewhat Oppose
192	5	Strongly Oppose
90	6	Not Applicable
102	7	Don't Know
118	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

q26

Do you support/oppose public sector RTW exemption for police/fire?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The current Right-To-Work law regarding public sector employee unions exempts police and fire unions. To what extent do you personally support or oppose this exemption?

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 116/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	189	1	Strongly Support
	169	2	Somewhat Support
	423	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
	203	4	Somewhat Oppose
	250	5	Strongly Oppose
	161	6	Don't Know
	116	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

q27a

RTW Impact: Michigan's economy

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work laws passed in Michigan cover not only public sector employee unions, but private sector unions as well. Again, regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any employee unions, what overall impact, if any, do you believe these Right-To-Work laws will have over time on... Michigan's economy?

type: numeric (byte)
label: impact

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 122/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	184	1	Very Positive Impact
	312	2	Somewhat Positive Impact

308	3	Mixed Positive and Negative Impact
163	4	Somewhat Negative Impact
105	5	Very Negative Impact
64	6	No Impact
253	7	Don't Know
122	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

CODEBOOK NOTE: Respondents who answered anything other than 6 "No Impact" to any of q27a-q27e were asked an open-ended follow up question q28 "Please briefly describe what you think will be the biggest impact, if any, of the Right-To-Work laws on your local government and/or community." Responses to q28 can be found in the accompanying redacted open-ends file, and can be linked to this dataset using the ID variables.

q27b

RTW Impact: Fiscal health of jurisdiction

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work laws passed in Michigan cover not only public sector employee unions, but private sector unions as well. Again, regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any employee unions, what overall impact, if any, do you believe these Right-To-Work laws will have over time on... the fiscal health of your local government?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: impact

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing : 120/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	72	1	Very Positive Impact
	167	2	Somewhat Positive Impact
	262	3	Mixed Positive and Negative Impact
	54	4	Somewhat Negative Impact
	33	5	Very Negative Impact
	575	6	No Impact
	228	7	Don't Know
	120	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

CODEBOOK NOTE: Respondents who answered anything other than 6 "No Impact" to any of q27a-q27e were asked an open-ended follow up question q28 "Please briefly describe what you think will be the biggest impact, if any, of the Right-To-Work laws on your local government and/or community." Responses to q28 can be found in the accompanying redacted open-ends file, and can be linked to this dataset using the ID variables.

q27c

RTW Impact: Ability of community to attract/retain businesses

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work laws passed in Michigan cover not only public sector employee unions, but private sector unions as well. Again, regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any employee unions, what overall impact, if any, do you believe these Right-To-Work laws will have over time on... the ability of your community to attract and/or retain businesses?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: impact

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing .: 122/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	95	1	Very Positive Impact
	246	2	Somewhat Positive Impact
	232	3	Mixed Positive and Negative Impact
	68	4	Somewhat Negative Impact
	32	5	Very Negative Impact
	436	6	No Impact
	280	7	Don't Know
	122	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

CODEBOOK NOTE: Respondents who answered anything other than 6 "No Impact" to any of q27a-q27e were asked an open-ended follow up question q28 "Please briefly describe what you think will be the biggest impact, if any, of the Right-To-Work laws on your local government and/or community." Responses to q28 can be found in the accompanying redacted open-ends file, and can be linked to this dataset using the ID variables.

q27d

RTW Impact: Ability of jurisdiction's govt. to attract/retain employees

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work laws passed in Michigan cover not only public sector employee unions, but private sector unions as well. Again, regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any employee unions, what overall impact, if any, do you believe these Right-To-Work laws will have over time on... the ability of your local government to attract and/or retain desired employees?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: impact

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing .: 122/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	67	1	Very Positive Impact
	124	2	Somewhat Positive Impact
	214	3	Mixed Positive and Negative Impact
	56	4	Somewhat Negative Impact
	28	5	Very Negative Impact
	686	6	No Impact
	214	7	Don't Know

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

CODEBOOK NOTE: Respondents who answered anything other than 6 "No Impact" to any of q27a-q27e were asked an open-ended follow up question q28 "Please briefly describe what you think will be the biggest impact, if any, of the Right-To-Work laws on your local government and/or community." Responses to q28 can be found in the accompanying redacted open-ends file, and can be linked to this dataset using the ID variables.

q27e

RTW Impact: Relationship between jurisdiction's govt. & its union(s)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: The Right-To-Work laws passed in Michigan cover not only public sector employee unions, but private sector unions as well. Again, regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any employee unions, what overall impact, if any, do you believe these Right-To-Work laws will have over time on... the relationship between your jurisdiction's administration and its union(s) overall?

```

                type: numeric (byte)
                label: impact

                range: [1,7]                                units: 1
unique values: 7                                           missing .: 1,121/1,511
    
```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	9	1	Very Positive Impact
	29	2	Somewhat Positive Impact
	119	3	Mixed Positive and Negative Impact
	63	4	Somewhat Negative Impact
	15	5	Very Negative Impact
	114	6	No Impact
	41	7	Don't Know
	1,121	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if q24=4.

CODEBOOK NOTE: Respondents who answered anything other than 6 "No Impact" to any of q27a-q27e were asked an open-ended follow up question q28 "Please briefly describe what you think will be the biggest impact, if any, of the Right-To-Work laws on your local government and/or community." Responses to q28 can be found in the accompanying redacted open-ends file, and can be linked to this dataset using the ID variables.

q29a

This FY, Juris. utilized employee furloughs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the current fiscal year, please indicate whether or not your jurisdiction utilized the following policies. Employee furloughs

```

                type: numeric (byte)
                label: y_n_na_dk
    
```


range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 61/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	57	1	Yes
	902	2	No
	475	3	Not Applicable
	16	4	Don't Know
	61	.	

q29b

This FY, Juris. utilized a four-day work week

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the current fiscal year, please indicate whether or not your jurisdiction utilized the following policies. A four-day work week

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 69/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	132	1	Yes
	843	2	No
	460	3	Not Applicable
	7	4	Don't Know
	69	.	

q29c

This FY, Juris. utilized early retirement incentives/buyouts

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the current fiscal year, please indicate whether or not your jurisdiction utilized the following policies. Early retirement incentives or buyouts

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 67/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	56	1	Yes
	855	2	No
	525	3	Not Applicable
	8	4	Don't Know
	67	.	

q29d

This FY, Juris. reduced pay/benefits for new compared to current employees

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the current fiscal year, please indicate whether or not your jurisdiction utilized the following policies. Reduced pay rates and/or benefits packages for new hires, compared to current employee compensation rates

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n_na_dk

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4

units: 1
missing .: 73/1,511

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
              279         1   Yes
              689         2   No
              450         3   Not Applicable
              20         4   Don't Know
              73         .

```

q29count
Number of employee policies used

```

type: numeric (float)
label: count3, but 4 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [0,4]
unique values: 5

units: 1
missing .: 56/1,511

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
              1,059       0   None used
              293         1
              80          2
              21          3
              2           4
              56          .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: q29count is a summary variable which counts the number of policies in q29a-q29d to which the respondent answered 1 "Yes". Q29count=. if the respondent did not answer any of q29a-q29d. Responses of 3 "Not Applicable" and 4 "Don't Know" are not included in this summary variable.

q30a
Next FY, will Juris. utilize employee furloughs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the next fiscal year, how likely is it that your jurisdiction will utilize the following policies? Employee furloughs

