To start, please confirm:

Q1. What type of jurisdiction do you represent?
- County
- Township
- City
- Village

What is the jurisdiction’s name? ____________________________
(If not a county) In what county is it located? ____________________________
What position do you hold? ____________________________

We’d like to start off by asking you a range of questions about the roads and bridges in your jurisdiction. We understand some jurisdictions have direct control over road- and bridge-related decisions and/or funding within their boundaries; others—like many townships—are not the primary decision-makers. Throughout this questionnaire, we want you to answer questions to the best of your knowledge about road and road funding issues, whether or not your government has authority over road policy.

Q2. We know there are regular assessments of many public roads using formal scoring methods, however we are interested in your personal evaluation of the overall condition of roads and bridges within your jurisdiction’s geographic boundaries. In your opinion, how would you rate the overall current condition within your jurisdiction of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roads/Briges</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>... state trunk lines and county primary roads?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... local paved roads?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... local unpaved roads?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... roads, in general, within your geographic boundaries?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q3. Thinking about the condition of roads and bridges within your community overall, to what degree would you say their current condition has a positive or negative impact, if any, on the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
<th>Very Positive Impact</th>
<th>Somewhat Positive Impact</th>
<th>Mixed Positive and Negative Impact</th>
<th>Somewhat Negative Impact</th>
<th>Very Negative Impact</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your jurisdiction’s economic development</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your jurisdiction government’s fiscal health</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism in your jurisdiction</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The agricultural sector in your jurisdiction</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ability of public safety personnel to respond to an emergency in your jurisdiction</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen satisfaction with your jurisdiction’s government</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4. In your opinion, where would you say the following groups or individuals would rank the need for road and bridge maintenance and improvement compared to other public service priorities (e.g., public safety services, economic development, public health, trash collection, etc.) for your local government?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Roads are the top priority for the jurisdiction</th>
<th>Roads are a priority, but not the top priority</th>
<th>Roads are a low priority</th>
<th>Roads are not a priority at all</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The majority of your jurisdiction’s Board/Council</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of your jurisdiction’s citizens</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of your jurisdiction’s business community</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You personally in your role as a local official</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q5. Once again, thinking about the condition of roads and bridges in your community overall, to what degree would you say their condition has changed over the past five years, if at all? Please indicate whether—in your opinion—the overall condition of road and bridges in your geographic boundaries, in general, has improved, deteriorated, or not changed significantly over the past five years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition Change</th>
<th>Significantly Improved</th>
<th>Somewhat Improved</th>
<th>No Significant Change Overall</th>
<th>Somewhat Deteriorated</th>
<th>Significantly Deteriorated</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6. In some local jurisdictions, the focus of road and/or bridge maintenance and improvement is on long-term asset management. In other jurisdictions, the focus is necessarily on short-term repairs and “band-aid” fixes. Which of the following, in your opinion, best describes the current approach to road and/or bridge maintenance and improvement within your jurisdiction’s geographic boundaries? (Please respond to the best of your knowledge, even if your jurisdiction does not control local road policy.)

- Only short-term fixes
- Mostly short-term fixes, with some long-term asset management
- Mostly long-term asset management, with some short-term fixes
- Only long-term asset management
- Don’t know

Q7. Some counties or localities have had to resort to grinding up paved roads that they can no longer afford to maintain. As far as you know, has this been done to one or more paved roads within your jurisdiction’s geographic boundaries during the past five years?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Q8. If the state legislature does not significantly increase funding for roads and/or bridges in your jurisdiction, in your opinion, how much of a problem, if at all, would it be...

| ... to maintain the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries? |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| A Significant Problem                  | Somewhat of a Problem | Not Much of a Problem | Not a Problem At All | Don’t Know |
| ... to improve the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries? |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| A Significant Problem                  | Somewhat of a Problem | Not Much of a Problem | Not a Problem At All | Don’t Know |

Q9. Now, if the state legislature increases funding for roads and/or bridges in your jurisdiction by some amount, which of the following, in your opinion, would be closest to meeting your jurisdiction’s needs...

