

User's Guide and Codebook
Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS)

Michigan Public Policy Survey Restricted Use Datasets:
Fall 2015 Data

CENTER FOR LOCAL, STATE, AND URBAN POLICY (CLOSUP)
FORD SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY
THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ABOUT THE DATASET:

Title: Michigan Public Policy Survey Restricted Use Datasets: Fall 2015 Data

Responses: 1,582 total surveys from 1,418 distinct local jurisdictions

Sample: census survey of all 1,856 Michigan counties, cities, villages, and townships. Top elected and appointed officials in each jurisdiction were surveyed. One response from each responding jurisdiction is included. If two responses are received from the same jurisdiction, the criteria for inclusion in the data set are based on [in order]: completeness of the survey, if the official is appointed (rather than elected), or if the respondent is the top elected official.

Field period: October 5 – December 14, 2015

Response rate: 76% by jurisdiction

Dataset Version: Version 1

Dataset Release Date: November 16, 2017

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION:

The Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS) is a program of state-wide surveys of local government leaders in Michigan. The MPPS is administered online and via hard copy questionnaire and takes place twice each year, in spring and fall. Each wave investigates local officials' opinions and perspectives on a variety of important public policy issues. Respondents for the MPPS include county administrators and board chairs, mayors and city managers, village presidents and managers, and township supervisors, clerks, and managers from every general-purpose unit of government across the state.

SURVEY WAVE CONTENT:

The Fall 2015 MPPS wave focused on public safety issues, including police services, police-community relations, fire services, and emergency medical services.

WEIGHTS AND DATA ANALYSIS:

The Fall 2015 dataset includes two separate weights—one for individual-level (`ind_wgt`) and one for jurisdiction-level (`juris_wgt`) analyses—that should be used to account for nonresponse at the individual and jurisdictional levels, respectively. The variable `samp_juris` can be used to select cases according to whether analysis is being done at the individual-level or jurisdiction-level.

MISSING DATA:

Missing data in the Fall 2015 datasets can reflect two distinct types of missing data: questions that a respondent chose to not answer (refused), and questions that were not asked due to skip patterns in the data (not applicable). Skip patterns are indicated where applicable in this codebook.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

I. About the dataset	3
II. Contact information	5
III. How to cite the dataset	6
IV. Data collection overview.....	7
V. Issues of respondent confidentiality	9
VI. List of variables	11
VII. Full variable text and frequencies.....	15
VIII. Appendices	
a. Hardcopy questionnaire.....	84

CONTACT INFORMATION:

The Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS) is administered by the Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy (CLOSUP) at the University of Michigan.

For the benefit of users of MPPS data, we have prepared this guide to the design, methods, and content of the Fall 2015 wave of the survey. Please address questions or comments to:

Debra Horner, Project Manager

or

Tom Ivacko, Center Administrator

Center for Local, State, and, Urban Policy (CLOSUP)

735 S. State Street

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Phone: (734) 647-4091

Email: closup-mpps@umich.edu

HOW TO CITE MPPS DATA:

When using data from the Michigan Public Policy Survey, please use the folder citation provided by ICPSR, including DOI.

Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy. Michigan Public Policy Survey Restricted Use Datasets: Fall 2015 Data. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], 2017-11-16. <http://doi.org/>

DATA COLLECTION OVERVIEW:

Sample:

The sample for the Fall 2015 MPPS included on average two officials from each of the local general purpose units of government (83 counties, 279 cities, 254 villages, and 1,240 townships) in the state of Michigan. The sample frame included, where the position existed and was not vacant, the top elected and top appointed official in each jurisdiction. For counties, this consisted of county administrators and board chairs; for cities, mayors and city managers; for villages, village presidents and managers. Townships are a special case, in that, typically, their governing officials are all elected. Therefore, in townships, both the elected supervisors and the elected clerks were administered surveys, as well as the few appointed township managers.

Sample frame:

	<i>Elected Officials</i>	<i>Appointed Officials</i>	<i>Total</i>
Counties (83)	108	55	163
Townships (1,240)	2,432	42	2,474
Cities (279)	298	258	558
Villages (254)	346	158	504
<i>Total (1856)</i>	<i>3,184</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>3,697</i>

Method:

The Fall 2015 MPPS was administered via two modes. For those officials for whom an email address could be identified, an email invitation was sent containing a url link to the survey instrument online. For those officials for whom no email address was available, hard copy questionnaires were mailed out in the week prior to the launch of the survey via USPS, scheduled for delivery during the first week of field period.

Survey administration:

	<i>Electronically</i>	<i>Via hardcopy</i>	<i>Total</i>
Surveys sent	3,278	419	3,697
Responses in full database	1,492	90	1,582
Responses in public use dataset	1,336	82	1,418

Field dates and recontacts to non-respondents:

Respondents received initial email invitations and hard copy questionnaires during the week of October 5, 2015. Non-respondents with valid email addresses were recontacted each Monday of field period by email to urge them to participate. Respondents with invalid addresses were subsequently mailed hard copy questionnaires. Non-respondents who had been originally sent a hard copy questionnaire and for whom a fax number was available were sent another questionnaire by fax. Field period closed on December 14. Partially-completed ("partials") surveys captured by the online survey software are included in the dataset if respondents completed through Q10 on the questionnaire.

Data anomalies:

Known data anomalies are noted at the appropriate variable in the codebook below. If you notice possible undocumented errors in the dataset, we would appreciate an email to "closup-mpps@umich.edu" describing the problem so that we can research and address it.

ISSUES OF RESPONDENT CONFIDENTIALITY:

The MPPS program pledges to all of its respondents that all survey answers will remain confidential, meaning that researchers will not link survey responses to individual respondents or jurisdictions in any publications or public analysis of the data. Thus, users of the MPPS datasets should only publish statistical summary information that does not permit the identification, either directly or inferentially, of any individual person, official position, or local jurisdiction.

In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP made the following modifications to the dataset available for use by researchers:

- 1) Open-ended responses were extracted from the main datafile. Identifying information from open-ended responses was redacted (denoted in the data as [redacted]). These responses can be linked back to the main datafile using the variables: respondent_id, ID.date, ID.start, ID.endDate, ID.end, and ID.time.
- 2) Certain identifying variables were removed from the dataset
 - Direct identifiers for jurisdiction such as FIPS codes, county names, and jurisdiction names
 - Direct identifiers for respondents, such as name and contact information (corresponding to survey questions Q34a, Q34b, Q34c)
 - Other information potentially identifying individual respondents, including , year of birth (corresponding to survey question q36), years in office (corresponding to survey question q37). Recoded versions of these variables are available.
- 3) The original population variables taken from US census data were removed/masked from the dataset. New categorical population variables were created for the different jurisdiction types: pop_county, pop_township, pop_city, pop_village. Additionally, a population density variable was added to the dataset.

Any intentional identification of a research subject (whether an individual or a jurisdiction) or unauthorized disclosure of his or her confidential information violates the promise of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Therefore, users of data agree:

To use these datasets solely for research or statistical purposes and not for investigation of specific research subjects.

To make no use of the identity of any research subject discovered inadvertently, and to advise CLOSUP of any such discovery (closup-mpps@umich.edu).

Merging your data with MPPS Data

CLOSUP recognizes that there is significant research value in being able to link external datasets to the MPPS data. However, to protect respondent and jurisdiction confidentiality, direct geographic identifiers are not available in the MPPS datasets. Users who are interested in merging their own geographically-keyed datasets to MPPS datasets should contact CLOSUP (closup@umich.edu) to apply to have wave_id added to the researcher's dataset, in order to enable links between datasets. CLOSUP will review all applications and determine whether providing the key would pose an unacceptable risk to confidentiality. If your application is approved, you will send your dataset to CLOSUP, the wave key will be merged onto the dataset and replace any existing geographic ID variables, and you can upload this new dataset to the VDE following openICPSR's policies.

LIST OF VARIABLES:

<u>Variable Name</u>	<u>Variable Label</u>
respondent_id	Unique ID for each case
master_id	Longitudinal Jurisdiction ID
wave_id	Single-wave Jurisdiction ID
idcompleted	Completion status
source	Hardcopies/online completes/partial
idenddate	ID.endDate
idend	ID.end
idstart	ID.start
iddate	ID.date
idtime	ID.time
jtype	Jurisdiction Type
samp_juris	Identifies unique survey responses for each jurisdiction
snap_elec_app	Elected or appointed to position
snap_pos	Position held--numeric
q2a	Your Satisfaction: Fire services
q2b	Your Satisfaction: State police
q2c	Your Satisfaction: County sheriff
q2d	Your satisfaction: Local police (if applicable)
q2e	Your satisfaction: Ambulance / EMS
q3a	Citizen Satisfaction: Fire services
q3b	Citizen Satisfaction: State police
q3c	Citizen Satisfaction: County sheriff
q3d	Citizen satisfaction: Local police (if applicable)
q3e	Citizen satisfaction: Ambulance / EMS
q4	Primary Service Delivery Arrangement: Law enforcement
q5	Primary Service Delivery Arrangement: Medical transport services
q6	Primary Service Delivery Arrangement: Fire services
q7a	How likely juris. will change service delivery for fire services in next 2 yrs
q7b	How likely juris. will change service delivery for law enforcement in next 2 yrs
q7c	How likely juris. will change service delivery for ambulance in next 2 yrs
q8	Explain what upcoming changes to service delivery you expect
q9	Which pub. safety unit most often provides emergency med. response in your juris
q9_new	Which pub. safety unit most often provides emergency med. response - Recoded
q10	Which best describes the staffing arrangements for fire services in your juris
q10_new	Staffing arrangements for fire services in your juris - Recoded
q11a	To what extent is recruitment/retention a problem for: Paid firefighters
q11b	To what extent is recruitment/retention a problem for: Volunteer firefighters
q11c	To what extent is recruitment/retention a problem for: Law enforcement officers
q12a	Cost distribution for shared service delivery: Fire services
q12b	Cost distribution for shared service delivery: Law enforcement