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: likelihood_na

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing .: 62/1,511

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label

```

q30d

Next FY, will Juris. reduce pay/benefits for new compared to current employees

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the next fiscal year, how likely is it that your jurisdiction will utilize the following policies? Reduced pay rates and/or benefits packages for new hires, compared to current employee compensation

type: numeric (byte)
label: likelihood_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 62/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	130	1	Very Likely
	150	2	Somewhat Likely
	181	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	73	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	376	5	Very Unlikely
	501	6	Not Applicable
	38	7	Don't know
	62	.	

q31a

This FY, service(s) completely eliminated and no longer provided at all

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your current fiscal year, did your jurisdiction completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) Yes, service(s) completely eliminated and no longer provided at all

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 52/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,415	0	Not Selected
	44	1	Selected
	52	.	

q31b

This FY, service(s) completely eliminated but now provided by another entity

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your current fiscal year, did your jurisdiction completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) Yes, service(s) completely eliminated, but now provided by another entity

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 52/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,409	0	Not Selected
	50	1	Selected
	52	.	

q31c
This FY, no services eliminated

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your current fiscal year, did your jurisdiction completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) No

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 52/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	101	0	Not Selected
	1,358	1	Selected
	52	.	

q31d
This FY, don't know if service(s) were eliminated

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your current fiscal year, did your jurisdiction completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 52/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,448	0	Not Selected
	11	1	Selected
	52	.	

q31_new
This FY, any service(s) completely eliminated by J

type: numeric (float)
label: eliminated

range: [1,3] units: 1

unique values: 3 missing .: 52/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	90	1	Yes
	1,358	2	No
	11	3	Don't Know
	52	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: q31_new summarizes responses to q31a-q31d. q31_new=1 "Yes" if q31a=1 or q31b=1, q31_new=2 "No" if q31c=1, and q31_new=3 "Don't Know" if q31d=1.

q32a

Next FY, service(s) will be completely eliminated and no longer provided

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Looking ahead to the next fiscal year, do you expect your jurisdiction will completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) Yes, service(s) will be completely eliminated and no longer provided at all

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 54/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,419	0	Not Selected
	38	1	Selected
	54	.	

q32b

Next FY, service(s) will be completely eliminated but provided by another

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Looking ahead to the next fiscal year, do you expect your jurisdiction will completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) Yes, service(s) will be completely eliminated, but will be provided by another entity

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 54/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,414	0	Not Selected
	43	1	Selected
	54	.	

q32c

Next FY, no services will be eliminated

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Looking ahead to the next fiscal year, do you expect your jurisdiction will completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) No

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 54/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  225      0   Not Selected
                  1,232    1   Selected
                  54       .
```

q32d
Next FY, don't know if service(s) will be eliminated

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Looking ahead to the next fiscal year, do you expect your jurisdiction will completely eliminate any particular services? (*check all that apply*) Don't Know

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 54/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  1,308    0   Not Selected
                  149     1   Selected
                  54       .
```

q33
Juris.'s unreserved gen. fund balance as % gen. fund expenditures at end last FY

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Different local jurisdictions manage their unreserved general fund balances in different ways depending on their specific circumstances. Despite these differences, we're interested in tracking overall changes in these balances over time. Approximately what was your jurisdiction's unreserved general fund balance as a percentage of general fund expenditures at the end of its last fiscal year?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: percent

      range: [1,9]
unique values: 9                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 119/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  43      1   0% or Less
                  88      2   1-5%
                  121     3   6-10%
                  113     4   11-15%
```

128	5	16-20%
93	6	21-25%
98	7	26-30%
351	8	Over 30%
357	9	Don't Know
119	.	

q34

You consider jurisdiction's unreserved gen. fund balance to be...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about your jurisdiction's fiscal needs, overall, do you consider your jurisdiction's unreserved general fund balance to be too high, about right, or too low?

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: high_low

      range: [1,4]
unique values: 4
                                units: 1
                                missing .: 86/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	59	1	Too High
	864	2	About Right
	336	3	Too Low
	166	4	Don't Know
	86	.	

q35

Jurisdiction's cash flow and ability to pay bills in a timely manner is...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In your opinion, is your jurisdiction's cash flow and its ability to pay bills in a timely manner a significant fiscal problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of a problem, or not a problem at all?

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: problem

      range: [1,5]
unique values: 5
                                units: 1
                                missing .: 66/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	9	1	A Significant Problem
	90	2	Somewhat of a Problem
	330	3	Not Much of a Problem
	1,011	4	Not a Problem at All
	5	5	Don't Know
	66	.	

q36a

Jurisdiction's overall fiscal health: Today

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, thinking about the overall fiscal stress in your jurisdiction today and what you expect it to be down the road – including any future financial obligations it may have – on a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is the best: perfect fiscal health and 10 is the worst: fiscal crisis, how would you rate your jurisdiction’s overall fiscal stress... today?

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: fiscal_health, but 8 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [1,11]
unique values: 11
units: 1
missing .: 98/1,511

examples: 2
          3
          4
          6
```

q36a_new
Fiscal Stress Index Today, 3 cat

```
type: numeric (float)
label: fsi

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4
units: 1
missing .: 98/1,511

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
              995       1  Low-stress (1-4)
              272       2  Medium stress (5-6)
              121       3  High-stress (7-10)
               25       4  Don't know
               98       .
```

CODEBOOK NOTE: q36a_new summarizes responses to q36a into three categories: Low-stress, Medium-stress, and High-stress. These categories match the categories used for analysis in CLOSUP’s reports for the Spring 2015 survey.

q36b
Jurisdiction's overall fiscal health: Five years from now

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, thinking about the overall fiscal stress in your jurisdiction today and what you expect it to be down the road – including any future financial obligations it may have – on a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is the best: perfect fiscal health and 10 is the worst: fiscal crisis, how would you rate your jurisdiction’s overall fiscal stress... as you expect it to be five years from now?

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: fiscal_health, but 8 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [1,11]
units: 1
```


following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) State forest land

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 113/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   904     0      Not Selected
                   494     1      Selected
                   113     .
```

q37c

Property-Tax Exempt: Nonprofit land holdings

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Nonprofit land holdings such as conservation easements

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 113/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   1,033   0      Not Selected
                   365    1      Selected
                   113    .
```

q37d

Property-Tax Exempt: Other governmental property

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Other governmental property (for example, federal or state offices, libraries, etc.)

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 113/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   862     0      Not Selected
                   536     1      Selected
                   113     .
```

q37e

Property-Tax Exempt: Local K-12 school system property

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Local K-12 school system property

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	628	0	Not Selected
	770	1	Selected
	113	.	

q37f

Property-Tax Exempt: Universities or other higher education institutions

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Universities or other higher education institutions

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,247	0	Not Selected
	151	1	Selected
	113	.	

q37g

Property-Tax Exempt: Nonprofit hospital and health-care facilities

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Nonprofit hospital and health-care facilities

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,143	0	Not Selected
	255	1	Selected
	113	.	

q37h

Property-Tax Exempt: Houses of worship, burial grounds, tombs, monuments

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Houses of public worship, burial grounds, religious tombs, or monuments

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	378	0	Not Selected
	1,020	1	Selected
	113	.	

q37i

Property-Tax Exempt: Housing for elderly or disabled persons

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Housing for elderly or disabled persons

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	969	0	Not Selected
	429	1	Selected
	113	.	

q37j

Property-Tax Exempt: Other non-profit organizations

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Other non-profit organizations

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 113/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  996       0     Not Selected
                  402       1     Selected
                  113       .
```

q37k

Property-Tax Exempt: Principal residence exemptions for people in poverty

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Principal residence exemptions for people in poverty

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 113/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  770       0     Not Selected
                  628       1     Selected
                  113       .
```

q37l

Property-Tax Exempt: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Don't Know

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 113/1,511

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  1,293     0     Not Selected
                  105       1     Selected
```

q37m
Property-Tax Exempt: Other

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (*check all that apply*) Other

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected

range: [0,1]

units: 1

unique values: 2

missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,316	0	Not Selected
	82	1	Selected
	113	.	

q37n
Property-Tax Exempt: Other-specify

type: string (str107)

unique values: 90

missing "": 1,421/1,511

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable does not appear in the Stata dataset, because some versions of Stata do not support long string variables. Responses to q37n are available in the excel file 2013-Spring-Opens-REDACTED.xlsx, and can be linked back to the original data using the variable respondent_id, as well as the ID.date, ID.start, ID.endDate, and ID.end variables. Additionally, to protect respondent confidentiality, identifying information from responses was redacted. Redacted text was denoted [REDACTED]. The original responses are not available to secondary users of the data.

q37count
Number of Property-Tax Exemptions Selected

type: numeric (float)

label: count, but 11 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [1,99]

units: 1

unique values: 12

missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	125	1	
	219	2	
	228	3	

230	4
172	5
122	6
79	7
63	8
32	9
18	10
5	11
105	99 Don't Know Selected
113	.