| ... to maintain the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries? |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| No state funding increase needed      | 50% increase in state funding needed | 100% increase (doubling) in state funding needed | More than 100% increase in state funding needed | Don’t Know |
| ... to improve the roads and bridges, in general, within your geographic boundaries? |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| No state funding increase needed      | 50% increase in state funding needed | 100% increase (doubling) in state funding needed | More than 100% increase in state funding needed | Don’t Know |

Q10. There are many methods states could use to fund roads and bridges, including some that are not currently allowed in Michigan. Thinking about a broad range of policy options, and assuming the Michigan Legislature does increase funding for roads and bridges, please identify whether you would support or oppose the following methods for the state to raise more revenue.

- Increasing the gas and/or diesel taxes
- Increasing vehicle registration fees
- Increasing fees for overweight trucks
- Increasing the sales tax
- Adding toll roads and/or lanes
- Introducing mileage fees (Vehicle Miles Traveled)
- Increasing drivers’ license fees
- Increasing traffic violation fines, surcharges, and permit fees
- Leasing road right-of-way and/or state property for cell phone towers, advertisements, etc.
- Other (please specify) ________________________

Q11. Thinking beyond state funding, does your jurisdiction currently expend any own-source local revenues on road and/or bridge maintenance, improvement, or construction—either directly or as matching funds?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Q12. (If you selected “yes” in Q11) Which of the following local revenue sources does your jurisdiction use to fund contributions to road and/or bridge maintenance, improvement, or construction? (check all that apply)

- Your jurisdiction’s general fund
- Special assessment(s)
- Millage(s) levied by your jurisdiction
- Other (please specify) ________________________
- Don’t know
Q13. In the last two years, has your jurisdiction tried to raise local funding for roads and/or bridges through either new or renewed road millage(s) or special assessment(s)? *(check all that apply)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction-level millage(s)</th>
<th>No, have not pursued</th>
<th>Yes, pursued but failed</th>
<th>Yes, pursued and succeeded</th>
<th>Yes, currently pursuing</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special assessment(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q14. Looking ahead, if the state legislature *does not significantly increase* funding for roads and/or bridges in your jurisdiction, in your opinion, would the following groups or individuals support or oppose the pursuit of *additional* local revenue dedicated to roads, through existing options such as millages or potential new options like sales or fuel taxes, vehicle registration fees, etc.?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The majority of your jurisdiction’s Board/Council</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Somewhat Support</th>
<th>Neither Support nor Oppose</th>
<th>Somewhat Oppose</th>
<th>Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The majority of your jurisdiction’s citizens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of your jurisdiction’s business community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You personally in your role as a local official</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q15. *(Please skip if you selected “majority of citizens oppose” in Q14)* Looking ahead, if the state legislature *does not significantly increase* funding for the maintenance, improvement, and construction of roads and/or bridges in your jurisdiction, do you think the majority of your citizens would support or oppose raising additional local revenue through the following sources (if they were allowed in Michigan)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special assessment</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Somewhat Support</th>
<th>Neither Support nor Oppose</th>
<th>Somewhat Oppose</th>
<th>Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local/county millage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/regional vehicle registration fee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/regional fuel tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/regional sales tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/regional income tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q16. Finally, to conclude this section of questions on road funding issues, if sufficient financial support has *not* been available to build and maintain roads within your jurisdiction’s geographic boundaries over the last few years, what have you done to get through this era of insufficient funding?

Now we have a series of questions about private roads in Michigan jurisdictions.