q12c Cost distribution for shared service delivery: Ambulance/EMS
 q13a Your jurisdiction has enough funding to meet its: Fire protection needs
 q13b Your jurisdiction has enough funding to meet its: Law enforcement needs
 q13c Your jurisdiction has enough funding to meet its: Ambulance/EMS needs
 q14a Jurisdiction's appropriations to meet its: Fire protection needs
 q14b Jurisdiction's appropriations to meet its: Law enforcement needs
 q14c Jurisdiction's appropriations to meet its: Ambulance / EMS needs
 q15a1 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Have not pursued
 q15a2 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Pursued but failed
 q15a3 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Pursued and succeeded
 q15a4 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Curr. pursuing
 q15a5 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Don't Know
 q15a_new Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire services
 q15b1 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Have not pursued
 q15b2 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Pursued but failed
 q15b3 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Pursued and succeeded
 q15b4 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Curr. pursuing
 q15b5 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Don't Know
 q15b_new Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law enforcement
 q15c1 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Have not pursued
 q15c2 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Pursued but failed
 q15c3 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Pursued and succeeded
 q15c4 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Curr. pursuing
 q15c5 Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Don't Know
 q15c_new Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance / EMS
 q16a Support pursuing fire services funding through new millage or special assessment
 q16b Support pursuing law enforce. funding through new millage or special assessment
 q16c Support pursuing ambulance/EMS funding through new millage or special assessment
 q17a Do fire service depts. that serve your juris. use cost recovery policies
 q17b Do law enforcement depts. that serve your juris. use cost recovery policies
 q17c Do ambulance/ems depts. that serve your juris. use cost recovery policies
 q18a Satisfaction with the use of cost recovery for: Fire services
 q18b Satisfaction with the use of cost recovery for: Law enforcement
 q18c Satisfaction with the use of cost recovery for: Ambulance/EMS
 q19a Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Arson
 q19b Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Wildfires
 q19c Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Other fires
 q19d Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Hazardous material incidents
 q19e Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Traffic accidents
 q19f Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction:: Other medical emergencies
 q19g Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction:: Distance to nearest hospital

q20a Most people confident fire services will arrive in time to handle a fire

q20b Fire services in juris. are more effective than they were 5 yrs ago

q21a Most people confident EMS will arrive in time to handle med. emergency

q21b EMS services in juris. are more effective than they were 5 yrs ago

q22a Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Violent crimes

q22b Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Property crimes

q22c Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Drug crimes

q22d Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Public-order offenses

q22e Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Crime overall

q23a Most people feel safe in my jurisdiction

q23b Perception of crime in my juris. has neg effect on econ. dev. or tourism

q23c Perception of crime in my juris. contributing to decline in residential pop.

q23d People confident that law enforcement will arrive in time to handle an emergency

q23e Inappropriate use of force by law enforcement in my juris. is an issue

q23f Primary law enforcement agency serving juris. is more effective than 5 yrs ago

q24 Overall what are the biggest public safety challenges facing your community

q25a Most people in my juris. trust the law enforcement officers

q25b A sig. number of people in juris. are hostile towards law enforcement officers

q25c Most law enforcement officers in my juris. treat all people professionally

q25d A sig. number of law enf. officers in juris. are overly strict in enforcing law

q26a Relationship w/primary law enforcement agency: Community members and officers

q26b Relationship w/primary law enforcement agency: Board/Council and the agency

q27a Law Enforce.: Citizen task force that advises agency

q27a_new L.E.: Citizen task force that advises agency - Recode

q27b Law Enforce.: Conduct citizen satisfaction surveys

q27b_new L.E.: Conduct citizen satisfaction surveys - Recode

q27c Law Enforce.: Agency policies available proactively for public review

q27c_new L.E.: Agency policies available proactively for public review - Recode

q27d Law Enforce.: Mandate indep. investigation for officer-involved injuries/deaths

q27d_new L.E.: Mandate indep. investigation for officer-involved injuries/deaths - Recode

q27e Law Enforce.: Training in de-escalation, cultural understanding, bias awareness

q27e_new L.E.: Training in de-escalation, cultural understanding, bias awareness - Recode

q27f Law Enforce.: Workforce that reflects racial make-up of juris.

q27f_new L.E.: Workforce that reflects racial make-up of juris. - Recode

q28 Concern about civil unrest incident connected w/ police use of force in juris.

q29a Ferguson and Baltimore lowered morale of law enforcement officers

q29b Ferguson and Baltimore lowered community trust toward law enforcement officers

q30a Support local law enforcement having military equip in your juris.

q30b	Support local law enforcement having military equip in other comm. across state
q31a	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Drones
q31a_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Drones - Recode
q31b	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Dashboard cameras
q31b_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Dashboard cameras - Recode
q31c	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Body cameras
q31c_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Body cameras - Recode
q31d	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Other public surveillance cameras
q31d_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Other public surveillance cameras - Recode
q31e	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Citizen notification systems
q31e_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Citizen notification systems - Recode
q31f	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Data-driven policing
q31f_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Data-driven policing - Recode
q31g	Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Community policing
q31g_new	L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Community policing - Recode
q32a	Privacy vs Public Safety: Jurisdiction's citizens
q32b	Privacy vs Public Safety: Leaders of primary law enforcement agency
q32c	Privacy vs Public Safety: Respondent as local official
q35	Gender
age_category	Respondent Age
tenure	Respondent's time in office
q38	Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent
q39a	Race: White
q39b	Race: Black or African American
q39c	Race: American Indian or Alaskan Native
q39d	Race: Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
q39e	Race: Asian
q39f	Race: Multiracial
q39g	Race: Other
q39h	Race: Don't Know
q40	Highest level of education
q41	Political affiliation
q42	Strength of political affiliation
q43	As an Independent, which party are you closer to?
partyid	7-point partisanship scale
threeparty	3-point partisanship scale
pop_density	Population Density
region	MI regions
juris_rr	Jurisdiction-level Response Rate
juris_wgt	Jurisdiction-level Weight
ind_rr	Individual-level Response Rate
ind_wgt	Individual-level Weight
pop_township	Population Category: Township
pop_city	Population Category: City
pop_village	Population Category: Village
pop_county	Population Category: County

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	138	352	707.5	1058	1273

CODEBOOK NOTE: wave_id is an anonymized jurisdiction-level identifier which can be used to link external datafiles with geographic ID's to MPPS datasets. Unlike master_id, wave_id is randomized for every wave of the MPPS, so it does not allow datasets to be linked across years. CLOSUP recognizes that there is significant research value in being able to link external datasets to the MPPS data. However, to protect respondent and jurisdiction confidentiality, direct geographic identifiers are not available in the MPPS datasets. Users who are interested in merging their own geographically-keyed datasets to MPPS datasets should contact CLOSUP (closup@umich.edu) to apply to have wave_id added to the researcher's dataset, in order to enable links between datasets.

idcompleted
Completion status

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	completepart		
range:	[0,1]	units:	1
unique values:	2	missing .:	0/1,582
tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	107	0	Partial
	1,475	1	Complete

CODEBOOK NOTE: The Fall 2015 database includes partial surveys by respondents who answered at least through Q10 on the survey instrument.

source
Hardcopies/online completes/partials

type:	numeric (byte)		
label:	source3		
range:	[1,3]	units:	1
unique values:	3	missing .:	0/1,582
tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	90	1	Hard Copy
	1,385	2	Online Complete
	107	3	Online Partial

idenddate
ID.endDate

type:	string (str10)
-------	----------------

unique values: 60

missing "": 0/1,582

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates date on which respondent submitted survey online or on which an unfinished "partial" response was closed.

idend
ID.end

type: string (str8)

unique values: 1,491

missing "": 0/1,582

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates time at which respondent completed survey online or at which an unfinished "partial" response was closed.

idstart
ID.start

type: string (str8)

unique values: 1,541

missing "": 0/1,582

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates time at which respondent started survey online

iddate
ID.date

type: string (str10)

unique values: 61

missing "": 0/1,582

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates date on which respondent started survey online.

idtime
ID.time

type: numeric (float)

range: [3.87,24973.03]
unique values: 1,192

units: .01
missing .: 0/1,582

mean: 818.883
std. dev: 3592.96

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	11.12	14.85	20.7	31.65	84.48

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable indicates length of time (in minutes) respondent took to complete survey online

jtype
Jurisdiction Type

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: jurisdiction

      range: [1,4]
unique values: 4
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 0/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  70       1   County
                  1,052   2   Township
                  249     3   City
                  211     4   Village

```

samp_juris
Identifies unique survey responses for each jurisdiction

```

      type: numeric (byte)

      range: [0,1]
unique values: 2
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 0/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.  Value
                  164    0
                  1,418  1

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable designates one survey response as representative for each individual jurisdiction:

- If there is a single response from the jurisdiction, samp_juris = 1
- If there are two (or more) responses from the jurisdiction where only one is complete (either hardcopy or online), the complete response is coded as samp_juris = 1, the other(s) coded as samp_juris = 0
- If two (or more) complete responses from jurisdiction, the one from the appointed official (or clerk, if it's a township) is coded as samp_juris = 1, the other(s) coded as samp_juris = 0
- If two (or more) complete responses from jurisdiction but none are appointed (or clerk), then the top elected (or supervisor, if it's a township) is coded as samp_juris = 1, the other(s) coded as samp_juris = 0

Analyses appearing in MPPS reports published by CLOSUP are based on samp_juris=1 cases only, unless otherwise specified.

snap_elec_app
Elected or appointed to position

type: numeric (byte)
label: elec_app

range: [1,2] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 0/1,582

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,274 1 Elected
308 2 Appointed

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable designates whether respondent is an elected or appointed official. Elected officials include Chairs of County Commission, County Executives, Mayors, Village Presidents, Township Supervisors, Township Clerks, City Clerks, Village Clerks, self-identified "Other" elected; Appointed officials include County Administrators/Controllers, City Administrators/Managers/Superintendents, Village Managers/Superintendents, Township Managers/Superintendents, City Clerks, Village Clerks, self-identified "Other" appointed.

snap_pos
Position held--numeric

type: numeric (byte)

range: [1,10] units: 1
unique values: 10 missing .: 0/1,582

mean: 6.92288
std. dev: 2.53359

percentiles: 10% 25% 50% 75% 90%
2 6 8 9 9

CODEBOOK NOTE: Variable designates what position respondent holds.