CODEBOOK NOTE: q37count is a count of the number of property tax exemptions selected in q37a-q37k and q37m. The maximum count is 12. If q37l "Don't Know"=1, q37count=99 "Don't Know Selected".

q38a
Property-Tax Exempt Orgs/Parcels: Juris.'s total parcels

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction, approximately how much do they represent of... your jurisdiction's total number of parcels?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: amount1

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4

units: 1
missing .: 232/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	119	1	A Significant Amount
	341	2	A Moderate Amount
	682	3	An Insignificant Amount
	137	4	Don't Know
	232	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q38b
Property-Tax Exempt Orgs/Parcels: Juris.'s total potential tax revenues

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction, approximately how much do they represent of... your jurisdiction's total potential tax revenues?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: amount1

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4

units: 1
missing .: 244/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	123	1	A Significant Amount

357	2	A Moderate Amount
610	3	An Insignificant Amount
177	4	Don't Know
244	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q38c

Property-Tax Exempt Orgs/Parcels: Demands on Juris.'s service provision

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction, approximately how much do they represent of... demands on your jurisdiction's service provision?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: amount1

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4

units: 1
missing .: 247/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	96	1	A Significant Amount
	296	2	A Moderate Amount
	692	3	An Insignificant Amount
	180	4	Don't Know
	247	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q39a

Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Current fiscal health

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people believe that certain property-tax exempt organizations benefit a community financially or in other ways (for example, through economic development, providing services the jurisdiction doesn't, improving quality of life, etc.). Others disagree. In your opinion, overall, are the property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction an asset, a liability, or both, to... your jurisdiction's current fiscal health?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: asset_liability_na

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7

units: 1
missing .: 253/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	95	1	A Significant Asset
	235	2	Somewhat of an Asset
	488	3	Both an Asset and a Liability
	155	4	Somewhat of a Liability
	34	5	A Significant Liability
	95	6	Not Applicable
	156	7	Don't Know

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q39b

Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Future fiscal health

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people believe that certain property-tax exempt organizations benefit a community financially or in other ways (for example, through economic development, providing services the jurisdiction doesn't, improving quality of life, etc.). Others disagree. In your opinion, overall, are the property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction an asset, a liability, or both, to..... your jurisdiction's future fiscal health?

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: asset_liability_na

      range: [1,7]                      units: 1
unique values: 7                        missing : 262/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	90	1	A Significant Asset
	231	2	Somewhat of an Asset
	450	3	Both an Asset and a Liability
	169	4	Somewhat of a Liability
	44	5	A Significant Liability
	96	6	Not Applicable
	169	7	Don't Know
	262	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q39c

Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Current quality of life

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people believe that certain property-tax exempt organizations benefit a community financially or in other ways (for example, through economic development, providing services the jurisdiction doesn't, improving quality of life, etc.). Others disagree. In your opinion, overall, are the property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction an asset, a liability, or both, to... your jurisdiction's current quality of life?

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: asset_liability_na

      range: [1,7]                      units: 1
unique values: 7                        missing : 266/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	211	1	A Significant Asset
	371	2	Somewhat of an Asset
	340	3	Both an Asset and a Liability
	74	4	Somewhat of a Liability

18	5	A Significant Liability
90	6	Not Applicable
141	7	Don't Know
266	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q39d

Property-Tax Asset/Liability: Future quality of life

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people believe that certain property-tax exempt organizations benefit a community financially or in other ways (for example, through economic development, providing services the jurisdiction doesn't, improving quality of life, etc.). Others disagree. In your opinion, overall, are the property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction an asset, a liability, or both, to... your jurisdiction's future quality of life?

type: numeric (byte)
label: asset_liability_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 271/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	207	1	A Significant Asset
	366	2	Somewhat of an Asset
	328	3	Both an Asset and a Liability
	71	4	Somewhat of a Liability
	18	5	A Significant Liability
	85	6	Not Applicable
	165	7	Don't Know
	271	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q40

Property-Tax Exemptions impact on revenues discussed by leaders in last 12 mos.?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent, if any, has the impact of property tax exemptions (not including tax abatements for economic development) on your jurisdiction's revenue been a topic of discussion among your local leadership within the last 12 months?

type: numeric (byte)
label: amount

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 235/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	66	1	A Great Deal
	247	2	Somewhat
	472	3	Very Little

```

445      4  Not at All
  46      5  Don't know
235      .

```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless at least one item in q37 selected.

q42

Is Jurisdiction engaged in/planning placemaking for econ. development?

```

          type: numeric (byte)
          label: y_n_dk

          range: [1,3]
unique values: 3                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 99/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
              494       1     Yes
              828       2     No
              90        3     Don't Know
              99        .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: q42 was the first question asked about placemaking, there was no q41 in the spring 2013 survey.

q43a

Placemaking: Historic preservation and adaptive re-use

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Historic preservation and adaptive re-use

```

          type: numeric (byte)
          label: selected

          range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 360/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
              821       0     Not Selected
              330       1     Selected
              360       .

```

q43b

Placemaking: Mixed-use developments

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic

development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Mixed-use developments (e.g., buildings that include a combination of retail, commercial, and residential space)

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 360/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   772     0      Not Selected
                   379     1      Selected
                   360     .
```

q43c

Placemaking: Attractive city centers, storefronts, public gathering spaces

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Attractive city centers, storefronts, and public gathering spaces

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 360/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   780     0      Not Selected
                   371     1      Selected
                   360     .
```

q43d

Placemaking: Anchor businesses along corridors, nodes, center districts

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Anchor businesses located along corridors, nodes, or in center districts

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2                               units: 1
                                                missing .: 360/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   933     0      Not Selected
                   218     1      Selected
```

q43ePlacemaking: Public transit accessibility

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Public transit accessibility

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected

range: [0,1]

units: 1

unique values: 2

missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	965	0	Not Selected
	186	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43fPlacemaking: Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses (e.g., incubator space, services, etc.)

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected

range: [0,1]

units: 1

unique values: 2

missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	931	0	Not Selected
	220	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43gPlacemaking: Arts and cultural amenities or events

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Arts and cultural amenities or events

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	860	0	Not Selected
	291	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43h

Placemaking: Environmentally-friendly construction

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Environmentally-friendly construction (e.g., LEED-certified)

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,001	0	Not Selected
	150	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43i

Placemaking: Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	556	0	Not Selected
	595	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43j

Placemaking: Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	653	0	Not Selected
	498	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43k

Placemaking: Local food opportunities

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Local food opportunities (e.g., farmers' markets, food stands, etc.)