Q17. Does your jurisdiction currently contain one or more private roads (i.e., roads owned or maintained by developers, by private entities such as homeowners associations, or by the abutting property owners)?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Q18. Whether or not your jurisdiction has any private roads, would you say the majority of your jurisdiction’s Board or Council support or oppose having private roads in your jurisdiction? And what about you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The majority of your jurisdiction’s Board/Council</th>
<th>Strongly Support</th>
<th>Somewhat Support</th>
<th>Neither Support nor Oppose</th>
<th>Somewhat Oppose</th>
<th>Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You personally in your role as a local official</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q19. *(If you selected “yes” in Q17)* Does your jurisdiction have specific policies regulating any of the following on private roads within your jurisdiction? *(check all that apply)*

- Design (e.g., lane width, turn lanes, grading/drainage, surface-type, etc.)
- Surface maintenance (e.g., pothole filling, repaving/rewgrading, etc.)
- Summer maintenance (e.g., mowing road right-of-way, street cleaning, dust containment, etc.)
- Winter maintenance (e.g., plowing, sanding/salting, etc.)
- Other *(please specify)*
- Don’t know
Q20. (If you selected “yes” in Q17) Have there been any problems or controversies regarding private roads in your community?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Don’t know

Q21. (If you selected “yes” in Q20) Please briefly describe the problems or controversies regarding private roads in your community.

Q22. Now thinking about ownership of roads for new developments, which of the following best describes your jurisdiction’s policies?

☐ Our policies generally encourage private roads for new development.
☐ Our policies generally encourage public roads for new development.
☐ Our policies on roads for new development are determined on a case-by-case basis.
☐ Don’t know

Q23. Recently, Michigan enacted laws that allow for a county to transfer the powers, duties, and functions of road commissioners to the County Board. Regardless of whether or not your county’s road commission is still in place, would you say that road responsibilities in your county should be managed by an elected county road commission, an appointed county road commission, or the county government itself?

☐ An elected county road commission
☐ An appointed county road commission
☐ The county government itself
☐ Don’t know

Q24. We’re interested in getting a sense of local officials’ views on their local county road commissions or county road departments (where road commission duties have been transferred to the county). Thinking about how the county road commission or road department interacts with your jurisdiction in particular, to what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree nor Disagree</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our county road commission/road department generally makes fair decisions.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road work done or managed by the county road commission/road department is generally of high quality.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The decision-making process of our county road commission/road department is generally transparent.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our county’s matching requirements for our local road funding are generally fair.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My local government has a good relationship with our county road commission/road department.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our county road commission/road department generally responds to my government’s communications in a timely manner.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, I’m satisfied with the performance of our county road commission/road department.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q25. Please describe what, if anything, has worked particularly well or not so well in terms of your government’s interactions with your county’s road commission or road department.

Q26. Thinking beyond traditional road issues, which of the following, if any, have been implemented within your jurisdiction’s geographic boundaries in the last five years?  (check all that apply)

☐ Added or expanded biking or walking trails
☐ Added or expanded dedicated bike lanes on streets
☐ Added or expanded ATV or snowmobile trails
☐ Added or widened sidewalks
☐ Reduced the number of vehicle lanes (a “road diet”)
☐ Don’t know
Q27. In 2010, “Complete Streets” legislation gave project planning and coordination responsibilities to local governments and the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) to develop “roadways planned, designed, and constructed to provide appropriate access to all legal users...whether by car, truck, transit, assistive device, foot or bicycle.” How familiar are you with the Complete Streets initiative?

- Very familiar — I know a great deal about it
- Somewhat familiar — I have heard of it, and understand it fairly well, but don’t know many details
- Mostly unfamiliar — I have heard of it, but know very little about it
- Completely unfamiliar — I have never heard of it before (if you select “completely unfamiliar,” please skip to Q31)
- Don’t know

Q28. (Please skip if you selected “completely unfamiliar” in Q27) Which of the following best describes your jurisdiction’s approach to Complete Streets policies?

- It has enacted and is implementing a formal Complete Streets policy.
- It has enacted but has not implemented a formal Complete Streets policy.
- It takes into account Complete Streets goals in planning and design, but has no formal policy.
- It has taken no action on Complete Streets, but might consider it in the future.
- It has taken no action on Complete Streets, and is unlikely to consider it in the future.
- Don’t know

Q29. (Please skip if you selected “completely unfamiliar” in Q27) What overall impact, if any, do you believe Complete Streets policies have or would have on each of the following in your jurisdiction?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Impact</th>
<th>Very Positive Impact</th>
<th>Somewhat Positive Impact</th>
<th>Mixed Positive and Negative Impact</th>
<th>Somewhat Negative Impact</th>
<th>Very Negative Impact</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-effectiveness of road spending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic congestion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian and/or cyclist safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your jurisdiction’s relationship with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q30. (Please skip if you selected “completely unfamiliar” in Q27) Overall, would you say that, in your role as a local official, you support or oppose pursuing Complete Streets policies in your jurisdiction?