Elected officials:

- 1 - Chair of County Commission
- 2 - County Clerk
- 3 - County Executive
- 4 - Mayor
- 5 - City Clerk
- 6 - Village President
- 7 - Village Clerk
- 8 - Township Supervisor
- 9 - Township Clerk
- 10 - Other

Appointed officials:

- 1 - County Administrator/Controller
- 2 - City Administrator/Manager/Superintendent

- 3 - City Clerk
- 4 - Village Manager/Superintendent
- 5 - Village Clerk
- 6 - Township Manager/Superintendent
- 7 - Other

q2a
Your Satisfaction: Fire services

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: First, please think about the public safety services in your jurisdiction, whether provided by your jurisdiction or by other entities. In your role as a local official, how satisfied are you with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? Fire services

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: satisfaction_na

      range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 10/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  1,227      1    Very Satisfied
                  271       2    Somewhat Satisfied
                   40       3    Neither Satisfied nor
                        Dissatisfied
                   20       4    Somewhat Dissatisfied
                    4       5    Very Dissatisfied
                    7       6    Not Applicable
                    3       7    Don't Know
                   10       .

```

q2b
Your Satisfaction: State police

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: First, please think about the public safety services in your jurisdiction, whether provided by your jurisdiction or by other entities. In your role as a local official, how satisfied are you with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? State police

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: satisfaction

      range: [1,7]
unique values: 6
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 53/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  652      1    Very Satisfied
                  461      2    Somewhat Satisfied
                  286      3    Neither Satisfied nor
                        Dissatisfied
                   64      4    Somewhat Dissatisfied
                   16      5    Very Dissatisfied

```

50 7 Don't Know
53 .

q2c
Your Satisfaction: County sheriff

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: First, please think about the public safety services in your jurisdiction, whether provided by your jurisdiction or by other entities. In your role as a local official, how satisfied are you with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? County sheriff

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 53/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	760	1	Very Satisfied
	430	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	191	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	85	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	37	5	Very Dissatisfied
	26	7	Don't Know
	53	.	

q2d
Your satisfaction: Local police (if applicable)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: First, please think about the public safety services in your jurisdiction, whether provided by your jurisdiction or by other entities. In your role as a local official, how satisfied are you with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? Local police (if applicable)

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction_na
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 315/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	510	1	Very Satisfied
	150	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	42	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	12	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	4	5	Very Dissatisfied
	543	6	Not Applicable
	6	7	Don't Know
	315	.	

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, how satisfied do you think the majority of your citizens are with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? State police

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 78/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	418	1	Very Satisfied
	546	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	279	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	64	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	16	5	Very Dissatisfied
	181	7	Don't Know
	78	.	

q3c
Citizen Satisfaction: County sheriff

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, how satisfied do you think the majority of your citizens are with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? County sheriff

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 73/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	459	1	Very Satisfied
	575	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	217	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	108	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	33	5	Very Dissatisfied
	117	7	Don't Know
	73	.	

q3d
Citizen satisfaction: Local police (if applicable)

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, how satisfied do you think the majority of your citizens are with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction? Local police (if applicable)

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction_na
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 324/1,582

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How likely is it that your jurisdiction will change its service delivery arrangement (e.g., provided directly, indirectly via contract, etc.) for each of the following services in the next 2 years? Fire services

type: numeric (byte)
label: likelihood
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing : 81/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	33	1	Very Likely
	52	2	Somewhat Likely
	98	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	75	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	1,224	5	Very Unlikely
	19	6	Don't Know
	81	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q7b

How likely juris. will change service delivery for law enforcement in next 2 yrs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How likely is it that your jurisdiction will change its service delivery arrangement (e.g., provided directly, indirectly via contract, etc.) for each of the following services in the next 2 years? Law enforcement

type: numeric (byte)
label: likelihood
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing : 105/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	20	1	Very Likely
	33	2	Somewhat Likely
	110	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	97	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	1,162	5	Very Unlikely
	55	6	Don't Know
	105	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q7c

How likely juris. will change service delivery for ambulance in next 2 yrs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: How likely is it that your jurisdiction will change its service delivery arrangement (e.g., provided directly, indirectly via contract, etc.) for each of the following services in the next 2 years? Ambulance

type: numeric (byte)

label: likelihood
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 33/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	24	1	Very Likely
	54	2	Somewhat Likely
	129	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	95	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	1,172	5	Very Unlikely
	75	6	Don't Know
	33	.	

q8

Explain what upcoming changes to service delivery you expect

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please explain what upcoming change(s) to service delivery you expect for each relevant public safety service, and why.

type: string (str783)

unique values: 111 missing "": 1,468/1,582

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q7a=1 or q7a=2 or q7b=1 or q7b=2 or q7c=1 or q7c=2

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable does not appear in the Stata dataset, because some versions of Stata do not support long string variables. Responses to q8 are available in the excel file 2015fall_data_OPENS_redacted.xlsx, and can be linked back to the original data using the variable respondent_id, as well as the ID.date, ID.start, ID.endDate, and ID.end variables. Additionally, to protect respondent confidentiality, identifying information from responses was redacted. Redacted text was denoted [REDACTED]. The original responses are not available to secondary users of the data.

q9

Which pub. safety unit most often provides emergency med. response in your juris

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which public safety unit most often provides emergency medical response in your jurisdiction?

type: numeric (byte)
label: ems

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 20/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	545	1	Fire department
	74	2	Police / sheriff's office
	886	3	Ambulance / EMS service
	57	4	Don't know
	20	.	

q9_new

Which pub. safety unit most often provides emergency med. response - Recoded

type: numeric (float)
label: ems_r

range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 20/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	545	1	Fire department
	886	2	Ambulance / EMS service
	131	3	Don't Know or Other
	20	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q9 as q9_new. q9_new=1 "Fire department" if q9=1 "Fire department", q9_new=2 "Ambulance / EMS service" if q9=3 "Ambulance / EMS service", q9_new=3 "Don't Know or Other" if q9=2 "Police / sheriff's office" or q4 "Don't know".

q10

Which best describes the staffing arrangements for fire services in your juris

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Which of the following best describes the staffing arrangements for fire services in your jurisdiction? Are they all volunteers (including those who may receive a stipend for fire runs, sometimes referred to as paid-on-call), all paid employees, or a mix?

type: numeric (byte)
label: firefighter

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 121/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	786	1	All volunteer firefighters
	330	2	Mostly volunteer, but some paid firefighters
	107	3	Mostly paid, but some volunteer firefighters
	172	4	All paid firefighters
	66	5	Don't know
	121	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1 or q6=3

q10_new

Staffing arrangements for fire services in your juris - Recoded

```

type: numeric (float)
label: paid_volunteer

range: [1,3]
unique values: 3

units: 1
missing .: 121/1,582

```

```

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
             1,116      1  All or mostly volunteer
             279        2  All or mostly paid firefighters
             66         3  Don't Know
             121         .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q10 as q10_new. q10_new=1 "All or mostly volunteer firefighters" if q10=1 "All volunteer firefighters" or q10=2 "Mostly volunteer, but some paid firefighters", q10_new=2 "All or mostly paid firefighters" if q10=3 "Mostly paid, but some volunteer firefighters" or q10=4 "All paid firefighters", q10_new=3 "Don't Know" if q10=5 "Don't Know".

q11a
To what extent is recruitment/retention a problem for: Paid firefighters

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent, if any, would you say that recruitment and/or retention is a problem—either now or recently—for the following personnel in the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction? Paid firefighters

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem

range: [1,5]
unique values: 5

units: 1
missing .: 935/1,582

```

```

tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
             223      1  Not a Problem at all
             168      2  Not much of a Problem
             90       3  Somewhat of a Problem
             22       4  Significant Problem
             144      5  Don't Know
             935         .

```

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1 or q10=1

q11b
To what extent is recruitment/retention a problem for: Volunteer firefighters

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent, if any, would you say that recruitment and/or retention is a problem—either now or recently—for the following personnel in the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction? Volunteer firefighters

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem

```

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 314/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	206	1	Not a Problem at all
	356	2	Not much of a Problem
	355	3	Somewhat of a Problem
	172	4	Significant Problem
	179	5	Don't Know
	314	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1 or q10=4

q11c

To what extent is recruitment/retention a problem for: Law enforcement officers

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent, if any, would you say that recruitment and/or retention is a problem—either now or recently—for the following personnel in the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction? Law enforcement officers

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 171/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	359	1	Not a Problem at all
	309	2	Not much of a Problem
	169	3	Somewhat of a Problem
	52	4	Significant Problem
	522	5	Don't Know
	171	.	

q12a

Cost distribution for shared service delivery: Fire services

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in how costs are divided when services are shared jointly between multiple jurisdictions, or provided by one jurisdiction for another. Thinking about the primary service delivery arrangement in your jurisdiction for each of the following services, do you think your jurisdiction pays too much, about the right amount, or not enough of the total costs of services provided, or does your jurisdiction not share service delivery? Fire services

type: numeric (byte)
label: shareservice

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	328	1	Your jurisdiction does not share

		service delivery
124	2	Your jurisdiction pays too much
865	3	Your jurisdiction pays about the right amount
26	4	Your jurisdiction does not pay enough
99	5	Don't Know
140	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q12b

Cost distribution for shared service delivery: Law enforcement

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in how costs are divided when services are shared jointly between multiple jurisdictions, or provided by one jurisdiction for another. Thinking about the primary service delivery arrangement in your jurisdiction for each of the following services, do you think your jurisdiction pays too much, about the right amount, or not enough of the total costs of services provided, or does your jurisdiction not share service delivery? Law enforcement

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: shareservice

      range: [1,5]
unique values: 5
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 160/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	488	1	Your jurisdiction does not share service delivery
	92	2	Your jurisdiction pays too much
	517	3	Your jurisdiction pays about the right amount
	22	4	Your jurisdiction does not pay enough
	303	5	Don't Know
	160	.	

q12c

Cost distribution for shared service delivery: Ambulance/EMS

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: We're interested in how costs are divided when services are shared jointly between multiple jurisdictions, or provided by one jurisdiction for another. Thinking about the primary service delivery arrangement in your jurisdiction for each of the following services, do you think your jurisdiction pays too much, about the right amount, or not enough of the total costs of services provided, or does your jurisdiction not share service delivery? Ambulance/EMS

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: shareservice

      range: [1,5]
unique values: 5
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 157/1,582

```


235 6 Don't Know
157 .

q13c

Your jurisdiction has enough funding to meet its: Ambulance/EMS needs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction has enough funding to meet its... ambulance/EMS needs?