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	765	0	Not Selected
	386	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43l

Placemaking: Form-based codes

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Form-based codes (alternative regulations to conventional zoning that emphasize building form over land use to create uniform or predictable public spaces)

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1

unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,007	0	Not Selected
	144	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43m

Placemaking: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	928	0	Not Selected
	223	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43n

Placemaking: Other

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*) Other

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,062	0	Not Selected
	89	1	Selected
	360	.	

q43count

Placemaking: number of efforts pursuing

type: numeric (float)
label: count, but 12 nonmissing values are not labeled

range: [0,99] units: 1
unique values: 14 missing .: 360/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	6	0	None selected
	233	1	
	165	2	
	123	3	
	90	4	
	88	5	
	59	6	
	50	7	
	42	8	
	27	9	
	25	10	
	19	11	
	1	12	
	223	99	Don't Know Selected
	360	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP summarized responses to q43a-q43n as q43count, a count of the number of efforts selected in q43a-q43l and q43n. The maximum count is 13. If q43m was selected, q43count=99 "Don't Know".

q44a
Placemaking Effectiveness: Your jurisdiction

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective is placemaking, or could it be, as an economic development strategy for... your jurisdiction?

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 142/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	198	1	Very Effective
	506	2	Somewhat Effective
	322	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	60	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	64	5	Very Ineffective
	219	6	Don't Know
	142	.	

q44b
Placemaking Effectiveness: Other jurisdictions around the state

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective is placemaking, or could it be, as an economic development strategy for... other jurisdictions around the state?

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective

range: [1,6]
unique values: 6
units: 1
missing .: 163/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	273	1	Very Effective
	557	2	Somewhat Effective
	142	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	33	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	20	5	Very Ineffective
	323	6	Don't Know
	163	.	

q45a

Placemaking Effectiveness: Historic preservation and adaptive re-use

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Historic preservation and adaptive re-use

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 163/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	119	1	Very Effective
	438	2	Somewhat Effective
	316	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	50	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	52	5	Very Ineffective
	210	6	Not Applicable
	163	7	Don't Know
	163	.	

q45b

Placemaking Effectiveness: Mixed-use developments

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Mixed-use developments

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

```

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 178/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	155	1	Very Effective
	472	2	Somewhat Effective
	259	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	44	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	29	5	Very Ineffective
	202	6	Not Applicable
	172	7	Don't Know
	178	.	

q45c

Placemaking Effectiveness: Attractive city centers, storefronts, public spaces

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Attractive city centers, storefronts, and public gathering spaces

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 162/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	273	1	Very Effective
	422	2	Somewhat Effective
	179	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	31	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	28	5	Very Ineffective
	304	6	Not Applicable
	112	7	Don't Know
	162	.	

q45d

Placemaking Effectiveness: Anchor businesses along corridors, nodes, districts

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Anchor businesses located along corridors, nodes, or in center districts

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 164/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	206	1	Very Effective
	406	2	Somewhat Effective
	230	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	27	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	33	5	Very Ineffective
	310	6	Not Applicable
	135	7	Don't Know
	164	.	

q45e

Placemaking Effectiveness: Public transit accessibility

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Public transit accessibility

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 179/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	127	1	Very Effective
	381	2	Somewhat Effective
	275	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	56	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	44	5	Very Ineffective
	326	6	Not Applicable
	123	7	Don't Know
	179	.	

q45f

Placemaking Effectiveness: Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 177/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	185	1	Very Effective

502	2	Somewhat Effective
234	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
38	4	Somewhat Ineffective
23	5	Very Ineffective
193	6	Not Applicable
159	7	Don't Know
177	.	

q45g

Placemaking Effectiveness: Arts and cultural amenities or events

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Arts and cultural amenities or events

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 166/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	171	1	Very Effective
	466	2	Somewhat Effective
	269	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	33	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	35	5	Very Ineffective
	226	6	Not Applicable
	145	7	Don't Know
	166	.	

q45h

Placemaking Effectiveness: Environmentally-friendly construction

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Environmentally-friendly construction (e.g., LEED-certified)

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 181/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	84	1	Very Effective
	399	2	Somewhat Effective
	428	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective

60	4	Somewhat Ineffective
32	5	Very Ineffective
158	6	Not Applicable
169	7	Don't Know
181	.	

q45i

Placemaking Effectiveness: Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: effective_na

      range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
                                units: 1
                                missing .: 157/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	297	1	Very Effective
	604	2	Somewhat Effective
	148	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	36	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	22	5	Very Ineffective
	135	6	Not Applicable
	112	7	Don't Know
	157	.	

q45j

Placemaking Effectiveness: Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: effective_na

      range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
                                units: 1
                                missing .: 165/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	301	1	Very Effective
	519	2	Somewhat Effective
	166	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	34	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	24	5	Very Ineffective
	183	6	Not Applicable

119 7 Don't Know
165 .

q45k

Placemaking Effectiveness: Local food opportunities

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Local food opportunities

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 170/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	202	1	Very Effective
	571	2	Somewhat Effective
	217	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	26	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	16	5	Very Ineffective
	171	6	Not Applicable
	138	7	Don't Know
	170	.	

q45l

Placemaking Effectiveness: Form-based codes

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes. Form-based codes

type: numeric (byte)
label: effective_na

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 206/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	66	1	Very Effective
	260	2	Somewhat Effective
	358	3	Neither Effective nor Ineffective
	27	4	Somewhat Ineffective
	26	5	Very Ineffective
	207	6	Not Applicable
	361	7	Don't Know
	206	.	

62	5	Public transit accessibility
135	6	Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses
72	7	Arts and cultural amenities or events
33	8	Environmentally-friendly construction
173	9	Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths
147	10	Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)
95	11	Local food opportunities
10	12	Form-based codes
331	.	

q46c
Placemaking Items: Third Most Important

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Looking at the elements listed above in Q45, please rank the three you believe are or would be most important to making places where people want to live, work, and play in your jurisdiction. Third most important

type: numeric (byte)
label: placemaking_elements
range: [1,12] units: 1
unique values: 12 missing .: 368/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	73	1	Historic preservation and adaptive re-use
	95	2	Mixed-use developments
	102	3	Attractive city centers, storefronts, public spaces
	48	4	Anchor business along corridors, nodes, center districts
	67	5	Public transit accessibility
	114	6	Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses
	113	7	Arts and cultural amenities or events
	52	8	Environmentally-friendly construction
	156	9	Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths
	136	10	Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)
	167	11	Local food opportunities
	20	12	Form-based codes
	368	.	

q47a
Entrepreneurship: Juris. has entrepreneurial activity

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now we're interested in learning more about your views on the connection between placemaking and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship refers to organizing, managing, or assuming the risk for a business or enterprise. Examples of entrepreneurship might include an investor creating a new product or enhancing a current product, a farmer bringing crops to market, or a small business start-up occupying an empty storefront. Again, whether or not your jurisdiction is currently

engaged in any placemaking efforts, in your opinion, to what extent... .. does your jurisdiction currently have entrepreneurial activity?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: amount_na

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                missing .: 156/1,511
```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	86	1	A Great Deal
	421	2	Somewhat
	445	3	Very Little
	207	4	Not at All
	94	5	Not Applicable
	102	6	Don't know
	156	.	

q47b

Entrepreneurship: PBED influences where entrepreneurs choose

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now we're interested in learning more about your views on the connection between placemaking and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship refers to organizing, managing, or assuming the risk for a business or enterprise. Examples of entrepreneurship might include an investor creating a new product or enhancing a current product, a farmer bringing crops to market, or a small business start-up occupying an empty storefront. Again, whether or not your jurisdiction is currently engaged in any placemaking efforts, in your opinion, to what extent... .. does place-based economic development influence where entrepreneurs choose to launch and grow their businesses?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: amount_na

