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Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy (CLOSUP)

- **Mission:**
  - conduct, support, and foster applied academic research that informs local, state, and urban policy issues
  - facilitate student learning and engagement with today’s critical local, state, and urban policy issues

- **Funding through U-M general funds**
  - But definitely open to grants!

- **Director:** Barry Rabe
Research

- Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS)
- The Energy & Environmental Policy Initiative (EEPI)
  - The National Surveys on Energy & Environment (NSEE)
  - Fracking Project
The Michigan Public Policy Survey

- **Census survey** – all 1,856 counties, cities, villages, and townships
- **Respondents** – chief elected and appointed officials
- **Administered** – online and via hardcopy
- **Timing** – Spring and Fall each year
MPPS is not a typical opinion poll

• **Topics** – wide range, such as fiscal health, budget priorities, economic development, intergovernmental cooperation, privatization, employee policies, labor unions, state relations, energy, environmental sustainability, **Great Lakes**, citizen engagement, bankruptcy, much more.

• **70+% response rates**

• **Transparency**
  -- Questionnaires online
  -- Pre-run data tables online
  -- Sharing of (anonymized) datasets with other researchers
The Michigan Public Policy Survey (MPPS), a program of biannual state-wide surveys of local government leaders in Michigan, was launched by the Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy (CLOSUP) in 2009. The surveys cover a wide range of issues important to local and state governance, such as fiscal, budgetary and operational policy, fiscal health, public sector compensation, workforce development, local-state governmental relations, intergovernmental collaboration, privatization, economic development strategies and initiatives such as placemaking and economic gardening, the role of local government in environmental sustainability, energy topics such as hydraulic fracturing (“fracking”) and wind power, trust in government, views on state policymaker performance, and much more.

All MPPS questionnaires are available on this MPPS website, and pre-run data tables displaying results are available for almost all questions. Users can browse questionnaires or the pre-run data tables to find questions of interest. In addition, the search engine below allows users to search the question text across all waves of the MPPS. Results of the keyword search provide direct links to the pre-run data tables for each question.
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2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014
Energy & Environmental Policy Initiative

Primary components:

- The National Surveys on Energy & Environment (NSEE)
- Issues in Energy and Environmental Policy (IEEP)
National Surveys on Energy & Environment

- Conducted in partnership with Muhlenberg College’s Institute of Public Opinion
- **Respondents** – general public
- **Administered** – via telephone
- **Timing** – twice per year
- **Topics** – Climate change, hydraulic fracturing, shale gas exploration, carbon taxation
Other EEPI Initiatives

• The Fracking Project
  o Case studies
  o Comparative public opinion

• GLPRN
  o SSHRC Partnership Development Grant
  o Carolyn Johns (Ryerson), Gail Krantzberg (McMaster), Kate Friedman (SUNY-Buffalo)
  o Case studies, policy models, opinion poll
Water-related Data
Elite & Public Opinion

Elite Opinion: Michigan local gov’t
- MPPS survey
  - 1,353 jurisdictions
    - 73% response rate
    - 1.4% margin of error

Public Opinion: Residents in G L Basin
- Telephone survey
  - 1,247 residents
    - 3% margin of error (overall)
    - 267 respondents from Michigan

Reports on each of these datasets available on closup.umich.edu
Current condition of the Great Lakes

MI Elite

- Excellent: 54%
- Good: 33%
- Fair: 4%
- Poor: 1%
- Very Poor: 4%

MI Public

- Excellent: 40%
- Good: 38%
- Fair: 7%
- Poor: 6%
- Very Poor: 1%
### Most important concerns?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue (Grouped by category)</th>
<th>Rank 1</th>
<th>Any mention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution/Contamination</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Carp</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Levels</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive Species</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage/Waste</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality/Maintaining Quality</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial/Business Waste</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garbage Dumping</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebra Mussels</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global warming</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public opinion only
GL economic resource for Michigan

MI Elite
- 89% Strongly agree
- 10% Somewhat agree
- 1% Strongly disagree

MI Public
- 71% Strongly agree
- 23% Somewhat agree
- 3% Somewhat disagree
- 2% Strongly disagree

Legend:
- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
GL economic resource for your jurisdiction

Overall

- Strongly disagree: 14%
- Somewhat disagree: 23%
- Somewhat agree: 21%
- Strongly agree: 37%

Color codes:
- Orange: Strongly disagree
- Yellow: Somewhat disagree
- Blue: Somewhat agree
- Dark blue: Strongly agree
Support for GL policies

MI Elite

- Limit water diversion (85%)
- Prevent sewer overflow (75%)
- Prevent agri. runoff (72%)

MI Public

- Prevent sewer overflow (82%)
- Reduce pharmaceutical release (81%)
- Prevent agri. runoff (77%)
Improve water quality, increase taxes

**MI Elite**
- Strongly support: 49%
- Somewhat support: 19%
- Somewhat oppose: 7%
- Strongly oppose: 20%

**MI Public**
- Strongly support: 35%
- Somewhat support: 38%
- Somewhat oppose: 9%
- Strongly oppose: 14%

Legend:
- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
Phase out coal-fired power plants

MI Elite

- Strongly support: 33%
- Somewhat support: 12%
- Somewhat oppose: 29%
- Strongly oppose: 15%

MI Public

- Strongly support: 26%
- Somewhat support: 34%
- Somewhat oppose: 17%
- Strongly oppose: 13%
Increase cost of water