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 155/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	345	1	Strongly Agree
	314	2	Somewhat Agree
	328	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	124	4	Somewhat Disagree
	74	5	Strongly Disagree
	242	6	Don't Know
	155	.	

q14a

Jurisdiction's appropriations to meet its: Fire protection needs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, do you think your jurisdiction appropriates too much, about the right amount, or too little funding to meet its... fire protection needs?

type: numeric (byte)
label: funding

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 645/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	51	1	Too much
	843	2	About the right amount
	25	3	Too little
	18	4	Don't know
	645	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q13a=1 or q13a=2

q14b

Jurisdiction's appropriations to meet its: Law enforcement needs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, do you think your jurisdiction appropriates too much, about the right amount, or too little funding to meet its... law enforcement needs?

type: numeric (byte)
label: funding
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 998/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	40	1	Too much
	489	2	About the right amount
	29	3	Too little
	26	4	Don't know
	998	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q13b=1 or q13b=2

q14c
Jurisdiction's appropriations to meet its: Ambulance / EMS needs

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Now, do you think your jurisdiction appropriates too much, about the right amount, or too little funding to meet its... ambulance / EMS needs?

type: numeric (byte)
label: funding
range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 941/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	19	1	Too much
	572	2	About the right amount
	18	3	Too little
	32	4	Don't know
	941	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q13c=1 or q13c=2

q15a1
Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Have not pursued

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Fire services: No, have not pursued

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	735	0	Not Selected
	707	1	Selected
	140	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q15a2

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Pursued but failed

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Fire Services: Pursued but failed

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,402	0	Not Selected
	40	1	Selected
	140	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q15a3

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Pursued and succeeded

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Fire Services: Pursued and succeeded

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	843	0	Not Selected
	599	1	Selected
	140	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q15a4

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Curr. pursuing

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Fire Services: Currently pursuing

type: numeric (byte)

label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,416	0	Not Selected
	26	1	Selected
	140	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q15a5

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire Services: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Fire Services: Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,368	0	Not Selected
	74	1	Selected
	140	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q15a_new

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Fire services

type: numeric (float)
label: pursued

range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 140/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	707	1	No have not pursued
	661	2	Yes, have pursued
	74	3	Don't Know
	140	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: q15a_new summarizes responses to q15a1-q15a5. q15a_new=1 "No have not pursued" if q15a1=1, q15a_new=2 "Yes, have pursued" if q15a2=1 or q15a3=1, or q15a4=1, q15a_new=3 "Don't know" if q15a5=1.

 q15b4

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Curr. pursuing

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Law Enforcement: Currently pursuing

type: numeric (byte)
 label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
 unique values: 2 missing .: 172/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,392	0	Not Selected
	18	1	Selected
	172	.	

 q15b5

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law Enforce.: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Law Enforcement: Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
 label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
 unique values: 2 missing .: 172/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,229	0	Not Selected
	181	1	Selected
	172	.	

 q15b_new

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Law enforcement

type: numeric (float)
 label: pursued

range: [1,3] units: 1
 unique values: 3 missing .: 172/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	930	1	No have not pursued
	299	2	Yes, have pursued
	181	3	Don't Know

CODEBOOK NOTE: q15b_new summarizes responses to q15b1-q15b5. q15b_new=1 "No have not pursued" if q15b1=1, q15b_new=2 "Yes, have pursued" if q15b2=1 or q15b3=1, or q15b4=1, q15b_new=3 "Don't know" if q15b5=1.

q15c1

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Have not pursued

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Ambulance/EMS: Have not pursued

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	516	0	Not Selected
	904	1	Selected
	162	.	

q15c2

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Pursued but failed

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Ambulance/EMS: Pursued but failed

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected
range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,405	0	Not Selected
	15	1	Selected
	162	.	

q15c3

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Pursued and succeeded

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Ambulance/EMS: Pursued and succeeded

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,129	0	Not Selected
	291	1	Selected
	162	.	

q15c4

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Curr. pursuing

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Ambulance/EMS: Currently pursuing

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,402	0	Not Selected
	18	1	Selected
	162	.	

q15c5

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance/EMS: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? Check all that apply. Ambulance/EMS: Don't Know

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,228	0	Not Selected
	192	1	Selected
	162	.	

q15c_new

Pub. Safety Millage or Special Assessment: Ambulance / EMS

type: numeric (float)
label: pursued

range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	904	1	No have not pursued
	324	2	Yes, have pursued
	192	3	Don't Know
	162	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: q15c_new summarizes responses to q15c1-q15c5. q15c_new=1 "No have not pursued" if q15c1=1, q15c_new=2 "Yes, have pursued" if q15c2=1 or q15c3=1, or q15c4=1, q15c_new=3 "Don't know" if q15c5=1.

q16a

Support pursuing fire services funding through new millage or special assessment

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you, in your role as a local official, support or oppose your jurisdiction pursuing additional local funding for any of the following public safety services through either a new millage or special assessment? Fire services

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 162/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	347	1	Strongly Support
	259	2	Somewhat Support
	385	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
	165	4	Somewhat Oppose
	184	5	Strongly Oppose
	80	6	Don't Know
	162	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q16b

Support pursuing law enforce. funding through new millage or special assessment

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you, in your role as a local official, support or oppose your jurisdiction pursuing additional local funding for any of the following public safety services through either a new millage or special assessment? Law enforcement

type: numeric (byte)
label: support_oppose

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 165/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	227	1	Strongly Support

242	2	Somewhat Support
449	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
156	4	Somewhat Oppose
191	5	Strongly Oppose
152	6	Don't Know
165	.	

q16c

Support pursuing ambulance/EMS funding through new millage or special assessment

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you, in your role as a local official, support or oppose your jurisdiction pursuing additional local funding for any of the following public safety services through either a new millage or special assessment? Ambulance/EMS

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: support_oppose

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                 missing .: 170/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	193	1	Strongly Support
	180	2	Somewhat Support
	495	3	Neither Support nor Oppose
	150	4	Somewhat Oppose
	226	5	Strongly Oppose
	168	6	Don't Know
	170	.	

q17a

Do fire service depts. that serve your juris. use cost recovery policies

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do any of the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction offset expenses by using cost recovery policies/ordinances (for example, charging for fire runs, hazardous material incidents, police calls, or imposing extra fees on drunken driver or moving vehicle citations, etc.) for the following services? Fire services

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: y_n_dk

      range: [1,3]                units: 1
unique values: 3                 missing .: 152/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	778	1	Yes
	453	2	No
	199	3	Don't Know
	152	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1

q17b

Do law enforcement depts. that serve your juris. use cost recovery policies

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do any of the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction offset expenses by using cost recovery policies/ordinances (for example, charging for fire runs, hazardous material incidents, police calls, or imposing extra fees on drunken driver or moving vehicle citations, etc.) for the following services? Law enforcement

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: y_n_dk

      range: [1,3]                units: 1
unique values: 3                  missing .: 165/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  220       1      Yes
                  696       2      No
                  501       3      Don't Know
                  165       .
```

q17c

Do ambulance/ems depts. that serve your juris. use cost recovery policies

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do any of the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction offset expenses by using cost recovery policies/ordinances (for example, charging for fire runs, hazardous material incidents, police calls, or imposing extra fees on drunken driver or moving vehicle citations, etc.) for the following services? Ambulance/EMS

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: y_n_dk

      range: [1,3]                units: 1
unique values: 3                  missing .: 163/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  448       1      Yes
                  449       2      No
                  522       3      Don't Know
                  163       .
```

q18a

Satisfaction with the use of cost recovery for: Fire services

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about any important aspects of the cost recovery policies/ordinances used in your jurisdiction (e.g., cost savings, collection issues, public satisfaction), overall, would you say you have been generally satisfied or dissatisfied with the use of cost recovery for each of the following services? Fire services

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: satisfaction_dk
```

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 814/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	171	1	Very Satisfied
	290	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	153	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	73	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	30	5	Very Dissatisfied
	51	6	Don't Know
	814	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked unless q17a=1

q18b

Satisfaction with the use of cost recovery for: Law enforcement

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do any of the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction offset expenses by using cost recovery policies/ordinances (for example, charging for fire runs, hazardous material incidents, police calls, or imposing extra fees on drunken driver or moving vehicle citations, etc.) for the following services? Law enforcement

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction_dk

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 1,364/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	36	1	Very Satisfied
	82	2	Somewhat Satisfied
	56	3	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied
	21	4	Somewhat Dissatisfied
	3	5	Very Dissatisfied
	20	6	Don't Know
	1,364	.	

q18c

Satisfaction with the use of cost recovery for: Ambulance/EMS

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Do any of the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction offset expenses by using cost recovery policies/ordinances (for example, charging for fire runs, hazardous material incidents, police calls, or imposing extra fees on drunken driver or moving vehicle citations, etc.) for the following services? Ambulance/EMS

type: numeric (byte)
label: satisfaction_dk

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 1,147/1,582

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 102/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	226	1	Not a Problem at all
	634	2	Not much of a Problem
	444	3	Somewhat of a Problem
	110	4	Significant Problem
	66	5	Don't Know
	102	.	

q19f

Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Other medical emergencies

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about fire and medical issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction?
Other medical emergencies

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 108/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	252	1	Not a Problem at all
	617	2	Not much of a Problem
	359	3	Somewhat of a Problem
	96	4	Significant Problem
	150	5	Don't Know
	108	.	

q19g

Fire and medical issues in jurisdiction: Distance to nearest hospital

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about fire and medical issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction?
Distance to nearest hospital

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 101/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	390	1	Not a Problem at all
	573	2	Not much of a Problem
	337	3	Somewhat of a Problem
	130	4	Significant Problem
	51	5	Don't Know
	101	.	

q20a

Most people confident fire services will arrive in time to handle a fire

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about fire services in your jurisdiction? Most people confident fire services will arrive in time to handle a fire.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 184/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	781	1	Strongly Agree
	426	2	Somewhat Agree
	88	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	60	4	Somewhat Disagree
	12	5	Strongly Disagree
	31	6	Don't Know
	184	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1 or q6=3

q20b

Fire services in juris. are more effective than they were 5 yrs ago

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about fire services in your jurisdiction? Fire services in jurisdiction are more effective than they were 5 years ago.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 195/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	551	1	Strongly Agree
	360	2	Somewhat Agree
	348	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	35	4	Somewhat Disagree
	24	5	Strongly Disagree
	69	6	Don't Know
	195	.	

SKIP PATTERN: Not asked if jtype=1 or q6=3

q21a

Most people confident EMS will arrive in time to handle med. emergency

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about emergency medical response in your jurisdiction? Most people feel confident that first responders will arrive in time to handle a medical emergency.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 98/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	709	1	Strongly Agree
	529	2	Somewhat Agree
	114	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	66	4	Somewhat Disagree
	8	5	Strongly Disagree
	58	6	Don't Know
	98	.	

q21b

EMS services in juris. are more effective than they were 5 yrs ago

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about emergency medical response in your jurisdiction? The EMS services in my jurisdiction are more effective now than they were 5 years ago.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 104/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	504	1	Strongly Agree
	386	2	Somewhat Agree
	385	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	55	4	Somewhat Disagree
	27	5	Strongly Disagree
	121	6	Don't Know
	104	.	

q22a

Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Violent crimes

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about law enforcement issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction? Violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, homicide, etc.)

type: numeric (byte)
label: problem
range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 100/1,582