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                missing .: 167/1,511
```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	148	1	A Great Deal
	411	2	Somewhat
	225	3	Very Little
	169	4	Not at All
	132	5	Not Applicable
	259	6	Don't know
	167	.	

q47c

Entrepreneurship: Increased entrepreneurial activity help Juris. make places

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now we're interested in learning more about your views on the connection between placemaking and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship refers to organizing, managing, or assuming the risk for a business or enterprise. Examples of entrepreneurship might include an investor creating a new product or enhancing a

current product, a farmer bringing crops to market, or a small business start-up occupying an empty storefront. Again, whether or not your jurisdiction is currently engaged in any placemaking efforts, in your opinion, to what extent... would increased entrepreneurial activity help your jurisdiction make places where people want to live, work, and play?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: amount_na

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                  missing .: 166/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              284    1    A Great Deal
              547    2    Somewhat
              171    3    Very Little
               76    4    Not at All
               87    5    Not Applicable
              180    6    Don't know
              166    .
```

q47d

Entrepreneurship: Fostering entrepreneurship part of placemaking efforts

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now we're interested in learning more about your views on the connection between placemaking and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship refers to organizing, managing, or assuming the risk for a business or enterprise. Examples of entrepreneurship might include an investor creating a new product or enhancing a current product, a farmer bringing crops to market, or a small business start-up occupying an empty storefront. Again, whether or not your jurisdiction is currently engaged in any placemaking efforts, in your opinion, to what extent... is fostering entrepreneurship a specific part of your jurisdiction's placemaking efforts?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: amount_na

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                  missing .: 1,039/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              90    1    A Great Deal
             211    2    Somewhat
              99    3    Very Little
              34    4    Not at All
              11    5    Not Applicable
              27    6    Don't know
             1,039  .
```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q42=1

q48a

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Access to capital

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Access to capital

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	589	0	Not Selected
	707	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48b
Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Regulations

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Regulations such as sign ordinances, fire codes, and zoning laws, etc.

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,086	0	Not Selected
	210	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48c
Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of reliable public transport

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of reliable public transportation

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,107	0	Not Selected
	189	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48d
Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of cultural amenities

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of cultural amenities

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,092	0	Not Selected
	204	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48e

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of late night entertainment

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of late night entertainment

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,056	0	Not Selected
	240	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48f

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of IT infrastructure

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of information technology (IT) infrastructure

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,007	0	Not Selected
	289	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48g

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of green construction

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of "green" construction

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,245	0	Not Selected
	51	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48h

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Excessive local tax burden

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Excessive local tax burden

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,168	0	Not Selected
	128	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48i

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Excessive state tax burden

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Excessive state tax burden

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,044	0	Not Selected
	252	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48j

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Licensing costs and/or delays

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Licensing costs and/or delays

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,079	0	Not Selected
	217	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48k

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of talented workforce

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of talented workforce

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,081	0	Not Selected
	215	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48l

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of safe access for pedestrians/bicyclists

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of safe access for pedestrians/bicyclists

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,168	0	Not Selected
	128	1	Selected
	215	.	

q48m

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Deteriorating infrastructure

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Deteriorating infrastructure

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
983 0 Not Selected
313 1 Selected
215 .

q48n

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Lack of access to natural environments

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Lack of access to the natural environment

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,258 0 Not Selected
38 1 Selected
215 .

q48o

Obstacles to Entrepreneurship: Unattractive building/landscape design

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community? Unattractive building and landscape design

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,511

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,042 0 Not Selected
254 1 Selected
215 .

q48p

How familiar are you with MIplace Partnership Initiative?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How familiar, if at all, are you with the "MIplace Partnership Initiative" (or the website MIplace.org), a public-private effort to create more jobs, attract and retain talented workers, and raise incomes – at least in part – through targeted local and regional placemaking activities?

type: numeric (byte)
label: familiar
range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 129/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	25	1	Very Familiar
	214	2	Somewhat Familiar
	363	3	Mostly Unfamiliar
	687	4	Completely Unfamiliar
	93	5	Don't Know
	129	.	

q50

You feel things in the state of Michigan are generally going...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do you feel things in the state of Michigan are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten off on the wrong track?

type: numeric (byte)
label: track
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 127/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	746	1	Right Direction
	432	2	Wrong Track
	206	3	Don't Know
	127	.	

q51

You feel things in your jurisdiction are generally going...

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do you feel things in your jurisdiction are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten off on the wrong track?

type: numeric (byte)
label: track
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 119/1,511

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              1,224      1  Right Direction
              86         2  Wrong Track
              82         3  Don't Know
              119         .
```

q52

How would you rate the way Rick Snyder is performing his job as MI's governor?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How would you rate the way Rick Snyder is performing his job as Michigan's governor?

```
      type:  numeric (byte)
      label:  rating

      range:  [1,5]
unique values:  5                                units:  1
                                                    missing .:  120/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              197      1  Excellent
              520      2  Good
              366      3  Fair
              254      4  Poor
              54       5  Don't Know
              120      .
```

q53

Why did you rate the Governor's performance as you did?

```
      type:  string (str244)

unique values:  859                                missing "":  649/1,511
```

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable does not appear in the Stata dataset, because some versions of Stata do not support long string variables. Responses to q53 are available in the excel file 2013-Spring-Opens-REDACTED.xlsx, and can be linked back to the original data using the variable respondent_id, as well as the ID.date, ID.start, ID.endDate, and ID.end variables. Additionally, to protect respondent confidentiality, identifying information from responses was redacted. Redacted text was denoted [REDACTED]. The original responses are not available to secondary users of the data.

q54

How would you rate the way the MI Legislature is performing its job?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How would you rate the way the Michigan Legislature is performing its job?

```
      type:  numeric (byte)
      label:  rating
```

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 132/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	29	1	Excellent
	330	2	Good
	537	3	Fair
	419	4	Poor
	64	5	Don't Know
	132	.	

q55

Why did you rate the Michigan Legislature's performance as you did?

type: string (str244)

unique values: 768 missing "": 738/1,511

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable does not appear in the Stata dataset, because some versions of Stata do not support long string variables. Responses to q55 are available in the excel file 2013-Spring-Opens-REDACTED.xlsx, and can be linked back to the original data using the variable respondent_id, as well as the ID.date, ID.start, ID.endDate, and ID.end variables. Additionally, to protect respondent confidentiality, identifying information from responses was redacted. Redacted text was denoted [REDACTED]. The original responses are not available to secondary users of the data.

q56

How would you rate the way your Juris.'s governing board is performing its job?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How would you rate the way your jurisdiction's governing board is performing its job?

type: numeric (byte)
label: rating

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 113/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	449	1	Excellent
	780	2	Good
	136	3	Fair
	25	4	Poor
	8	5	Don't Know
	113	.	

q57

Trust in the federal government

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right?

type: numeric (byte)
label: frequency
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 124/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	3	1	Nearly Always
	83	2	Most of the Time
	487	3	Some of the Time
	494	4	Seldom
	305	5	Almost Never
	15	6	Don't Know
	124	.	

q58
Trust in the state government

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How much of the time do you think you can trust the state government in Lansing to do what is right?

type: numeric (byte)
label: frequency
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 131/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	9	1	Nearly Always
	245	2	Most of the Time
	719	3	Some of the Time
	277	4	Seldom
	114	5	Almost Never
	16	6	Don't Know
	131	.	

q59
Trust in other local governments

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How much of the time do you think you can trust other local governments to do what is right?

type: numeric (byte)
label: frequency
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 128/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
-------------	-------	---------	-------

120	1	Nearly Always
790	2	Most of the Time
400	3	Some of the Time
39	4	Seldom
10	5	Almost Never
24	6	Don't Know
128	.	

q61
Gender

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What is your gender?

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: gender

      range: [1,2]
unique values: 2
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 182/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
                   781      1    Male
                   548      2    Female
                   182      .

```

age_category
Respondent Age

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: age

      range: [1,8]
unique values: 8
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 249/1,511

      tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
                   8       1    20s
                   61      2    30s
                   162     3    40s
                   375     4    50s
                   464     5    60s
                   170     6    70s
                   20      7    80s
                   2       8    90s
                   249     .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP recoded the continuous variable q62 "In what year were you born" into age_category, a categorical variable. Original responses to q62 are not available to secondary users of the data.

tenure
Respondent's time in office

```

        type: numeric (byte)
        label: tenure

        range: [1,5]
        unique values: 5

        units: 1
        missing .: 186/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
              321      1  Two or less years
              287      2  Three to five years
              269      3  Six to ten years
              289      4  Eleven to twenty years
              159      5  More than twenty years
              186      .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP recoded the continuous variable q63 "How many years have you served in your current position" into tenure, a categorical variable. Original responses to q63 are not available to secondary users of the data.

q64
Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?

```

        type: numeric (byte)
        label: y_n

        range: [1,2]
        unique values: 2

        units: 1
        missing .: 188/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
              9      1  Yes
            1,314    2  No
              188      .