- Strongly Support
- Somewhat Support
- Neither Support nor Oppose
- Somewhat Oppose
- Strongly Oppose
- Don’t Know

Q31. Thinking more broadly about transit options in your jurisdiction, which of the following, if any, are currently available to members of your community? (check all that apply)

- Amtrak
- Municipal, county-wide, or regional fixed-route bus service
- Greyhound, Indian Trails, or other private bus service
- Dial-a-ride/on-demand para-transit service
- Taxi service
- Van pool service
- Other (please specify) ____________________________
- None
- Don’t know

Q32. In your opinion, how satisfied or dissatisfied would you say the following groups are regarding the transit options currently available in your jurisdiction?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Somewhat Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Somewhat Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The elderly or disabled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people (35 years and younger)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employers, employees, and job seekers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors and tourists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of your jurisdiction’s Board/Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You personally in your role as a local official</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q33. (If you selected “dissatisfied” for any group in Q32) Which of the following factors, if any, do you believe contribute to local dissatisfaction with the transit options currently available in your jurisdiction? (check all that apply)

- Routes, frequency, coverage, etc.
- Cost
- Reliability
- Connectivity to other communities
- Other (please specify) ____________________________
- Don’t know
Q34. We're interested in factors that may be encouraging or discouraging expansion of transit in jurisdictions across the state. To what degree would you say the following have been encouraging or discouraging the development of transit within your jurisdiction?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Significantly Encouraging</th>
<th>Somewhat Encouraging</th>
<th>Neither Encouraging Nor Discouraging</th>
<th>Somewhat Discouraging</th>
<th>Significantly Discouraging</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation and maintenance costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of state or federal funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of local funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The influence of persistent community leaders/organizers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern over traffic congestion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your jurisdiction’s relationship with neighboring jurisdictions (for inter-jurisdictional service)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q35. Some people think a well-functioning transit system is important to communities in a variety of ways, such as for economic development, environmental sustainability, residents’ mobility, etc. Others do not. How important, if at all, do you think a well-functioning transit system is to the overall needs of your jurisdiction?

- Very important
- Somewhat important
- Not very important
- Not important at all
- Don’t know

Finally, we have a few questions on political ethics in Michigan state and local governance.

Q36. First of all, we are interested in your general opinions about the state of ethics and integrity in Michigan government. In general, how would you rate the following groups of people overall in terms of ethical behavior in their official positions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Very Ethical</th>
<th>Mostly Ethical</th>
<th>Mostly Unethical</th>
<th>Unethical</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s state legislators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s state executive branch leaders (Governor, Lt. Gov., department leaders, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elected and appointed officials in your jurisdiction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government elected and appointed officials in general across the state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q37. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following types of Michigan government officials should be required to disclose their financial interests (occupations, sources of income, business interests, property holdings, creditors and debtors, etc.)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree nor Disagree</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s state legislators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s state executive branch leaders (Governor, Lt. Gov., department leaders, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government elected officials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government department and agency leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q38. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following Michigan state officials should be required to wait a certain period of time (a year or two) after leaving office before becoming a lobbyist or consultant that does work for the state government, also known as a “revolving door” restriction?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree nor Disagree</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s state legislators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan’s state government executive branch department and agency leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q39. Michigan currently prohibits state legislators from receiving honoraria (e.g., speaking fees or other payments for service), but allows certain levels of gifts, food, and travel or lodging expenses to be paid by outside interests. To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about gifts and honoraria received by legislators, other government officials, or their staff members?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Somewhat Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree nor Disagree</th>
<th>Somewhat Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Like state legislators, appointed state government officials should also be prohibited from receiving honoraria while in office.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An overall yearly cap should be enforced limiting the total amount of gifts, food, and travel/lodging reimbursements any state government official may receive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures above a certain threshold made on behalf of a state government official should be reported by both the donor and the public official.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies prohibiting honoraria, limiting gifts, and requiring gift disclosure should apply to local government officials across the state.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q40. Does your jurisdiction currently have any policies that prohibit, limit, or require disclosure for any of the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honoraria for local elected and/or appointed officials</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gifts, food, travel, and lodging paid for by outside sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following questions address ethics issues specific to your jurisdiction. We understand these might be sensitive questions, however, please remember we do not release any MPPS data that identify respondents or jurisdictions individually.