```

tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              602      1  Not a Problem at all
              678      2  Not much of a Problem
              103      3  Somewhat of a Problem
               17      4  Significant Problem
               82      5  Don't Know
              100      .

```

q22b

Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Property crimes

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about law enforcement issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction? Property crimes (e.g., burglary, vandalism, identity theft, embezzlement, etc.)

```

      type:  numeric (byte)
      label:  problem

      range:  [1,5]                units:  1
unique values: 5                  missing .: 109/1,582

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              111      1  Not a Problem at all
              599      2  Not much of a Problem
              595      3  Somewhat of a Problem
              101      4  Significant Problem
               67      5  Don't Know
              109      .

```

q22c

Law enforcement issues in jurisdiction: Drug crimes

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about law enforcement issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction? Drug crimes (e.g., possession, manufacturing, distribution, etc.)

```

      type:  numeric (byte)
      label:  problem

      range:  [1,5]                units:  1
unique values: 5                  missing .: 104/1,582

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.  Numeric  Label
              110      1  Not a Problem at all
              491      2  Not much of a Problem
              550      3  Somewhat of a Problem
              246      4  Significant Problem
               81      5  Don't Know
              104      .

```

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 96/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	880	1	Strongly Agree
	502	2	Somewhat Agree
	57	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	22	4	Somewhat Disagree
	4	5	Strongly Disagree
	21	6	Don't Know
	96	.	

q23b

Perception of crime in my juris. has neg effect on econ. dev. or tourism

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about crime and law enforcement in your jurisdiction? Public perceptions of crime in my jurisdiction have a negative effect on economic development and/or tourism.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 113/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	90	1	Strongly Agree
	153	2	Somewhat Agree
	272	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	227	4	Somewhat Disagree
	645	5	Strongly Disagree
	82	6	Don't Know
	113	.	

q23c

Perception of crime in my juris. contributing to decline in residential pop.

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about crime and law enforcement in your jurisdiction? Public perceptions of crime in my jurisdiction are contributing to a decline in residential population.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 111/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	40	1	Strongly Agree
	60	2	Somewhat Agree
	205	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree

```

245      4 Somewhat Disagree
853      5 Strongly Disagree
 68      6 Don't Know
111      .

```

q23d

People confident that law enforcement will arrive in time to handle an emergency

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about crime and law enforcement in your jurisdiction?
People generally feel confident that law enforcement will arrive in time to handle an emergency in my jurisdiction.

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: agree_disagree

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                  missing .: 104/1,582

```

```

tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
             466      1  Strongly Agree
             606      2  Somewhat Agree
             173      3  Neither Agree nor Disagree
             144      4  Somewhat Disagree
              41      5  Strongly Disagree
              48      6  Don't Know
             104      .

```

q23e

Inappropriate use of force by law enforcement in my juris. is an issue

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about crime and law enforcement in your jurisdiction? Inappropriate use of force by law enforcement in my jurisdiction is (or has recently been) an issue.

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: agree_disagree

      range: [1,6]                units: 1
unique values: 6                  missing .: 107/1,582

```

```

tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
             28      1  Strongly Agree
             36      2  Somewhat Agree
            127      3  Neither Agree nor Disagree
            158      4  Somewhat Disagree
           1,046      5  Strongly Disagree
              80      6  Don't Know
            107      .

```

q23f

Primary law enforcement agency serving juris. is more effective than 5 yrs ago

unique values: 6 missing .: 119/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	730	1	Strongly Agree
	515	2	Somewhat Agree
	115	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	42	4	Somewhat Disagree
	1	5	Strongly Disagree
	60	6	Don't Know
	119	.	

q25b

A sig. number of people in juris. are hostile towards law enforcement officers

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the relationship between community members and law enforcement officers that serve your jurisdiction? A significant number of people in my jurisdiction are hostile towards law enforcement officers.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 122/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	16	1	Strongly Agree
	93	2	Somewhat Agree
	213	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree
	279	4	Somewhat Disagree
	774	5	Strongly Disagree
	85	6	Don't Know
	122	.	

q25c

Most law enforcement officers in my juris. treat all people professionally

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the relationship between community members and law enforcement officers that serve your jurisdiction? Most law enforcement officers in my jurisdiction treat all people professionally regardless of their race, ethnicity, gender, or other characteristics.

type: numeric (byte)
label: agree_disagree

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 120/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	882	1	Strongly Agree
	363	2	Somewhat Agree
	102	3	Neither Agree nor Disagree

```

                22          4 Somewhat Disagree
                12          5 Strongly Disagree
                81          6 Don't Know
                120          .

```

q25d

A sig. number of law enf. officers in juris. are overly strict in enforcing law

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the relationship between community members and law enforcement officers that serve your jurisdiction? A significant number of law enforcement officers in my jurisdiction are overly strict in enforcing the law.

```

                type:  numeric (byte)
                label:  agree_disagree

                range:  [1,6]                      units:  1
unique values:  6                               missing .: 120/1,582

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                16       1  Strongly Agree
                75       2  Somewhat Agree
                355      3  Neither Agree nor Disagree
                285      4  Somewhat Disagree
                622      5  Strongly Disagree
                109      6  Don't Know
                120       .

```

q26a

Relationship w/primary law enforcement agency: Community members and officers

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the primary law enforcement agency that serves your jurisdiction, overall, how would you describe the relationship today between... community members and the law enforcement officers?

```

                type:  numeric (byte)
                label:  relations

                range:  [1,5]                      units:  1
unique values:  5                               missing .: 109/1,582

```

```

tabulation:  Freq.   Numeric  Label
                606       1  Excellent
                700       2  Good
                102       3  Fair
                 13       4  Poor
                 52       5  Don't Know
                109       .

```

q26b

Relationship w/primary law enforcement agency: Board/Council and the agency

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Thinking about the primary law enforcement agency that serves your jurisdiction, overall, how would you describe the relationship today between... your Board/Council and the law enforcement agency?

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: relations

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 110/1,582

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
            837      1  Excellent
            527      2   Good
             63      3   Fair
             17      4   Poor
             28      5  Don't Know
            110      .
```

q27a
Law Enforce.: Citizen task force that advises agency

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Have a citizen task force that advises agency

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 135/1,582

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
            62      1  Already Being Used
            35      2  Very Likely
            108     3  Somewhat Likely
            334     4  Neither Likely nor Unlikely
            204     5  Somewhat Unlikely
            337     6  Very Unlikely
            367     7  Don't Know
            135     .
```

q27a_new
L.E.: Citizen task force that advises agency - Recode

```
type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 135/1,582

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
            97      1  Already Being Used or Very
                    Likely
```

108	2	Somewhat Likely
334	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
204	4	Somewhat Unlikely
337	5	Very Unlikely
367	6	Don't Know
135	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q27a as q27a_new. q27a_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q27a=1 "Already Being Used" or q27a_new=2 "Very Likely", q27a_new=3 "Somewhat Likely" if q27a=3, q27a_new=4 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q27a=4, q27a_new=5 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q27a=5, q27a_new=6 "Very Unlikely" if q27a=6, and q27a_new=7 "Don't Know" if q27a=7.

q27b
Law Enforce.: Conduct citizen satisfaction surveys

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Conduct citizen satisfaction surveys

```

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7]          units: 1
unique values: 7      missing : 140/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	52	1	Already Being Used
	45	2	Very Likely
	168	3	Somewhat Likely
	342	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	201	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	266	6	Very Unlikely
	368	7	Don't Know
	140	.	