```

q65a
Race: White

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (*check all that apply*) White

```

        type: numeric (byte)
        label: selected

        range: [0,1]
        unique values: 2

        units: 1
        missing .: 184/1,511

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
              37      0  Not Selected
            1,290    1  Selected
              184      .

```

 q65h

Race: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (*check all that apply*) Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
 label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
 unique values: 2 missing .: 184/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,322	0	Not Selected
	5	1	Selected
	184	.	

 q66

Highest level of education

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

type: numeric (byte)
 label: schooling

range: [1,7] units: 1
 unique values: 7 missing .: 185/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	42	1	12th grade or less, no diploma
	175	2	High school graduate or GED
	395	3	Some college, no degree
	171	4	Associate degree
	275	5	Bachelor's degree
	222	6	Master's degree
	46	7	Professional/Doctorate degree
	185	.	

 q67

Union household

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do you or anyone else in your household belong to a labor union?

type: numeric (byte)
 label: y_n_dk

range: [1,3] units: 1
 unique values: 3 missing .: 185/1,511

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              287      1  Yes
              1,034    2  No
                5      3  Don't Know
              185      .
```

q68
Political affiliation

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: party

      range: [1,4]
unique values: 4
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 204/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              645      1  Republican
              379      2  Independent
              232      3  Democrat
                51      4  Something Else
              204      .
```

q69
Strength of political affiliation

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you consider yourself a strong or not very strong Republican/Democrat?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: strength

      range: [1,3]
unique values: 3
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 658/1,511
```

```
tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              408      1  Very Strong
              401      2  Not Very Strong
                44      3  Don't Know
              658      .
```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q68=1 or q68=3

q70
As an Independent, which party are you closer to?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you consider yourself closer to ...?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: political_leaning
```

range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 1,094/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	83	1	Democratic Party
	143	2	Republican Party
	191	3	Neither
	1,094	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q68=2 or q68=4

q71
Date hardcopy received

type: string (str9)
unique values: 23 missing "": 1,421/1,511

partyid
7-point partisanship scale

type: numeric (byte)
label: partisanship
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 285/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	312	1	Strong Republican
	289	2	Weak Republican
	143	3	Independent leaning Republican
	191	4	Independent
	83	5	Independent leaning Democrat
	112	6	Weak Democrat
	96	7	Strong Democrat
	285	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable is a recoded version of q68, q69, and q70, which measure political affiliation and strength of party affiliation.

threeparty
3-point partisanship scale

type: numeric (byte)
label: partisanship2
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 285/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	744	1	Republican
	191	2	Independent
	291	3	Democrat
	285	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable is a recoded version of q68 and q70, which measure political affiliation.

region
MI regions

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	soos_reg		
range:	[1,6]	units:	1
unique values:	6	missing .:	0/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	168	1	Upper Peninsula
	257	2	Northern Lower Peninsula
	275	3	West Central Lower Peninsula
	275	4	East Central Lower Peninsula
	238	5	Southwest Lower Peninsula
	298	6	Southeast Lower Peninsula

CODEBOOK NOTE: Regional groupings by county, as developed by MSU's State of the State Survey.

1. Upper Peninsula - Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Ontonagon, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Schoolcraft

2. Northern Lower Peninsula - Alcona, Alpena, Antrim, Benzie, Charlevoix, Cheboygan, Crawford, Emmet, Grand Traverse, Iosco, Kalkaska, Leelanau, Missaukee, Montmorency, Ogemaw, Oscoda, Otsego, Presque Isle, Roscommon, Wexford

3. West Central - Allegan, Barry, Ionia, Kent, Lake, Manistee, Mason, Mecosta, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oceana, Osceola, Ottawa

4. East Central - Arenac, Bay, Clare, Clinton, Gladwin, Gratiot, Huron, Isabella, Midland, Saginaw, Sanilac, Shiawassee, Tuscola

5. Southwest - Berrien, Branch, Calhoun, Cass, Eaton, Hillsdale, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, St. Joseph, Van Buren

6. Southeast - Genesee, Lapeer, Lenawee, Livingston, Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, St. Clair, Washtenaw, Wayne

juris_rr
Jurisdiction-level Response Rate

type: numeric (float)

range: [.40000001,1] units: 1.000e-08
unique values: 39 missing .: 0/1,511

mean: .753162
std. dev: .123533

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	.607143	.678899	.755906	.818182	.945946

CODEBOOK NOTE: Response rate used to calculate jurisdiction-level weights, jurisdictions in database compared with number of jurisdictions in sample frame.

juris_wgt
Jurisdiction-level Weight

type: numeric (float)

range: [1,2.5] units: 1.000e-07
unique values: 39 missing .: 0/1,511

mean: 1.36988
std. dev: .267686

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	1.05714	1.22222	1.32292	1.47297	1.64706

CODEBOOK NOTE: Weights to be used when analyzing data at the jurisdiction level.

ind_rr
Individual-level Response Rate

type: numeric (float)

range: [.28,.58620691] units: 1.000e-08
unique values: 18 missing .: 0/1,511

mean: .43419
std. dev: .061371

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%

.377119 .393661 .43211 .438679 .534483

CODEBOOK NOTE: Response rate used to calculate individual-level weights, individual respondents in database compared with number of individual officials in sample frame.

ind_wgt
Individual-level Weight

type: numeric (float)
range: [1.7058823,3.5714285] units: 1.000e-07
unique values: 18 missing .: 0/1,511
mean: 2.35027
std. dev: .343598
percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%
1.87097 2.27957 2.31422 2.54026 2.65169

CODEBOOK NOTE: Weights to be used when analyzing data at the individual level.

pop_density
Population Density

type: numeric (byte)
label: pop_density
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 0/1,511
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
760 1 Low <100
426 2 Mid
325 3 High >800

CODEBOOK NOTE: The categories are based on the number of residents per square mile, as determined by the jurisdiction's 2010 US Census population and the jurisdiction's land area. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_township
Population Category: Township

type: numeric (byte)
label: pop_twp_city
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 433/1,511
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label

385	1	<1500
577	2	1500-10000
116	3	>10000
433	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the township's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_city
Population Category: City

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: pop_twp_city

      range: [1,3]
unique values: 3
                                units: 1
                                missing .: 1,280/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	25	1	<1500
	127	2	1500-10000
	79	3	>10000
	1,280	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the city's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_village
Population Category: Village

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: popvillage

      range: [1,2]
unique values: 2
                                units: 1
                                missing .: 1,374/1,511

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	96	1	<1500
	41	2	>/=1500
	1,374	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the village's 2010 US Census population.

Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_county
Population Category: County

type: numeric (byte)
label: popcounty
range: [1,2] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,446/1,511

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	25	1	</=30000
	40	2	>30000
	1,446	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the county's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

Q6. Does your jurisdiction provide any fringe benefits (i.e., health care, dental, vision, life insurance, etc.) to new hires, current employees, or current elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)

	Yes	No	Not Applicable	Don't Know
New hires	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current employees	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current elected officials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q7. Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement income benefits (defined benefit pensions, defined contribution plans, etc.) to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)

	Yes	No	Not Applicable	Don't Know
New hires	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current employees	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Retired employees	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current elected officials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Former elected officials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q8. (If you selected "yes" in Q7) Does your jurisdiction offer its personnel and/or current retirees a defined benefit plan (i.e., a traditional pension), a defined contribution plan (i.e., an IRA or 401K-type plan), both, a hybrid plan, or none of these? (check all that apply)

- Defined benefit only
- Defined contribution only
- Both (i.e., defined benefit plans for some employees, defined contribution for others)
- Hybrid plan (i.e., plans that combine features of both defined benefit and defined contribution plans for an individual employee)
- None of these
- Don't know

Q9. (If you selected "defined benefit only" in Q8) How likely is it that your jurisdiction will introduce either a defined contribution plan or a hybrid plan (plans that combine features of both defined benefit and defined contribution plans for an individual employee) for its current personnel and/or new hires within the next 12 months?

- | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Very Likely | Somewhat Likely | Neither Likely Nor Unlikely | Somewhat Unlikely | Very Unlikely | Don't Know |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Q10. (If you selected "defined benefit," "both," or "hybrid" in Q8) Thinking specifically about defined benefit (i.e., traditional pensions) and/or hybrid plans, does your jurisdiction have unfunded fiscal obligations as a result of providing retirement income?

- Yes No Not Applicable Don't Know

Q11. (If you selected "yes" in Q10) In your opinion, are your jurisdiction's unfunded pension and/or hybrid plan obligations a significant fiscal problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of a problem, or not a problem at all for your jurisdiction's fiscal health?

- A significant problem Somewhat of a problem Not much of a problem Not a problem at all Don't know

Q12. Does your jurisdiction offer any kind of retirement health care or other post-employment benefit plans (sometimes referred to as "OPEB") to new hires, current or retired employees, or elected officials? (If your jurisdiction has no employees, please select "Not Applicable" below on the employee-specific questions)

	Yes	No	Not Applicable	Don't Know
New hires	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current employees	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Retired employees	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Current elected officials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Former elected officials	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q13. (If you selected "yes" in Q12) Does your jurisdiction have unfunded fiscal obligations as a result of providing retirement health care or other post-employment benefits?

- Yes No Don't Know

Q14. (If you selected "yes" in Q13) In your opinion, are your jurisdiction's unfunded retiree health care or other post-employment benefit obligations a significant fiscal problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of a problem, or not a problem at all for your jurisdiction's fiscal health?

- A significant problem Somewhat of a problem Not much of a problem Not a problem at all Don't Know

Q26. (Please skip if you selected "completely unfamiliar" in Q24) The current Right-To-Work law regarding public sector employee unions exempts police and fire unions. To what extent do you personally support or oppose this exemption?

- Strongly Support
 Somewhat Support
 Neither Support Nor Oppose
 Somewhat Oppose
 Strongly Oppose
 Don't Know

Q27. (Please skip if you selected "completely unfamiliar" in Q24) The Right-To-Work laws passed in Michigan cover not only public sector employee unions, but private sector unions as well. Again, regardless of whether or not your jurisdiction has any employee unions, what overall impact, if any, do you believe these Right-To-Work laws will have over time on...

	Very positive impact	Somewhat positive impact	Mixed positive and negative impact	Somewhat negative impact	Very negative impact	No impact	Don't Know
... Michigan's economy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... the fiscal health of your local government?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... the ability of your community to attract and/or retain businesses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... the ability of your local government to attract and/or retain desired employees?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>(if your jurisdiction has employee unions)</i>							
... the relationship between your jurisdiction's administration and its union(s) overall?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q28. (Please skip if answered "no impact" in all of Q27) Please briefly describe what you think will be the biggest impact, if any, of the Right-To-Work laws on your local government and/or community.

Q29. Thinking about the current fiscal year, please indicate whether or not your jurisdiction utilized the following policies.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable	Don't Know
Employee furloughs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A four-day work week	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Early retirement incentives or buyouts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reduced pay rates and/or benefits packages for new hires, compared to current employee compensation rates	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q30. Thinking about the next fiscal year, how likely is it that your jurisdiction will utilize the following policies?

	Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely Nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Not Applicable	Don't Know
Employee furloughs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
A four-day work week	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Early retirement incentives or buyouts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reduced pay rates and/or benefits packages for new hires, compared to current employee compensation rates	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q31. In your current fiscal year, did your jurisdiction completely eliminate any particular services? (check all that apply)

- Yes, service(s) completely eliminated and no longer provided at all
 Yes, service(s) completely eliminated, but now provided by another entity
 No
 Don't Know

Q32. Looking ahead to the next fiscal year, do you expect your jurisdiction will completely eliminate any particular services? (check all that apply)

- Yes, service(s) will be completely eliminated and no longer provided at all
 Yes, service(s) will be completely eliminated, but will be provided by another entity
 No
 Don't Know

Q33. Different local jurisdictions manage their unreserved general fund balances in different ways depending on their specific circumstances. Despite these differences, we're interested in tracking overall changes in these balances over time. Approximately what was your jurisdiction's unreserved general fund balance as a percentage of general fund expenditures at the end of its last fiscal year?

- 0% or less
 1-5%
 6-10%
 11-15%
 16-20%
 21-25%
 26-30%
 Over 30%
 Don't Know

Q34. Thinking about your jurisdiction's fiscal needs, overall, do you consider your jurisdiction's unreserved general fund balance to be too high, about right, or too low?

- Too High
 About Right
 Too Low
 Don't Know

Q35. In your opinion, is your jurisdiction's cash flow and its ability to pay bills in a timely manner a significant fiscal problem, somewhat of a problem, not much of a problem, or not a problem at all?

- A significant problem Somewhat of a problem Not much of a problem Not a problem at all Don't Know

Q36. Thinking about the overall fiscal health of your jurisdiction today and what you expect it to be down the road — including any future financial obligations it may have — on a scale from 1 to 10, where 1 is "perfect fiscal health" and 10 is "fiscal crisis," how would you rate your jurisdiction's overall fiscal health...

	Perfect Fiscal Health										Fiscal Crisis	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
... today?												<input type="checkbox"/>
... as you expect it to be five years from now?												<input type="checkbox"/>

Q37. We're interested in getting a general sense about the impact of property-tax exemptions on your jurisdiction's tax revenues. Do you have any of the following types of property-tax exempt organizations or parcels within your jurisdiction? (check all that apply)

- Federal forest land
- State forest land
- Nonprofit land holdings such as conservation easements
- Other governmental property (for example, federal or state offices, libraries, etc.)
- Local K-12 school system property
- Universities or other higher education institutions
- Nonprofit hospital and health-care facilities
- Houses of public worship, burial grounds, religious tombs, or monuments
- Housing for elderly or disabled persons
- Other non-profit organizations
- Principal residence exemptions for people in poverty
- Other (please specify) _____
- Don't Know

Q38. (If you selected one or more in Q37) Thinking about property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction, approximately how much do they represent of...

	A significant amount	A moderate amount	An insignificant amount	Don't Know
... your jurisdiction's total number of parcels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... your jurisdiction's total <u>potential</u> tax revenues?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... demands on your jurisdiction's service provision?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q39. (If you selected one or more in Q37) Some people believe that certain property-tax exempt organizations benefit a community financially or in other ways (for example, through economic development, providing services the jurisdiction doesn't, improving quality of life, etc.). Others disagree. In your opinion, overall, are the property-tax exempt organizations or parcels in your jurisdiction an asset, a liability, or both, to...

	A significant asset	Somewhat of an asset	Both an asset and a liability	Somewhat of a liability	A significant liability	Not Applicable	Don't Know
... your jurisdiction's <u>current</u> fiscal health?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... your jurisdiction's <u>future</u> fiscal health?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... your jurisdiction's <u>current</u> quality of life?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... your jurisdiction's <u>future</u> quality of life?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q40. (If you selected one or more in Q37) To what extent, if any, has the impact of property tax exemptions (not including tax abatements for economic development) on your jurisdiction's revenue been a topic of discussion among your local leadership within the last 12 months?