MI Elite

- Strongly support: 4%
- Somewhat support: 32%
- Somewhat oppose: 39%
- Strongly oppose: 17%

MI Public

- Strongly support: 20%
- Somewhat support: 15%
- Somewhat oppose: 28%
- Strongly oppose: 32%

Legend:
- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
Shared responsibility for protecting Lakes...
Individual citizens

MI Elite

- Great deal of responsibility: 57%
- Some responsibility: 35%
- No responsibility: 6%

MI Public

- Great deal of responsibility: 39%
- Some responsibility: 58%
- No responsibility: 3%
Business/industry

MI Elite
- Great deal of responsibility: 56%
- Some responsibility: 40%
- No responsibility: 3%

MI Public
- Great deal of responsibility: 77%
- Some responsibility: 20%
- No responsibility: 2%
Federal government

MI Elite

- Great deal of responsibility: 66%
- Some responsibility: 28%
- No responsibility: 4%

MI Public

- Great deal of responsibility: 70%
- Some responsibility: 25%
- No responsibility: 4%
MI Elite

- Great deal of responsibility: 90%
- Some responsibility: 9%
- No responsibility: 0%

MI Public

- Great deal of responsibility: 69%
- Some responsibility: 29%
- No responsibility: 0%
Local government

MI Elite

- Great deal of responsibility: 62%
- Some responsibility: 30%
- No responsibility: 6%

MI Public

- Great deal of responsibility: 53%
- Some responsibility: 38%
- No responsibility: 6%
Your local government

MI Elite
- Great deal of responsibility: 52%
- Some responsibility: 15%
- No responsibility: 28%

MI Public
- Great deal of responsibility: 48%
- Some responsibility: 44%
- No responsibility: 7%
Your local government

Distance to nearest Great Lake, in miles

- Coastal: 37%
  - None: 7%
  - Some: 54%
  - A Great Deal: 37%

- 10 or fewer: 15%
  - None: 27%
  - Some: 54%
  - A Great Deal: 28%

- >10 - 20: 10%
  - None: 28%
  - Some: 57%
  - A Great Deal: 15%

- >20-40: 9%
  - None: 32%
  - Some: 52%
  - A Great Deal: 10%

- >40-60: 9%
  - None: 37%
  - Some: 46%
  - A Great Deal: 9%

- More than 60: 3%
  - None: 44%
  - Some: 44%
  - A Great Deal: 28%

Elite opinion only
Water Pricing for Conservation ...
“Increase the cost of water for households and businesses to encourage consumers to use less water.”

MI Elite

- 32% Strongly support
- 17% Somewhat support
- 39% Somewhat oppose
- 4% Strongly oppose

MI Public

- 20% Strongly support
- 15% Somewhat support
- 32% Somewhat oppose
- 32% Strongly oppose
MI Local Leaders (Elites)

Republican
- Strongly support: 2%
- Somewhat support: 14%
- Somewhat oppose: 43%
- Strongly oppose: 34%

Independent
- Strongly support: 7%
- Somewhat support: 21%
- Somewhat oppose: 35%
- Strongly oppose: 32%

Democrat
- Strongly support: 7%
- Somewhat support: 25%
- Somewhat oppose: 39%
- Strongly oppose: 20%

Legend: Strongly support, Somewhat support, Somewhat oppose, Strongly oppose.
MI Local Leaders (Elites)

Distance to nearest Great Lake, in miles

- Coastal
- 10 or fewer
- >10 - 20
- >20-40
- >40-60
- More than 60

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
MI Local Leaders (Elites)

5 counties with 100% opposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>% Net Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ostego</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leelanau</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menominee</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzie</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alger</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montcalm</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Opinion

GL Basin-wide

- Strongly support: 34%
- Somewhat support: 18%
- Somewhat oppose: 28%
- Strongly oppose: 14%

MI Public

- Strongly support: 32%
- Somewhat support: 20%
- Somewhat oppose: 28%
- Strongly oppose: 15%
Public Opinion – by state

IL: 39% Strongly support, 30% Somewhat support, 9% Somewhat oppose, 17% Strongly oppose

MI: 32% Strongly support, 28% Somewhat support, 20% Somewhat oppose, 15% Strongly oppose

NY: 32% Strongly support, 31% Somewhat support, 14% Somewhat oppose, 17% Strongly oppose

OH: 29% Strongly support, 36% Somewhat support, 17% Somewhat oppose, 10% Strongly oppose

ON: 38% Strongly support, 20% Somewhat support, 13% Somewhat oppose, 24% Strongly oppose

WI: 34% Strongly support, 34% Somewhat support, 10% Somewhat oppose, 16% Strongly oppose
Public Opinion – by income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Range</th>
<th>Strongly support</th>
<th>Somewhat support</th>
<th>Somewhat oppose</th>
<th>Strongly oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$25k</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25-49k</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50-74k</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75-99k</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100k+</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Opinion – by partisanship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very liberal</th>
<th>Somewhat liberal</th>
<th>Middle of the road</th>
<th>Somewhat conservative</th>
<th>Very conservative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat support</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat oppose</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Collaborating with CLOSUP

...
Collaboration

• More on clean energy / climate
• Request (de-identified) dataset
• Partner on future surveys
Center for Local, State, and Urban Policy (CLOSUP)

Web: www.closup.umich.edu
Email: closup@umich.edu
Twitter: @closup