q27b_new
L.E.: Conduct citizen satisfaction surveys - Recode

```

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6]          units: 1
unique values: 6      missing : 140/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	97	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	168	2	Somewhat Likely
	342	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	201	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	266	5	Very Unlikely
	368	6	Don't Know

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q27b as q27b_new. q27b_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q27b=1 "Already Being Used" or q27b_new=2 "Very Likely", q27b_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q27b=3, q27b_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q27b=4, q27b_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q27b=5, q27b_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q27b=6, and q27b_new=6 "Don't Know" if q27b=7.

q27c

Law Enforce.: Agency policies available proactively for public review

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Proactively make agency policies available for public review (e.g., on agency website)

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 147/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	124	1	Already Being Used
	126	2	Very Likely
	218	3	Somewhat Likely
	275	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	132	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	165	6	Very Unlikely
	395	7	Don't Know
	147	.	

q27c_new

L.E.: Agency policies available proactively for public review - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 147/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	250	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	218	2	Somewhat Likely
	275	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	132	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	165	5	Very Unlikely
	395	6	Don't Know
	147	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q27c as q27c_new. q27c_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q27c=1 "Already Being Used" or q27c_new=2 "Very

Likely", q27c_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q27c=3, q27c_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q27c=4, q27c_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q27c=5, q27c_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q27c=6, and q27c_new=6 "Don't Know" if q27c=7.

q27d

Law Enforce.: Mandate indep. investigation for officer-involved injuries/deaths

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Have policies mandating independent investigations into officer-involved injuries or deaths

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing : 151/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	230	1	Already Being Used
	144	2	Very Likely
	120	3	Somewhat Likely
	233	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	74	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	141	6	Very Unlikely
	489	7	Don't Know
	151	.	.

q27d_new

L.E.: Mandate indep. investigation for officer-involved injuries/deaths - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing : 151/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	374	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	120	2	Somewhat Likely
	233	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	74	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	141	5	Very Unlikely
	489	6	Don't Know
	151	.	.

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q27d as q27d_new. q27d_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q27d=1 "Already Being Used" or q27d_new=2 "Very Likely", q27d_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q27d=3, q27d_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q27d=4, q27d_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q27d=5, q27d_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q27d=6, and q27d_new=6 "Don't Know" if q27d=7.

q27e

Law Enforce.: Training in de-escalation, cultural understanding, bias awareness

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Train law enforcement officers in any of the following: de-escalation tactics, cultural understanding, and/or bias awareness

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 139/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	261	1	Already Being Used
	200	2	Very Likely
	178	3	Somewhat Likely
	178	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	53	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	101	6	Very Unlikely
	472	7	Don't Know
	139	.	

q27e_new

L.E.: Training in de-escalation, cultural understanding, bias awareness - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 139/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	461	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	178	2	Somewhat Likely
	178	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	53	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	101	5	Very Unlikely
	472	6	Don't Know
	139	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q27e as q27e_new. q27e_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q27e=1 "Already Being Used" or q27e_new=2 "Very Likely", q27e_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q27e=3, q27e_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q27e=4, q27e_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q27e=5, q27e_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q27e=6, and q27e_new=6 "Don't Know" if q27e=7.

q27f

Law Enforce.: Workforce that reflects racial make-up of juris.

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Have a law enforcement workforce that reflects the racial make-up of your jurisdiction

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 152/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	185	1	Already Being Used
	210	2	Very Likely
	166	3	Somewhat Likely
	276	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	63	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	120	6	Very Unlikely
	410	7	Don't Know
	152	.	

q27f_new

L.E.: Workforce that reflects racial make-up of juris. - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r
range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 152/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	395	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	166	2	Somewhat Likely
	276	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	63	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	120	5	Very Unlikely
	410	6	Don't Know
	152	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q27f as q27f_new. q27f_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q27f=1 "Already Being Used" or q27f_new=2 "Very Likely", q27f_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q27f=3, q27f_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q27f=4, q27f_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q27f=5, q27f_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q27f=6, and q27f_new=6 "Don't Know" if q27f=7.

q28
Concern about civil unrest incident connected w/ police use of force in juris.

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: In the past year, there have been a number of high-profile incidents of civil unrest across the country, often revolving around police use of force, as in Ferguson, Missouri, and Baltimore, Maryland. How concerned are you that a major incident

of civil unrest connected to police use of force could happen in your jurisdiction in the near future?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: concern

      range: [1,5]                units: 1
unique values: 5                  missing .: 123/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
                  831      1  Not at all concerned
                  458      2  Not very concerned
                  123      3  Somewhat concerned
                   21      4  Very concerned
                   26      5  Don't Know
                  123      .
```

q29a
Ferguson and Baltimore lowered morale of law enforcement officers

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent, if any, do you think recent high-profile incidents of police-community violence such as those in Ferguson and Baltimore have lowered... the morale of law enforcement officers that serve your jurisdiction?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: lowered

      range: [1,5]                units: 1
unique values: 5                  missing .: 128/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
                  348      1  Not at all
                  458      2  Very little
                  327      3  Somewhat
                   93      4  A great deal
                  228      5  Don't Know
                  128      .
```

q29b
Ferguson and Baltimore lowered community trust toward law enforcement officers

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To what extent, if any, do you think recent high-profile incidents of police-community violence such as those in Ferguson and Baltimore have lowered... the level of community trust toward law enforcement officers that serve your jurisdiction?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: lowered

      range: [1,5]                units: 1
unique values: 5                  missing .: 135/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
```


QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Dashboard cameras

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: practices

      range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 136/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  625      1  Already Being Used
                  230      2  Very Likely
                  228      3  Somewhat Likely
                   53      4  Neither Likely nor Unlikely
                   30      5  Somewhat Unlikely
                   25      6  Very Unlikely
                  255      7  Don't Know
                  136      .

```

q31b_new
L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Dashboard cameras - Recode

```

      type: numeric (float)
      label: practices_r

      range: [1,6]
unique values: 6
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 136/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  855      1  Already Being Used or Very
                           Likely
                  228      2  Somewhat Likely
                   53      3  Neither Likely nor Unlikely
                   30      4  Somewhat Unlikely
                   25      5  Very Unlikely
                  255      6  Don't Know
                  136      .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q31b as q31b_new. q31b_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q31b=1 "Already Being Used" or q31b_new=2 "Very Likely", q31b_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q31b=3, q31b_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q31b=4, q31b_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q31b=5, q31b_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q31b=6, and q31b_new=6 "Don't Know" if q31b=7.

q31c
Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Body cameras

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Body cameras

```

    type: numeric (byte)
    label: practices

    range: [1,7]
    unique values: 7

    units: 1
    missing .: 143/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	259	1	Already Being Used
	296	2	Very Likely
	313	3	Somewhat Likely
	109	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	67	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	52	6	Very Unlikely
	343	7	Don't Know
	143	.	

q31c_new
L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Body cameras - Recode

```

    type: numeric (float)
    label: practices_r

    range: [1,6]
    unique values: 6

    units: 1
    missing .: 143/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	555	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	313	2	Somewhat Likely
	109	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	67	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	52	5	Very Unlikely
	343	6	Don't Know
	143	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q31c as q31c_new. q31c_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q31c=1 "Already Being Used" or q31c_new=2 "Very Likely", q31c_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q31c=3, q31c_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q31c=4, q31c_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q31c=5, q31c_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q31c=6, and q31c_new=6 "Don't Know" if q31c=7.

q31d
Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Other public surveillance cameras

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Other public surveillance cameras (e.g., on buildings, streetlights, etc.)

```

    type: numeric (byte)
    label: practices

```

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 150/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	314	1	Already Being Used
	187	2	Very Likely
	241	3	Somewhat Likely
	157	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	98	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	117	6	Very Unlikely
	318	7	Don't Know
	150	.	

q31d_new

L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Other public surveillance cameras - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 150/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	501	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	241	2	Somewhat Likely
	157	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	98	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	117	5	Very Unlikely
	318	6	Don't Know
	150	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q31d as q31d_new. q31d_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q31d=1 "Already Being Used" or q31d_new=2 "Very Likely", q31d_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q31d=3, q31d_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q31d=4, q31d_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q31d=5, q31d_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q31d=6, and q31d_new=6 "Don't Know" if q31d=7.

q31e

Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Citizen notification systems

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Citizen notification systems (to alert residents of weather-related or man-made emergencies)

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 144/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	565	1	Already Being Used

202	2	Very Likely
204	3	Somewhat Likely
113	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
46	5	Somewhat Unlikely
51	6	Very Unlikely
257	7	Don't Know
144	.	

q31e_new

L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Citizen notification systems - Recode

```

      type: numeric (float)
      label: practices_r

      range: [1,6]                      units: 1
unique values: 6                        missing .: 144/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	767	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	204	2	Somewhat Likely
	113	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	46	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	51	5	Very Unlikely
	257	6	Don't Know
	144	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q31e as q31e_new. q31e_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q31e=1 "Already Being Used" or q31e_new=2 "Very Likely", q31e_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q31e=3, q31e_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q31e=4, q31e_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q31e=5, q31e_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q31e=6, and q31e_new=6 "Don't Know" if q31e=7.

q31f

Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Data-driven policing

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Data-driven policing/crime mapping

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: practices

      range: [1,7]                      units: 1
unique values: 7                        missing .: 153/1,582

```

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	213	1	Already Being Used
	149	2	Very Likely
	199	3	Somewhat Likely
	199	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	79	5	Somewhat Unlikely

84	6	Very Unlikely
506	7	Don't Know
153	.	

q31f_new

L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Data-driven policing - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 153/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	362	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	199	2	Somewhat Likely
	199	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	79	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	84	5	Very Unlikely
	506	6	Don't Know
	153	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q31f as q31f_new. q31f_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q31f=1 "Already Being Used" or q31f_new=2 "Very Likely", q31f_new=3 "Somewhat Likely" if q31f=3, q31f_new=4 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q31f=4, q31f_new=5 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q31f=5, q31f_new=6 "Very Unlikely" if q31f=6, and q31f_new=7 "Don't Know" if q31f=7.

q31g

Law Enforcement Agency Practices/Equip: Community policing

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used? Community policing (assigning officers to particular areas to build partnerships with residents)

type: numeric (byte)
label: practices

range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 150/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	293	1	Already Being Used
	138	2	Very Likely
	171	3	Somewhat Likely
	227	4	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	88	5	Somewhat Unlikely
	140	6	Very Unlikely
	375	7	Don't Know
	150	.	

q31g_new

L.E. Agency Practices/Equip: Community policing - Recode

type: numeric (float)
label: practices_r

range: [1,6] units: 1
unique values: 6 missing .: 150/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	431	1	Already Being Used or Very Likely
	171	2	Somewhat Likely
	227	3	Neither Likely nor Unlikely
	88	4	Somewhat Unlikely
	140	5	Very Unlikely
	375	6	Don't Know
	150	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: CLOSUP recoded responses to the original q31g as q31g_new. q31g_new=1 "Already Being Used or Very Likely" if q31g=1 "Already Being Used" or q31g_new=2 "Very Likely", q31g_new=2 "Somewhat Likely" if q31g=3, q31g_new=3 "Neither Likely nor Unlikely" if q31g=4, q31g_new=4 "Somewhat Unlikely" if q31g=5, q31g_new=5 "Very Unlikely" if q31g=6, and q31g_new=6 "Don't Know" if q31g=7.

q32a

Privacy vs Public Safety: Jurisdiction's citizens

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Some people think that in order to prevent crime, it is important for law enforcement agencies to maximize surveillance, as through the use of dashboard cameras, body cameras, and public surveillance, even if this threatens personal privacy. Others think it is more important to ensure personal privacy, even if that might raise the risk of crime. How do you think the following groups or individuals feel about the trade-offs between protecting privacy and ensuring public safety? The majority of your jurisdiction's citizens think...

type: numeric (byte)
label: safety_privacy

range: [1,4] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 137/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	106	1	Protecting personal privacy is more important than ensuring public safety
	860	2	Personal privacy and public safety are equally important
	131	3	Ensuring public safety is more important than protecting personal privacy
	348	4	Don't Know
	137	.	