Q41. Does your jurisdiction’s local government have a code of ethics?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Don’t know

Q42. How often, if at all, would you say local elected and/or appointed officials in your jurisdiction’s government face issues that present potential conflicts of interest (that is, where the official or his/her family may receive tangible benefits—personal or business-related—because of actions taken or decisions made as a government official)?

☐ Frequently  ☐ Occasionally  ☐ Rarely  ☐ Never  ☐ Don’t know

Q43. Concerns about government ethics—some with merit, others without—can sometimes be raised by citizens and organizations in the community. Please identify whether your jurisdiction has experienced any of the following in the last five years, and whether any of the actions ultimately resulted in findings of legitimate concern. (check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests related to potential ethical concerns</th>
<th>No, have not experienced</th>
<th>Yes, but no findings of legitimate ethical concerns</th>
<th>Yes, with findings of legitimate ethical concerns</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accusations of Open Meetings Act violations</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accusations of impropriety against one or more of your jurisdiction’s government officials</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q44. Thinking back over the past five years, have you in your role as a local official ever come under pressure to do something that you felt might be unethical?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Don’t know

Q45. (If you selected “yes” in Q44) Please identify which of the following were sources from which you felt pressure to do something during the past five years that, in your role as a local official, you felt might be unethical. (check all that apply)

☐ Other officials from your own jurisdiction
☐ Officials from other jurisdictions or other levels of government
☐ Members of the business community
☐ Members of the public
☐ Family and friends
☐ Other (please specify) __________________________

Q46. Overall, how satisfied are you with your jurisdiction’s policies and practices governing ethics?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Somewhat Satisfied</th>
<th>Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Somewhat Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Very Dissatisfied</th>
<th>Don’t Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q47. CLOSUP will protect your privacy and anonymity to the full extent provided by law. We need to confirm your personal information such as name, email address, and phone number for tracking and administrative purposes only. Your personal information will not be shared with any outside sources. As with all of the questions in this survey, these are optional.

Your name ____________________________________________
Your phone number _________ - ________ - ________
Your email address ____________________________________

We would like to ask some demographic questions. Responses will be reported in aggregate form only so that your individual responses will remain confidential.

Q48. What is your gender?  ☐ Male  ☐ Female

Q49. In what year were you born?  19 ___ ___

Q50. How many years have you served in your current position? ______

Q51. Are you of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino descent?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No

Q52. Please check one or more categories below to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be. (check all that apply)

☐ White
☐ Black or African American
☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
☐ Asian
☐ Multiracial
☐ Other
☐ Don’t know

Q53. What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

☐ 12th grade or less/no diploma
☐ Bachelor’s degree
☐ High school graduate or GED
☐ Master’s degree
☐ Some college, no degree
☐ Professional/Doctorate degree
☐ Associate’s degree

Q54. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a…?

☐ Republican
☐ Independent
☐ Democrat
☐ Something else (please specify) __________________________

Q55. (If Republican or Democrat) Would you consider yourself a strong or not very strong Republican/Democrat?

☐ Very strong
☐ Not very strong
☐ Don’t know

Q56. (If Independent or something else) Would you consider yourself closer to…?

☐ the Democratic Party
☐ the Republican Party
☐ Neither