- A great deal Somewhat Very little Not at all Don't Know

Q41. (If you selected one or more in Q37) Some local governments are looking at additional revenue sources to offset the impact of property-tax exemptions. These might include asking for voluntary payments or payments in-kind from exempt organizations, or broader approaches that affect all property owners such as fees-for-service or special districts. Other governments are not, or may not be able to because of legal restrictions. If your jurisdiction is considering seeking additional revenue to offset losses from tax exemptions, please briefly describe below what programs or policies you are considering.

Now we have a few questions about place-based economic development – also known as “placemaking.”

For the purposes of this survey, placemaking is an economic and community development strategy capitalizing on local assets in order to create appealing and unique places where people want to live, work, and play. Proponents of placemaking argue that by creating vibrant downtowns, neighborhoods, or areas – and improving a community’s quality of life – talented workers will be drawn to move there and attract new businesses as well as start their own.

Q42. Is your local government currently engaged in or planning any placemaking efforts for economic development purposes?

- Yes No Don't know

Q43. Some people consider the elements in the following list to be placemaking efforts, others may not. Whether or not you consider them placemaking, which of the following, if any, are your jurisdiction pursuing for economic development or any other purpose? (*check all that apply*)

- Historic preservation and adaptive re-use
- Mixed-use developments (e.g., buildings that include a combination of retail, commercial, and residential space)
- Attractive city centers, storefronts, and public gathering spaces
- Anchor businesses located along corridors, nodes, or in center districts
- Public transit accessibility
- Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses (e.g., incubator space, services, etc.)
- Arts and cultural amenities or events
- Environmentally-friendly construction (e.g., LEED-certified)
- Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths
- Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)
- Local food opportunities (e.g., farmers’ markets, food stands, etc.)
- Form-based codes (alternative regulations to conventional zoning that emphasize building form over land use to create uniform or predictable public spaces)
- Other (*please specify*) _____
- Don't Know

Q44. Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective is placemaking, or could it be, as an economic development strategy for...

	Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Neither Effective Nor Ineffective	Somewhat Ineffective	Very Ineffective	Don't Know
... your jurisdiction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... other jurisdictions around the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q45. Regardless of whether you have any placemaking efforts underway, please indicate, in your opinion, how effective or ineffective the following are, or could be, in your jurisdiction for economic development purposes.

	Very Effective	Somewhat Effective	Neither Effective Nor Ineffective	Somewhat Ineffective	Very Ineffective	Not Applicable	Don't Know
A. Historic preservation and adaptive re-use	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. Mixed-use developments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. Attractive city centers, storefronts, and public gathering spaces	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
D. Anchor businesses located along corridors, nodes, or in center districts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. Public transit accessibility	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. Assistance for entrepreneurs and/or small businesses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. Arts and cultural amenities or events	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H. Environmentally-friendly construction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
I. Green/open spaces, trails, and bike paths	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
J. Bicycle-friendly/walkability (complete streets)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
K. Local food opportunities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
L. Form-based codes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q46. Looking at the elements listed above in Q45, please rank the three you believe are or would be most important to making places where people want to live, work, and play in your jurisdiction. (*Please use the letters above in Q45 corresponding to your selections.*)

- First most important _____
- Second most important _____
- Third most important _____

Q47. Now we're interested in learning more about your views on the connection between placemaking and entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship refers to organizing, managing, or assuming the risk for a business or enterprise. Examples of entrepreneurship might include an investor creating a new product or enhancing a current product, a farmer bringing crops to market, or a small business start-up occupying an empty storefront. Again, whether or not your jurisdiction is currently engaged in any placemaking efforts, in your opinion, to what extent...

	A great deal	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all	Not Applicable	Don't Know
... does your jurisdiction currently have entrepreneurial activity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... does place-based economic development influence where entrepreneurs choose to launch and grow their businesses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... would increased entrepreneurial activity help your jurisdiction make places where people want to live, work, and play?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>(if your jurisdiction does placemaking)</i>						
... is fostering entrepreneurship a specific part of your jurisdiction's placemaking efforts?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q48. Which of the following, if any, do you believe are obstacles to entrepreneurship in your community?

- Access to capital
- Regulations such as sign ordinances, fire codes, and zoning laws, etc.
- Lack of reliable public transportation
- Lack of cultural amenities
- Lack of late night entertainment
- Lack of information technology (IT) infrastructure
- Lack of "green" construction
- Excessive local tax burden
- Excessive state tax burden
- Licensing costs and/or delays
- Lack of talented workforce
- Lack of safe access for pedestrians/bicyclists
- Deteriorating infrastructure
- Lack of access to the natural environment
- Unattractive building and landscape design
- Other *(please specify)* _____
- Don't Know

Q49. How familiar, if at all, are you with the "Mlplace Partnership Initiative" (or the website Mlplace.org), a public-private effort to create more jobs, attract and retain talented workers, and raise incomes – at least in part – through targeted local and regional placemaking activities?

- Very familiar
- Somewhat familiar
- Mostly unfamiliar
- Completely unfamiliar (have never heard of it)
- Don't Know

Finally, we have a few last tracking questions about the state of affairs in Michigan.

Q50. Do you feel things in the state of Michigan are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten off on the wrong track?

- Right Direction
- Wrong Track
- Don't Know

Q51. Do you feel things in your jurisdiction are generally going in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten off on the wrong track?

- Right Direction
- Wrong Track
- Don't Know

Q52. How would you rate the way Rick Snyder is performing his job as Michigan's governor?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Don't Know

Q53. Briefly, please tell us why you rated the Governor's performance that way.

Q54. How would you rate the way the Michigan Legislature is performing its job?

- Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know

Q55. Briefly, please tell us why you rated the Michigan Legislature's performance that way.

Q56. How would you rate the way your jurisdiction's governing board is performing its job?

- Excellent Good Fair Poor Don't Know

Q57. How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government in Washington to do what is right?

- Nearly Always Most of the time Some of the time Seldom Almost never Don't Know

Q58. How much of the time do you think you can trust the state government in Lansing to do what is right?

- Nearly Always Most of the time Some of the time Seldom Almost never Don't Know

Q59. How much of the time do you think you can trust other local governments to do what is right?

- Nearly Always Most of the time Some of the time Seldom Almost never Don't Know

Q60. CLOSUP will protect your privacy and anonymity to the full extent provided by law. We need to confirm your personal information such as name, email address, and phone number for tracking and administrative purposes only. Your personal information will not be shared with any outside sources. As with all of the questions in this survey, these are optional.

Your name _____

Your phone number _____ - _____ - _____

Your email address _____

We would like to ask some demographic questions. As with all of the questions in this survey, these are optional. Responses will be reported in aggregate form only so that your individual responses will remain confidential.

Q61. What is your gender? Male Female

Q62. In what year were you born? 19 ____ ____

Q63. How many years have you served in your current position? _____

Q64. Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent? Yes No

Q65. Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. *(check all that apply)*

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> White | <input type="checkbox"/> Asian |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Black or African American | <input type="checkbox"/> Multiracial |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Indian or Alaskan Native | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know |

Q66. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 12th grade or less/no diploma | <input type="checkbox"/> Bachelor's degree |
| <input type="checkbox"/> High school graduate or GED | <input type="checkbox"/> Master's degree |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Some college, no degree | <input type="checkbox"/> Professional/Doctorate degree |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Associate degree | |

Q67. Do you or anyone else in your household belong to a labor union? Yes No Don't Know

Q68. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

- Republican Independent Democrat Something Else

Q69. *(If Republican or Democrat)* Would you consider yourself a strong or not very strong Republican/Democrat?

- Very Strong Not Very Strong Don't Know

Q70. *(If Independent or something else)* Would you consider yourself closer to ...?

- The Democratic Party The Republican Party Neither