296	3	Ensuring public safety is more important than protecting personal privacy
105	4	Don't Know
144	.	

q35
Gender

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What is your gender?

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: gender

      range: [1,2]
unique values: 2
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 174/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  782       1   Male
                  626       2   Female
                  174       .

```

age_category
Respondent Age

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: age

      range: [1,9]
unique values: 8
                        units: 1
                        missing .: 225/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  11       1   20s
                  66       2   30s
                  167      3   40s
                  354      4   50s
                  492      5   60s
                  233      6   70s
                  33       7   80s
                  1        9   100+
                  225      .

```

CODEBOOK NOTE: In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP recoded the continuous variable q36 "In what year were you born" into age_category, a categorical variable. Original responses to q36 are not available to secondary users of the data.

tenure
Respondent's time in office

```

      type: numeric (byte)
      label: tenure

```

range: [1,5] units: 1
unique values: 5 missing .: 171/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	242	1	Two or less years
	335	2	Three to five years
	307	3	Six to ten years
	357	4	Eleven to twenty years
	170	5	More than twenty years
	171	.	.

CODEBOOK NOTE: In order to protect respondent confidentiality, CLOSUP recoded the continuous variable q37 "How many years have you served in your current position" into tenure, a categorical variable. Original responses to q37 are not available to secondary users of the data.

q38
Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?

type: numeric (byte)
label: y_n, but label does not exist

range: [1,2] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 199/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Value
	18	1
	1,365	2
	199	.

q39a
Race: White

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) White

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	43	0	Not Selected
	1,324	1	Selected
	215	.	.

q39b
Race: Black or African American

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) Black or African American

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]                      units: 1
unique values: 2                        missing .: 215/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   1,355      0  Not Selected
                   12        1  Selected
                   215        .
```

q39c
Race: American Indian or Alaskan Native

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) American Indian or Alaskan Native

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]                      units: 1
unique values: 2                        missing .: 215/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   1,349      0  Not Selected
                   18        1  Selected
                   215        .
```

q39d
Race: Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: selected

      range: [0,1]                      units: 1
unique values: 2                        missing .: 215/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                   1,366      0  Not Selected
                   1         1  Selected
                   215        .
```

q39e
Race: Asian

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) Asian

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,366	0	Not Selected
	1	1	Selected
	215	.	

q39f
Race: Multiracial

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) Multiracial

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,357	0	Not Selected
	10	1	Selected
	215	.	

q39g
Race: Other

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) Other

type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 215/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	1,352	0	Not Selected
	15	1	Selected
	215	.	

q39h
Race: Don't Know

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply) Don't Know

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: selected

range: [0,1]
unique values: 2
units: 1
missing .: 215/1,582

tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
             1,364      0  Not Selected
              3         1  Selected
             215         .
```

q40
Highest level of education

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: schooling

range: [1,7]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 202/1,582

tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
             38         1  12th grade or less, no diploma
            187         2  High school graduate or GED
            397         3  Some college, no degree
            168         4  Associate degree
            294         5  Bachelor's degree
            245         6  Master's degree
             51         7  Professional/Doctorate degree
            202         .
```

q41
Political affiliation

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a...?

```
type: numeric (byte)
label: party

range: [1,4]
unique values: 4
units: 1
missing .: 264/1,582

tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
             616         1  Republican
             382         2  Independent
             265         3  Democrat
              55         4  Something Else
             264         .
```

q42
Strength of political affiliation

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you consider yourself a strong or not very strong Republican/Democrat?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: strength

      range: [1,3]                units: 1
unique values: 3                  missing .: 713/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  438      1     Very Strong
                  364      2     Not Very Strong
                   67      3     Don't Know
                  713      .
```

q43
As an Independent, which party are you closer to?

QUESTIONNAIRE TEXT: Would you consider yourself closer to...?

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: political_leaning

      range: [1,3]                units: 1
unique values: 3                  missing .: 1,160/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  84      1     Democratic Party
                 143      2     Republican Party
                 195      3     Neither
                1,160      .
```

partyid
7-point partisanship scale

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: partisanship

      range: [1,7]                units: 1
unique values: 7                  missing .: 358/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.   Numeric  Label
                  296      1     Strong Republican
                  262      2     Weak Republican
                  143      3     Independent leaning Republican
                  195      4     Independent
                   84      5     Independent leaning Democrat
                  102      6     Weak Democrat
```

142 7 Strong Democrat
358 .

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable is a recoded version of q41, q42, and q43, which measure political affiliation and strength of party affiliation.

threeparty
3-point partisanship scale

type: numeric (byte)
label: partisanship2
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 358/1,582
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
701 1 Republican
195 2 Independent
328 3 Democrat
358 .

CODEBOOK NOTE: This variable is a recoded version of q41 and q43, which measure political affiliation.

pop_density
Population Density

type: numeric (byte)
label: pop_density
range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 0/1,582
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
761 1 Low <100
466 2 Mid
355 3 High >800

CODEBOOK NOTE: The categories are based on the number of residents per square mile, as determined by the jurisdiction's 2010 US Census population and the jurisdiction's land area. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

region
MI regions

type: numeric (byte)
label: soss_reg
range: [1,6] units: 1

unique values: 6 missing .: 0/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	165	1	Upper Peninsula
	274	2	Northern Lower Peninsula
	300	3	West Central Lower Peninsula
	286	4	East Central Lower Peninsula
	244	5	Southwest Lower Peninsula
	313	6	Southeast Lower Peninsula

CODEBOOK NOTE: Regional groupings by county, as developed by MSU's State of the State Survey.

1. Upper Peninsula - Alger, Baraga, Chippewa, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Luce, Ontonagon, Mackinac, Marquette, Menominee, Schoolcraft
2. Northern Lower Peninsula - Alcona, Alpena, Antrim, Benzie, Charlevoix, Cheboygan, Crawford, Emmet, Grand Traverse, Iosco, Kalkaska, Leelanau, Missaukee, Montmorency, Ogemaw, Oscoda, Otsego, Presque Isle, Roscommon, Wexford
3. West Central - Allegan, Barry, Ionia, Kent, Lake, Manistee, Mason, Mecosta, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oceana, Osceola, Ottawa
4. East Central - Arenac, Bay, Clare, Clinton, Gladwin, Gratiot, Huron, Isabella, Midland, Saginaw, Sanilac, Shiawassee, Tuscola
5. Southwest - Berrien, Branch, Calhoun, Cass, Eaton, Hillsdale, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, St. Joseph, Van Buren
6. Southeast - Genesee, Lapeer, Lenawee, Livingston, Macomb, Monroe, Oakland, St. Clair, Washtenaw, Wayne

 juris_rr
 Jurisdiction-level Response Rate

type: numeric (float)

range: [.5,1] units: 1.000e-08
 unique values: 40 missing .: 0/1,582

mean: .77478
 std. dev: .091458

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	.692308	.716535	.75	.827586	.902439

CODEBOOK NOTE: Response rate used to calculate jurisdiction-level weights, jurisdictions in database compared with number of jurisdictions in sample frame.

 juris_wgt
 Jurisdiction-level Weight

type: numeric (float)

range: [1,2] units: 1.000e-07
unique values: 40 missing .: 0/1,582

mean: 1.30807
std. dev: .150279

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	1.10811	1.20833	1.33333	1.3956	1.44444

CODEBOOK NOTE: Weights to be used when analyzing data at the jurisdiction level.

ind_rr
Individual-level Response Rate

type: numeric (float)

range: [.32407406,.6981132] units: 1.000e-08
unique values: 17 missing .: 3/1,582

mean: .438642
std. dev: .088143

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	.328571	.396728	.418819	.470588	.593103

CODEBOOK NOTE: Response rate used to calculate individual-level weights, individual respondents in database compared with number of individual officials in sample frame.

ind_wgt
Individual-level Weight

type: numeric (float)

range: [0,3.0857143] units: 1.000e-07
unique values: 18 missing .: 0/1,582

mean: 2.35778
std. dev: .434528

percentiles:	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
	1.68605	2.125	2.38767	2.52062	3.04348

pop_township
Population Category: Township

type: numeric (byte)
label: pop_twp_city

range: [1,3] units: 1

unique values: 3 missing .: 530/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	391	1	<1500
	555	2	1500-10000
	106	3	>10000
	530	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the township's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_city
Population Category: City

type: numeric (byte)
label: pop_twp_city

range: [1,3] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 1,333/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	29	1	<1500
	131	2	1500-10000
	89	3	>10000
	1,333	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the city's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_village
Population Category: Village

type: numeric (byte)
label: popvillage

range: [1,2] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 1,371/1,582

tabulation:	Freq.	Numeric	Label
	160	1	<1500
	51	2	>/=1500
	1,371	.	

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis

by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the village's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

pop_county

Population Category: County

```
      type: numeric (byte)
      label: popcounty

      range: [1,2]                units: 1
unique values: 2                  missing .: 1,512/1,582

      tabulation: Freq.  Numeric  Label
                   26       1  </=30000
                   44       2  >30000
                   1,512     .
```

CODEBOOK NOTE: This categorical population variable was created to allow for some analysis by population level, while reducing the risk of jurisdiction re-identification that is present when a single population variable is provided for all jurisdiction types. It is based upon the county's 2010 US Census population. Corrections have not been made for any annexations or incorporations that have occurred since 2010.

For more information, please contact: closup-mpps@umich.edu / (734) 647-4091

To start, please confirm:

Q1. What type of jurisdiction do you represent?

- County
- Township
- City
- Village

What is the jurisdiction's name? _____

(If not a county) In what county is it located? _____

What position do you hold? _____

Q2. First, please think overall about the public safety services in your jurisdiction, whether provided by your jurisdiction or by other entities. In your role as a local official, how satisfied are you with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction?

	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Strongly Dissatisfied	Not Applicable	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
State police	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
County sheriff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local police (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / emergency medical services (EMS)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q3. Now, how satisfied do you think the majority of your citizens are with each of the following services provided in your jurisdiction?

	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Strongly Dissatisfied	Not Applicable	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
State police	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
County sheriff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local police (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / emergency medical services (EMS)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

There can be many complex intergovernmental arrangements for public safety services, however, in the next few questions we would like you to focus on the primary service delivery arrangement in your jurisdiction.

Q4. (County officials please skip) Which of the following best describes your jurisdiction's primary service delivery arrangement, if any, for law enforcement?

- DIRECTLY: your jurisdiction directly provides this service for itself, for itself and other jurisdictions, or jointly with other jurisdictions
- INDIRECTLY: this service is provided by a special authority/district, another municipality, or county sheriff through a contract
- NONE: your jurisdiction does not provide or contract for this service (i.e., it relies on the county sheriff or state police to respond)
- Don't know

Q5. Which of the following best describes your jurisdiction's primary service delivery arrangement, if any, for medical transport services (e.g., ambulance)?

- DIRECTLY: your jurisdiction directly provides this service for itself, for itself and other jurisdictions, or jointly with other jurisdictions
- INDIRECTLY: this service is provided by a special authority/district, another municipality, or another provider through a contract or agreement
- NONE: your jurisdiction does not provide or contract for this service (e.g., 9-1-1 routes calls to a nearby hospital)
- Don't know

Q6. (County officials please skip) Which of the following best describes your jurisdiction's primary service delivery arrangement, if any, for fire services?

- DIRECTLY: your jurisdiction directly provides this service for itself, for itself and other jurisdictions, or jointly with other jurisdictions
- INDIRECTLY: this service is provided by a special authority/district, another municipality, or another provider through a contract
- NONE: your jurisdiction does not provide or contract for this service
- Don't know

Q7. How likely is it that your jurisdiction will change its service delivery arrangement (e.g., provided directly, indirectly via contract, etc.) for each of the following services in the next 2 years? (County officials please answer only about ambulance service)

	Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q8. (If you selected "very likely" or "somewhat likely" in Q7) Please explain what upcoming change(s) to service delivery you expect for each relevant public safety service, and why.

Q9. Which public safety unit most often provides emergency medical response in your jurisdiction?

- Fire department
- Police / sheriff's office
- Ambulance / EMS service
- Don't know

Q10. (Please skip if your jurisdiction does not provide or contract for fire services) Which of the following best describes the staffing arrangements for fire services in your jurisdiction? Are they all volunteers (including those who may receive a stipend for fire runs, sometimes referred to as paid-on-call), all paid employees, or a mix?

- All volunteer firefighters
- Mostly volunteer, but some paid firefighters
- Mostly paid, but some volunteer firefighters
- All paid firefighters
- Don't know

County officials: For the following questions on law enforcement issues, please think specifically about your county sheriff's office and its officers.

Q11. To what extent, if any, would you say that recruitment and/or retention is a problem — either now or recently — for the following personnel in the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction? (Please skip a response if none of these types of personnel serves your jurisdiction.)

	Not a Problem at all	Not much of a Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Significant Problem	Don't Know
Paid firefighters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Volunteer (including paid-on-call) firefighters	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement officers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q12. We're interested in how costs are divided when services are shared jointly between multiple jurisdictions, or provided by one jurisdiction for another. Thinking about the primary service delivery arrangement in your jurisdiction for each of the following services, do you think your jurisdiction pays too much, about the right amount, or not enough of the total costs of services provided, or does your jurisdiction not share service delivery? (County officials please skip the first line below about fire services)

	Your jurisdiction does not share service delivery	Your jurisdiction pays too much	Your jurisdiction pays about the right amount	Your jurisdiction does not pay enough	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / EMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Whether or not these services are currently provided in your jurisdiction, we have a few questions about the availability of funding for public safety services.

Q13. To what extent do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction has enough funding to meet its current... (County officials please skip the first line below about fire services)

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
... fire protection needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... law enforcement needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... ambulance / EMS needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q14. (If you selected "strongly agree" or "somewhat agree" in Q13) Now, do you think your jurisdiction appropriates too much, about the right amount, or too little funding to meet its...

	Too much	About the right amount	Too little	Don't Know
... fire protection needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... law enforcement needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
... ambulance / EMS needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q15. In recent years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for any of the following services through either new or renewed public safety millage(s) or special assessment(s)? (Check all that apply; County officials please skip the first line below about fire services)

	No, have not pursued	Yes, pursued and failed	Yes, pursued and succeeded	Yes, currently pursuing	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / EMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q16. Would you, in your role as a local official, support or oppose your jurisdiction pursuing additional local funding for any of the following public safety services through either a new millage or special assessment? (County officials please skip the first line below about fire services)

	Strongly Support	Somewhat Support	Neither Support nor Oppose	Somewhat Oppose	Strongly Oppose	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / EMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q17. Do any of the public safety departments that serve your jurisdiction offset expenses by using cost recovery policies/ordinances (for example, charging for fire runs, hazardous material incidents, police calls, or imposing extra fees on drunken driver or moving vehicle citations, etc.) for the following services? (County officials please skip the first line below about fire services)

	Yes	No	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / EMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q18. (If you selected "yes" in Q17) Thinking about any important aspects of the cost recovery policies/ordinances used in your jurisdiction (e.g., cost savings, collection issues, public satisfaction), overall, would you say you have been generally satisfied or dissatisfied with the use of cost recovery for each of the following services?

	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Strongly Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Fire services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ambulance / EMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q19. Thinking about fire and medical issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction?

	Not a Problem at all	Not much of a Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Significant Problem	Don't Know
Arson	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wildfires	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other fires	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hazardous material incidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Traffic accidents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other medical emergencies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Distance to the nearest hospital	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q20. (County officials and those without fire serves, please skip) To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about fire services in your jurisdiction?

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Most people feel confident that fire services will arrive in time to handle a fire.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The fire services in my jurisdiction are more effective now than they were 5 years ago.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q21. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about emergency medical response in your jurisdiction?

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Most people feel confident that first responders will arrive in time to handle a medical emergency.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The EMS services in my jurisdiction are more effective now than they were 5 years ago.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q22. Thinking about law enforcement issues, to what extent, if any, would you say the following are currently (or have recently been) problems in your jurisdiction?

	Not a Problem at all	Not much of a Problem	Somewhat of a Problem	Significant Problem	Don't Know
Violent crimes (e.g., rape, assault, homicide, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Property crimes (e.g., burglary, vandalism, identity theft, embezzlement, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Drug crimes (e.g., possession, manufacturing, distribution, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public-order offenses (e.g., DUI, weapons violations, disorderly conduct, traffic violations, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Crime overall in your jurisdiction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q23. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about crime and law enforcement in your jurisdiction?

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Most people feel safe in my jurisdiction.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public perceptions of crime in my jurisdiction have a negative effect on economic development and/or tourism.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public perceptions of crime in my jurisdiction are contributing to a decline in residential population.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
People generally feel confident that law enforcement will arrive in time to handle an emergency in my jurisdiction.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inappropriate use of force by law enforcement in my jurisdiction is (or has recently been) an issue.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The primary law enforcement agency that serves my jurisdiction is more effective now than it was 5 years ago.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q24. Thinking again about all three public safety services — fire, law enforcement, and ambulance/EMS — overall, what would you say are the biggest public safety challenges facing your community today?

Q31. To the best of your knowledge, how likely or unlikely is it that the primary law enforcement agency serving your jurisdiction will use the following equipment or practices in the near future, or are they already being used?

	Already Being Used	Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Neither Likely nor Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Very Unlikely	Don't Know
Unmanned aerial vehicles (i.e., drones)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dashboard cameras	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Body cameras	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other public surveillance cameras (e.g., on buildings, streetlights, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Citizen notification systems (to alert residents of weather-related or man-made emergencies)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data-driven policing / crime mapping	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Community policing (assigning officers to particular areas to build partnerships with residents)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q32. Some people think that in order to prevent crime, it is important for law enforcement agencies to maximize surveillance, as through the use of dashboard cameras, body cameras, and public surveillance, even if this threatens personal privacy. Others think it is more important to ensure personal privacy, even if that might raise the risk of crime. How do you think the following groups or individuals feel about the trade-offs between protecting privacy and ensuring public safety?

	Protecting personal privacy is more important than ensuring public safety	Personal privacy and public safety are equally important	Ensuring public safety is more important than protecting personal privacy	Don't Know
The majority of your jurisdiction's citizens think...	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The leaders of the primary law enforcement agency that serves your jurisdiction think...	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
You personally in your role as a local official think...	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Finally, we have a question about making this survey more beneficial for your jurisdiction.

Q33. We would like your advice regarding issues that should be included in future surveys. Please describe the general topic areas and/or specific questions you would like to see included in the Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS).

Q34. CLOSUP will protect your privacy and anonymity to the full extent provided by law. We need to confirm your personal information such as name, email address, and phone number for tracking and administrative purposes only. Your personal information will not be shared with any outside sources. As with all of the questions in this survey, these are optional.

Your name _____ Your phone number _____ - _____ - _____
 Your email address _____

We would like to ask some demographic questions. Responses will be reported in aggregate form only so that your individual responses will remain confidential.

Q35. What is your gender? Male Female

Q36. In what year were you born? 19 ____

Q37. How many years have you served in your current position? _____

Q38. Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent? Yes No

Q39. Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply)

- White Asian
- Black or African American Multiracial
- American Indian or Alaskan Native Other
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander Don't know

Q40. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

- 12th grade or less/no diploma Bachelor's degree
- High school graduate or GED Master's degree
- Some college, no degree Professional/Doctorate degree
- Associate's degree

Q41. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a...?

- Republican Independent Democrat
- Something else (please specify) _____

Q42. (if Republican or Democrat) Would you consider yourself a strong or not very strong Republican/Democrat?

- Very strong Not very strong Don't know

Q43. (if Independent or something else) Would you consider yourself closer to...?

- the Democratic Party the Republican Party Neither